

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

PUBLIC HEARINGS

December 02, 2020

Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Jennifer Nottle

```
1
    STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )
 2
    COUNTY OF RICHLAND
 3
 4
 5
                JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
 7
 8
    BEFORE: SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
 9
              REPRESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, JR., V. CHAIRMAN
              SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB
10
11
              SENATOR SCOTT TALLEY
12
              REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13
              MS. HOPE BLACKLEY-LOGAN
14
              REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY
15
              MR. J.P. "PETE" STROM
16
              MS. LUCY GREY MCIVER
              MR. ANDREW N. SAFRAN
17
18
              MS. ERIN CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
19
20
    DATE:
                  December 2, 2020
21
    TIME: 12:00 p.m.
22
    LOCATION: Gressette Building
23
                   1101 Pendleton Street
24
                   Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25
    REPORTED BY: JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
```

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	HONORABLE ROBERT "ROB" RHODEN
4	- Examination by Ms. Ross8
5	- Examination by Mr. Safran17
6	- Examination by Ms. McIver27
7	- Examination by Senator Talley31
8	
9	M. BROOKS MOSS
10	- Examination by Ms. Crawford
11	- Examination by Chairman Rankin46
12	
13	CHADWICK D. PYE
14	- Examination by Ms. Benson52
15	- Examination by Chairman Rankin65
16	- Examination by Mr. Safran70
17	
18	HONORABLE ERICA L. McJIMPSEY
19	- Examination by Ms. Mottel Symmes79
20	- Examination by Senator Talley86
21	- Examination by Chairman Rankin91
22	
23	ANGELA J. MOSS
24	- Examination by Ms. Baker99
25	- Examination by Ms. Blackley-Logan110

1	
1	- Examination by Chairman Rankin113
2	TONATHIAN M. I OINGDEDDY
3	JONATHAN W. LOUNSBERRY
4	- Examination by Ms. Wilkinson117
5	
6	COMPLAINANT WAYNE KEITH SMITH
7	- Examination by Ms. Baker133
8	- Examination by Ms. Wilkinson138
9	Vote148
10	Certificate of Reporter154
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	* * * *
2	EXHIBIT INDEX
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
4	EXHIBIT NO. 37
5	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Robert "Rob" Rhoden (15
6	pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 47
8	- Sworn Statement of Robert "Rob" Rhoden (8 pages)
9	EXHIBIT NO. 537
10	- Personal Data Questionnaire for M. Brooks Moss (23
11	pages)
12	EXHIBIT NO. 637
13	- Sworn Statement of M. Brooks Moss (8 pages)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 750
15	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Chadwick D. Pye (20
16	pages)
17	EXHIBIT NO. 850
18	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for Chadwick
19	D. Pye (2 pages)
20	EXHIBIT NO. 950
21	- Sworn Statement of Chadwick D. Pye (5 pages)
22	EXHIBIT NO. 10
23	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Erika L.
24	McJimpsey (16 pages)
25	EXHIBIT NO. 1178

1	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Erika L. McJimpsey (4
2	pages)
3	EXHIBIT NO. 1299
4	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Angela J. Moss (15
5	pages)
6	EXHIBIT NO. 1399
7	- Sworn Statement of Angela J. Moss (5 pages)
8	EXHIBIT NO. 14117
9	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Jonathan W. Lounsberry
10	(23 pages)
11	EXHIBIT NO. 15117
12	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for Jonathan
13	W. Lounsberry (1 page)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 16117
15	- Sworn Statement of Jonathan W. Lounsberry (6 pages)
16	EXHIBIT NO. 17137
17	- Complaints, Responses and Supporting Documents (159
18	pages)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're going to go into Executive
2	Session on the motion of Pete Strom, seconded by
3	Representative Murphy.
4	EXECUTIVE SESSION
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record and
6	during executive session, no votes were taken,
7	no decisions made. We will proceed to the next
8	candidate.
9	(Off the Record)
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We will get started now. Had a
11	little housekeeping to keep up or to take
12	care over there with these House members. Mr.
13	Rhoden, if you will, raise your right hand.
14	ROBERT "ROB" RHODEN, having been duly sworn,
15	testifies as follows:
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you your PDQ and
17	your Sworn Statement. Are those ready to go in
18	the record as is?
19	MR. RHODEN: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to
21	Caroline to your right. You are aware of our
22	process in investigating your candidacy, the
23	nine evaluative criteria. We also look at your
24	ballot box survey, a study of your application
25	materials, verification of compliance with the

1	state ethic laws, a search of newspaper articles
2	in which your name appears. You have never
3	screened before, or have you?
4	(Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification
5	purposes - (15 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
6	Robert "Rob" Rhoden.)
7	(Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification
8	purposes - (8 pages) Sworn Statement of Robert "Rob"
9	Rhoden.)
10	MR. RHODEN: No, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. And a check for economic
12	conflicts of interest. No affidavits have been
13	filed in opposition to your campaign. No
14	witnesses are here to testify for you or agin
15	you. You have the opportunity to make a brief
16	opening statement if you like. Otherwise, we'll
17	turn it over for questions by our staff.
18	MR. RHODEN: Well, for those who don't know me, I'm
19	Rob Rhoden. I've been in government practice in
20	Spartanburg for 20 years. I've been married to
21	my wife, Laura for 22. She's a full professor
22	at Wofford. We have two kids, 16 and 13, and I
23	look forward to your questions.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. Ms. Ross
25	has got some for you, and then others may as

well. 1 2 MR. RHODEN - EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSS: 3 Hey, Mr. Rhoden. How you doing? 0. 4 Α. Good. 5 Q. Mr. Rhoden, please state for the record the city and circuit in which you reside. 6 7 Α. I live in Spartanburg, in the Seventh Judicial 8 Circuit. 9 Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that MS. ROSS: 10 based on the testimony contained in the 11 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 12 record with the candidate's consent, Robert 13 Rhoden meets the statutory requirements for this 14 position regarding age, residence and years of 15 practice. Mr. Rhoden, why do you want to serve as a family 16 0. court judge, and why do you feel that your legal 17 18 and professional experience qualify and will 19 assist you to be an effective judge? 20 Α. That's a big question. Let me start with the 21 first part, why I want to. What I really want 22 is for Judge Fraley and Judge Sinclair to have 23 never left the bench because I really enjoyed 24 But things change, somebody needs to pick

up the baton. I think my experience has

prepared me. It's something that would be a
tremendous opportunity and a challenge as far as
my personal growth is concerned. And in some
ways a next step, maybe, in my career, which has
all been in public service. As far as how my
experience has prepared me so I spent the
first seven years there as an assistant
solicitor under Trey Gowdy and Barry Barnette in
Spartanburg. I started off doing drug cases,
white collar cases, progressed to as part of
that doing drug court cases and eventually our
juvenile case load for a couple of years. Over
two years as a juvenile prosecutor there and
then I transferred to DSS, where I've been for
almost 13 years now. I have handled thousands
of family court cases. I've probably tried or
had contested hearings in a couple of hundred
cases. So I've been immersed in family court
for the last 15 years, approximately. I think
specifically how all that's prepared me while
I was at the solicitor's office, I think I
learned three major things: how to try a case,
the importance of being prepared for court and
the importance of treating everyone with respect
and integrity and dealing with people on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

high side of fair. And then, with the busyness of the juvenile docket in Spartanburg and then the DSS docket in Spartanburg, I've learned how to handle tremendous volume, important cases under pressure. I've done cases both juvenile and DSS 25-case dockets all day, by myself. I've done that in Spartanburg and Cherokee. So I know the rules, I know how to try a case. Τ have the -- I think both the tunnel vision to dig into any particular case as deep as I need to go, and then also with my experience in the system, I think I have the panoramic vision to understand the importance of moving cases, keeping the system moving. So I think those are some of the qualities that would help me. then with all of it I think there are two big questions that go into what makes an effective judge or an effective candidate. I think one is, is this person intelligent and capable and experienced enough to do the job, but then also, if this person had the authority and discretion of a family court judge, would they increase confidence in the integrity and efficacy of the judicial system. And, you know, for 20 years now I've gotten up every day, I've gone to court

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

on behalf of the State. And so I think that gives me confidence and I hope it gives others confidence that I'll treat people well, that what's important is increasing confidence in our system. And I've tried to do that, I hope, every day that I've gone to work on behalf of the State for the last 20 years.

- Q. Thank you. Are there any areas of law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?
- Α. The two main areas that I would need to strengthen are alimony and equitable apportionment or distribution. And I didn't say divorce in that because I've actually been a part of a lot of domestic hearings that have looped into DSS cases, dozens, where DSS is named as a party initially in the domestic But what I haven't done specifically is cases. get involved in the alimony and equitable distribution. So what I would do to prepare for that is things I've already done, which is study, read the statutes, read the case law. When I became a candidate, I started reading all the published opinions over the last couple of

1 years. I've read every published family court 2 opinion in the last two years. I've outlined 3 them, made notes on them, got them in a binder, 4 my notes and my outlines. And so I treat it as 5 a Bar exam, basically, to familiarize myself 6 with those things. And I've talked to judges. 7 I've talked to practitioners. I would continue 8 If this goes forward, I will go and sit 9 more in court and watch and continue to question 10 presiding judges about those things. 11 it's like anything else that we've done, we study, we get good at it, and it comes with the 12 13 experience. But I think those are the two 14 areas, but I think all the other areas I feel 15 pretty good about.

Q. This kind of touches on that. So just if you want to expand on it at all for this question. If you could, describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matter and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I mean, so the complex cases -- I could argue that almost any DSS case is complex, because it deals with abuse and neglect and custody and child support and visitation. Termination of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parental rights tend to be complex. I've been a part of -- gosh, I've done multi-day sex abuse I've done multi-day TPR cases. been looped in to hybrid DSS domestic cases. In fact, I think the one case that I handled -- I handle our appellate work with our office as well. I think my reported case came out of a complex domestic DSS case, so I handle routinely complex cases. Child support's always a part of I have not directly myself handled the those. financial aspects as far as having to determine alimony or equitable distribution. Again, that's what I'm studying.

Thank you. Mr. Rhoden, the Commission received Q. 138 ballot box surveys regarding you with 22 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive "Would be an excellent addition to comments: the judiciary. Mr. Rhoden is exemplary." "Extremely knowledgeable and well respected by I have worked with Rob for over 11 his peers. years on the opposite side of the courtroom. Ι recommend him without reservation. He has the temperament and experience to make an outstanding family court judge. His honesty,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

ethics and integrity are beyond reproach." Nine of the written comments expressed concerns. Several of those comments indicated that you lack experience with private family court matters. I know you've kind of discussed this, but is there anything else you want to respond with for that concern?

I think -- so that's probably coming from people Α. who do more of the private side. And I would say, with respect to them, that they may not know just how often we're looped in now, especially I think -- I noticed kind of a shift after the recession ended around 2012, 2013, where it became more and more routine for us to get named as a party in domestic cases. I started handling -- going to court on more of those around that time. I would say that also the public docket, at least in Spartanburg, I think, consumes more time than the private docket now. There are more, I think, public cases than private cases. So I have acknowledged their concern about that, and I would say that I'm actually very familiar with the private docket because I've done the cases. I've attended mediations, depositions,

settlement conferences, hearings. I've been a

part of all that. So some may not know that.

And the things that I don't know, I would use my training, my background, my experience to study it, pick it up and do the very best I could with

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

it.

- Q. Thank you. A few comments raised the concern that you've not responsive to calls and emails in a timely manner, and how do you respond to this concern?
- I would say that I'm sorry I gave anyone that Α. impression. We're in court a lot. I don't know when -- what period they're referring to. that may have been on their minds during the pandemic -- we've been working at home since mid March, so I don't know what time frame or if that's just something in general, but we're in court a lot. And I do try to be responsive to calls and emails. It's not uncommon to get over 50 emails a day at DSS, and also when I'm not in court I'm staffing cases, dealing with emergencies, new protective custody cases, new requests for ex parte removals, new requests for inspection warrants. So there may be times where what's urgent to the caller is

1 unfortunately not as urgent to me because we 2 sometimes deal with truly urgent things. But I 3 do -- you know, to the best of my knowledge, I 4 do return calls and emails. It may just 5 unfortunately not be on some people's time frame 6 sometimes based on what we have to deal with, 7 but I am sorry that anybody felt that way. 8 MS. ROSS: I would note that the Upstate Citizens 9 Committee found Mr. Rhoden qualified in the 10 evaluative criteria of constitutional 11 qualifications, physical health and mental 12 stability. And they found him well qualified in 13 the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 14 professional and academic ability, character, 15 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. 16 0. I just have a few housekeeping issues, Mr. 17 Are you aware that as a judicial 18 candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial 19 Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 20 Carolina Appellate Rules? 21 Yes, ma'am. Α. 22 And since submitting your Letter of Intent, have 0. 23 you contacted any members of the Commission 24 about your candidacy? 25 No, ma'am. Α.

- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?

 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
 - A. No, ma'am.

6

7

8

- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf,
 or are you aware of anyone attempting to
 intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 14 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And have you reviewed, and do you understand,
 the Commission's guidelines on pledging in South
 Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. ROSS: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today, and I have no further questions.
- 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you. Questions from 25 Mr. Safran.

1 MR. RHODEN - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. SAFRAN: 3 Mr. Rhoden, first of all, good afternoon. 0. 4 Good afternoon. Α. 5 Q. And let me say this. I think you handle 6 yourself with composure. I have no reason to 7 question at all based on what I'm reading that 8 you are a good person and that a lot of people 9 hold you in high regard. And I see no reason 10 why anybody would think otherwise, frankly. Let 11 me ask this. You brought this up earlier in your responses that, I guess, you held out 12 13 Judges Sinclair and Fraley as being models of 14 kind of what you look for in a judge and what 15 you've been very pleased with in the time you've 16 been handling cases in that area; is that fair? 17 Α. Yes, sir, they're both excellent judges. 18 Well, and let me ask you this. Would you agree **Q.** 19 with me that some of the -- maybe some of the greatest attributes that both of them have were 20 21 their empathy, not just to the litigants but to the litigators too? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 I mean, they were pretty down to earth type of Q. 25 folks?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. And again, they tried to remedy or,

 I guess, reach remedies a lot of times maybe

 without always staying completely within the

 boxes. I mean --
- A. I think that's true of any family court judge, really. I mean, it's a court of equity, so you get -- there's a lot of discretion, a lot of creativity, to deal with some of the problems we deal with, but, yes, sir.
- Well, and I think basically your comments are 0. shared by what I recall seeing in the past when they would come up here and be in the same spot And the ballot boxes would be saying so many, just, commendable things about them, that they were just models to be in front of. And I think with Judge Sinclair, the last time he was here, we were more of less bragging on him and holding him out as being just exemplary, because time wasn't an issue. It was always a matter of whatever the people needed, I'm going to make myself available. Is that kind of your attitude?
- A. Yes, sir. I mean, I think that, you know, Judge Sinclair, Judge Fraley both worked very hard and

- yet they also tend to finish on time too, but
 whatever it takes. I mean, I'm not sure if I
 fully understand the question.
 - Q. No. And I guess what I'm getting at is this.

 I've heard a couple of things before that more or less kind of talked about, hey, I know that I'm kind of maybe lacking in some areas of experience, particularly with the equitable distribution, with the custody issues because of my focus of my practice. And I know you've said I'm kind of wrapped into those things as being also --
- 13 A. Well, and I don't mean to --
- 14 | Q. Sure.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 15 A. -- correct you, but not custody, because we deal with custody all the time.
- 17 | Q. Oh, okay.
- 18 A. So it's just the equitable distribution and alimony.
- 20 Q. Okay. Excuse me, alimony.
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I guess my thought is -- along those lines
 is this, you also kind of made the comment that
 I'm going to have to kind of treat this like a
 Bar exam in terms of what I have to do to make

- myself proficient; was that --
- $2 \mid A$. That's what I said, yes, sir.
- 3 | Q. Okay.

- 4 A. That's what I've been doing.
 - Q. And I guess the question is this, obviously we all passed the Bar, and that makes us lawyers. From my personal experience I reflect back and realize that after passing the Bar exam I might have been admitted to practice, but I knew nothing about being a lawyer and how to practice law in the state. And obviously over a period of time that is something that's developed based upon your experience. And I'm sure you have used your particular paths that you've gone in order to gain some experience, because it certainly reflects in the comments. I mean, you would agree with me on that?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I guess the question I've got is, is that when you're dealing with cases -- and let's just talk about those two areas we mentioned, the alimony and the equitable distribution. There are substantial issues in every family court case, but to varying degrees. How is it that you can maybe have the empathy of someone like a Judge

-3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sinclair and the understanding of kind of what the actual practice of law involves if your only client for 20 years has been the State of South Carolina?

Α. Because for the last 15, as I've said, I've been immersed in family court and had interactions with my colleagues who are also on the private side and chatted with them in the hallways, soaked up some of that. Having empathy as far as understanding the people who come into family court, they want the judge to listen to them, they want to be treated fairly, and I can -- I think as far as empathy, I think I do have a strong sense of empathy because we deal with, you know, parents who abuse and neglect their I don't hold most of them in children. contempt. I tend to feel sorry for a lot of It's a small fraction who intentionally do things so egregious that that'll make me angry, but I sympathize with many of them. think what I would do is -- at the end of the day, it's a court of equity. We're trying to be fair. You listen, you find the facts, you apply the law, you identify, you know, an equitable distribution, what's marital property and what's 1 not, and you try to come up with a fair division 2 of that. I would -- again, I would talk to 3 colleagues, talk to judges, watch hearings on 4 And, at the end of the day, find the 5 facts, apply the law, make a fair resolution 6 based on those case-specific facts, 7 understanding that everybody wants to be heard 8 and wants a fair day in court. I hope that 9 answers your question.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well -- and I don't mean to imply in any respect Q. that you're not an empathetic person or that you don't have to have a certain level of humanity to do what you do, because you deal with some tough issues through a DSS circumstance. But I guess one thing we look at also is is that someone who basically can really relate to a lot of the practical things that come up on a day-to-day basis that occur in these cases, that unless you've walked in those shoes as the attorney in a private setting, you're really never going to necessarily fully appreciate And I guess the question I'm asking you is, is that, you know, if you really haven't been there -- you know, book learning is great. But again, one thing we like to ask about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

routinely -- it's not just you, I promise you -is that, you know, having some sense of
understanding from your own experience what the
lawyers are going through who have to deal with
these clients outside of that courtroom before
they get there, all those issues that come up,
you know. You only see a bit of it. But I
think would it not be fair to say that somebody
who's been in that situation would have probably
a greater degree of maybe capacity and
understanding of those circumstances than
someone who's more or less been kind of secluded
in a different area?

I think the short answer to that would be yes, Α. At the same time, again, I talk to of course. my colleagues. I've settled things with my colleagues. I know I have a good sense of their attorney/client interactions that I've developed over the last 15 years in family court. know, I'm not in a bubble where I don't have a I understand that they've got caseloads, sense. they're trying to make a living, they want an opportunity to speak, for example, and represent, advocate for their clients during temporary hearings, for example. So I

understand that they want to make a good
presentation. And that they have wrangling that
they need to do with their clients sometimes.

So I do have a sense of that. I do have an
appreciation for that, and I would not get into
this to try to make their jobs harder.

- Q. One last question -- and we've asked this in different contexts for the time I've been here. It's that you've obviously had a long career that -- based on a lot of comments, it's been a strong career. If this is something that at some point you decided was going to be a path, was there every any thought, hey, maybe I need to go out and try to do this in a private setting before I come and present myself?
- A. No, sir. I didn't know that I was ever going to do this. It was an opportunity that arose.

 Some folks kind of nudged me to do it as well, asked me to do it. But it was something that I embraced and thought I should look at. And, you know, I tend to be stable in my employment, you know, two employers the last 20 years here. So, no, I never did anything with an eye toward the judiciary. And by the way, I should interject there that I do appreciate this process, though,

that South Carolina has. Because someone like me, with my personality, I would not be going through this if it were popular vote or political appointment. So I just want to commend the State on this process. I feel it's more open, more merit based, and I would not be here if we had a different process, so.

- Q. Well, and again, I think there are some outstanding comments that have been made from people, obviously, that deal with you. And I don't want to you take anything that I've asked you as being anything as a personal type of slant. But obviously these are issues we deal with all the time, and they need to be addressed. And I hope that you understand that.
- A. I understand. I don't take any offense at all.

 I think that's a concern, right. If there was a softer spot in my resume, it's exactly what we've been talking about. I acknowledge it.

 I've been trying to take steps to remedy it. I think I have the experience and the academic background and the personal background to eventually turn it into a strength.
- Q. Thank you very much.

25 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver.

1 MS. MCIVER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 2 MR. RHODEN - EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:

- Q. Mr. Rhoden, you just touched on your academic background. I've reviewed your academic credentials as well as your Letters of Reference, and they're certainly quite strong.
- A. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is not something that we will have to wonder Q. whether or not your are intellectually capable of doing this job. I think, based on your credentials, you clearly have that. I'm going to ask a little bit more specific question, kind of following up on what Mr. Safran was asking you about, about experience. One of the comments in the ballot box surveys was, with the current backlogs which exist in Spartanburg County, we simply don't have time to wait for someone to learn the job. In temporary hearings where you have a 15 minute time slot -- and I don't practice family law anymore, but I used to. And I know that I would say I only need 15 minutes, because I needed the court time. Ι needed to get my client before the judge. But I needed more than 15 minutes to do my job. And so how would you handle that in terms of

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

granting hearings, the amount of time that you grant the hearings and still trying to get rid of the backlog that exists if you were to take the bench?

Α. Right. So on an individual hearing, I think it's important to receive the packets and read the packets and yet still give each side a few Because, like I said, the clients want to see their lawyers advocate for them some. So if it goes a few minutes over 15, that's fine. You know, it's funny, because I did read -- this was something Judge Sinclair talked about when he testified here two years ago and this was a big topic then as well. And so I think I would follow his practice of it's more efficient to go five or ten minutes over and hear it instead of sending people away or cutting them off at 15. It's something that I -- I would give them their time, try to give each side a short time and, you know, allow me to read the packets and rule and take as few things under advisement initially as possible. Now some probably have to, but I think it also buys into the concept of, you know, the judge is on that hallway, working as a team. And I think right now I do,

1 albeit as a lawyer, but I think I would have a 2 good relationship with Judge Thigpen and Judge 3 Bridges to where if one of us is running ahead 4 or behind, the other one steps in to help each 5 other out, as a team concept. And so those are 6 some of the things. The other thing that Judge 7 Sinclair -- I talked to him about this. He said 8 one of his common practices is to allow the 9 parties to request a second temporary hearing, 10 de novo without prejudice, within, let's say, 15 11 or 30 days of the initial quardian's report 12 being received. And I think that takes a lot of 13 the heat and the pressure off of getting that 14 first temporary hearing exactly right, letting 15 people know, hey, you know, we can come back if 16 we need to, you know, so that if something gets 17 missed a little bit or is a little bit off, you 18 can fix it more easily rather than waiting all 19 the way to the final. So I think that sounds like a good practice as well. So those are some 20 21 of my ideas on that topic. 22

Q. Yeah, I think that makes sense. In terms of -just one more question -- in terms of COVID,
have y'all been conducting hearings via Zoom? I
just wonder if that has anything to do with the

23

24

backlog, and if so, how you would handle that?

Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gosh, it seems like there have been backlogs in Spartanburg as long as I've been around. know, when I started with the solicitor's office, there were over 10,000 warrants backlogged, you know. And so anyway, it's a busy county. I will say on the DSS side at one point we were number one in the state in foster care, children and vulnerable adults. I've been I've had -- in fact, there through all that. are times when I've managed that by myself, where my colleague was on maternity leave or So I'm comfortable actually -something. probably that probably goes to my mental fitness, but I'm comfortable with that pressure, and I don't think it's just COVID. We have been doing a lot of hearings by Webex, but we've gone back to live hearings per the chief justice's order, mainly. I did a bunch of Webex hearings this summer, and we did a lot of things by consent order as well on the DSS side. actually moved a lot of cases this summer on the DSS side through consent orders and Webex. we're back to more normal. We've been doing some hybrid live Webex hearings if somebody

1 can't make it or has COVID or something. 2 don't think that the backlog is just because of I think that's -- that could be any 3 the COVID. 4 number of reasons. And I know, for example, we 5 also now just have three resident judges instead 6 of four. We used to have four so that might be 7 playing a factor as well. 8 Thank you very much, Mr. Rhoden --Q. 9 Α. Thank you. 10 -- and thank you for offering. Q. 11 Α. Yes, ma'am. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Talley. 13 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 MR. RHODEN - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR TALLEY: 15 Mr. Rhoden, nice to see you --Q. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 -- somewhere other than 180 Magnolia Street for Q. 18 I wanted to follow up. a change. I don't 19 really have a question, just some comments, and 20 Mr. Safran touched on it as we looked through --21 and you commented on it too, this process, about 22 the comments that have been made. Obviously you 23 and I have had some cases on opposite sides of 24 each other over the years. You've always been a 25 professional. I don't see how you do what you

do. You know, when you talk about the backlog
and the dockets and the amount of court time
that you have right now just because of the
caseload, it doesn't really leave a question in
my mind about your ability to handle a docket as
a judge or adapt to some of these things that
you may not have experienced as much as a
"practicing attorney" that you would see from
private divorce cases or custody cases or
anything like that. And you touched on a couple
of the things that I think are important to
those of us that practice in this area, about
how you would manage a docket and how you would
look at, you know, attorneys coming in that say
they need 15 minutes but take an hour or
whatnot. And I think you've done a good job
managing that from a docket perspective in the
amount of time set for hearings. And you've
touched on it too, but I want and I know you
very well. I want to give you a chance to
explain on something you commented earlier to
some of the folks on this Commission that may
not know you as well. But if you were to get
elected to this position and knowing the need
for a judge to jump right in in our circuit in

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

particular, what would you do to get up to speed, you know, on the equitable division question and the alimony question, you know, the financial issues that would come through some of these cases that you may not see as much of on DSS? So I would just like for you to share with us what you would do to do that.

Senator Talley, I would pick up my efforts that Α. I've already begun as far as studying cases, reading cases and statutes, talking to private practitioners, talking to judges. I would start attending more hearings and trying to actually take leave, sit in court, watch those things. would like to believe that but for the pandemic I would have done even more of that this summer than I've been able to. So those are all things that I would do. But those are all things I've done already to some extent is I've talked to those different people. I think, you know -- I don't like -- you know, I don't like to be wrong with my academic background. And I want to get things right, especially when other people are depending on me. So I would redouble my efforts to study those things, talk to more members of the Bar who are best domestic practitioners, get

what their expectations are, get them to tell me their war stories, talk to Judge Bridges, Judge Thigpen, other visiting judges. Those are all things that if this moves forward I would ramp up the time that I spend doing those things.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Hope, Madam Clerk.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: Hello, Rob. It's so good to see you.

MR. RHODEN: Yes, ma'am, you too.

I wanted to have on the record MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: that we used to work together in the solicitor's office. And when I was clerk I had a lot of dealings with scheduling cases, and I can tell you more about why that -- why there is a backloq. It's a multitude of reasons, not -long before COVID hit, but that was one of the tasks that I was tasked with, and we were trying to get double scheduling of court with DSS and encouraging our staff, our judicial staff, to maybe work a little later on Friday, sometimes But I know that you have mentioned it, as well. and it's been talked about in depth in regards to what you need to learn and know, and that is of a concern for any candidate when it's time to take the bench, but I know that you will do your

best. I know your temperament is unlike anybody I've met, a very great temperament, very patient, very kind, very understanding, and I just want to commend you on that and just continue to encourage to focus on what you need And I have always enjoyed working with you, and I am very happy that you did run. Ι could see this not being had it been a different process, you not running with your personality and was surprised when I saw your name. So that speaks a lot of what your intentions are and not just a career opportunity. So I wish you the very best, and thank you for running.

MR. RHODEN: Thank you, appreciate it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any other questions, comments? Mr. Rhoden, you have gone through quite the gauntlet here. No worse for the wear it appears to the eye, and your smile is still as bright as ever, so this will conclude this portion of your screening process. And you are reminded that pursuant to our evaluative criteria and our focus here that we have a very strict adherence to both the letter and spirit of the South Carolina ethics laws. Any violation of those or the appearance of

1	impropriety would be deemed very heavily and
2	seriously. You're aware that this record is not
3	released or closed until the formal release of
4	the Report of Qualifications. You know that we
5	can call you back should the need arise,
6	correct?
7	MR. RHODEN: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We don't anticipate that, but we
9	just need that for the record. Sir, thank you,
10	and Merry Christmas to you and your family. God
11	speed to you.
12	MR. RHODEN: Thank you. Merry Christmas to all of
13	you. Thank you for your time.
14	(Off the Record)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Brooks.
16	MS. MOSS: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is it Brooks?
18	MS. MOSS: Brooks, plural, yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is that shortened for anything, or
20	is it Brooks straight up?
21	MS. MOSS: It's Brooks. I was actually named after
22	my Godfather.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will now, raise your right
24	hand.
25	M. BROOKS MOSS, having been duly sworn, testifies as

1	follows:
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And you are M. Brooks
3	Moss, correct?
4	MS. MOSS: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you the two
6	documents you've prepared for us, the PDQ and
7	that Sworn Statement. Are those ready to be
8	introduced into evidence?
9	MS. MOSS: Yes, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand those to Caroline to
11	your right, we'll do that. You are aware of our
12	investigation and our dive into your
13	qualifications, that includes the nine
14	evaluative criteria. We also look at the ballot
15	box survey, a thorough study of your application
16	materials, verification of your compliance to
17	the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper
18	articles in which your name appears. You've
19	never screened before for this for any
20	judicial position, have you?
21	(Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification
22	purposes - (23 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
23	M. Brooks Moss.)
24	(Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification
25	purposes - (9 pages) Sworn Statement of M. Brooks

1 Moss.) 2 MS. MOSS: No, sir, I have not. 3 And a check for economic conflicts CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 4 of interest. No affidavits have been filed in 5 opposition to your election, no witnesses are 6 present to testify, though I do note you have 7 brought a handsome young man with you. Who is 8 with you here today? 9 I have brought my husband, Michael MS. MOSS: 10 Leighton. 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Michael Leighton, stand up if you 12 will, drop the little mask so everybody can get 13 a good look at that smile. You look like a 14 Thank you for being with us. proud husband. 15 MR. LEIGHTON: Thank you for allowing me to be here. All right. You have the 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 17 opportunity, Ms. Moss, to make any opening 18 statements that you'd like. Otherwise, Erin 19 will be asking questions of you to start off 20 this process, and then we'll turn it over to 21 members of the Commission. So the floor is yours if you'd like to make a brief statement. 22 23 I recognize your -- we are delayed in reaching 24 you, and that -- and I'll take that as my fault 25 We had some matters we needed to take alone.

1 care of outside of the presence of everyone. 2 with that, the floor is yours, or you can waive 3 it. 4 MS. MOSS: I would -- in the interest of time, will 5 waive my statement other than to thank each of 6 you for taking your time to speak with me today 7 and your service to our state. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right, Ms. 9 Crawford. 10 MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 MS. MOSS - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: 12 Q. Ms. Moss, please state for the record the city and circuit in which you reside. 13 14 I reside in Cowpens, South Carolina, which is in Α. 15 the Seventh Judicial Circuit. 16 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record 17 that based on the testimony contained in the 18 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 19 record with the candidate's consent, Ms. Moss 20 meets the statutory requirements for this 21 position regarding age, residence and years of 22 practice. 23 Ms. Moss, why do you want to serve as a family Q. 24 court judge, and why do you feel like your past 25 professional and legal experience qualify you

and will assist you to be an effective family court judge?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

As to your first question, I desire to serve as Α. a family court judge because I have a spirit of service. I have served on numerous committees and activities throughout my community for several years. I also have structured my practice in a way to serve litigants and to serve children. And being a judge would allow me to continue in that spirit of service. my qualifications to serve, I have had the opportunity to represent plaintiffs, defendants, third-party interveners, state agency as well as And I've learned from my experiences children. much more than my formal education ever provided. I have learned that there are all walks of life and that to the litigants in the courtroom a \$5,000 versus a \$5,000,000 equitable distribution is no less important to them. Serving as a special referee and as a mediator, I have learned that you have to observe people's interactions, how you react to those interactions and that you always must be courteous as well as concise and decisive. Мγ service as a quardian ad litem has given me the

ability to gather evidence from all sources, to look at cases from a neutral point of view and has allowed me the opportunity to work with a great number of experts that typically testify in the family court system. And all of these experiences together have helped me to have a background suitable to serve.

- Q. Thank you, Ms. Moss. I was just looking at your PDQ. You said to the Chairman you haven't run before. Did you apply to run before in 2009?
- A. Yes, ma'am, I applied, and I withdrew prior to screening.
- Q. Prior to screening, that's what I was looking for. Okay, thank you. Are there any additional areas of law that you're not as comfortable with or that you're not as familiar with if you were to become a family court judge you would need to ...
- A. My weakest area of law would probably be in matters dealing with the Department of Juvenile Justice. I've not tried a case in a DJJ matter in about five years. My recent experience with DJJ has typically been as a guardian ad litem, and I would need to read statutes, talk to the solicitors, speak with public defenders and

obviously observe some trials prior to that time.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. You touched on this a bit, but can you please briefly describe in a little bit more depth your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically your experience with the financial aspects of family court work?
- In dealing with complex litigation I have dealt Α. in numerous multi-day trials, wherein we've called experts ranging from psychosexual evaluators to medical professionals, bonding experts, parental alienation evaluators, education specialists. And I've dealt with all of those experts working to deal with the complex issues that come, whether it be a custody case. I've dealt with parental alienation, grandparent visitation. We also have had several other -- I've had some interesting cases where there was no clear statutory or case law to help us to determine what the law of the case should be, such as sibling visitation, post adoption without DSS involvement and where -- my most interesting is the paradigms of parental alienation were used to establish grandparent alienation.

1	Q.	Thank you, Ms. Moss. Ms. Moss, the Commission
2		received 127 ballot box surveys regarding you
3		with 26 additional comments. The ballot box
4		survey, for example contained the following
5		positive comments: She would do an excellent
6		job and is well qualified. She would make an
7		excellent candidate for a judge who served in
8		many roles in family court. She would make an
9		excellent family court judge. She listens well
LO		and causes people to feel that they have had an
L1		opportunity to be heard. Five of the written
L2		comments expressed concerns. Several comments
L3		expressed that you may lack good judgment and
L 4		question your moral character. How would you
L5		respond to these five concerns?
L6	Α.	As we know, we do not know where these come
L7		from. I was given the information that the
L8		allegations of those five are that I am involved
L9		in an affair with a sitting judge, per the
20		ballot box surveys. I am not, nor have I ever,
21		been involved in an extramarital affair with any
22		sitting judge. And if there was any proof to
23		those allegations or any veracity, which there

is none, then I would be appearing as well as

this other individual in front of disciplinary

24

1 council. 2 Thank you, Ms. Moss. Q. 3 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I would note that the Upstate Citizens Committee found Ms. Moss 4 5 qualified in the evaluative criteria, 6 constitutional qualifications, physical health 7 and mental stability. The Committee also found 8 her qualified in the evaluative criteria of 9 ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and 10 11 judicial temperament. 12 Q. Ms. Moss, are you aware that as a judicial 13 candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial 14 Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 15 Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 16 Α. Yes, ma'am. And since submitting your Letter of Intent, have 17 Q. 18 you contacted any members of the Commission 19 about your candidacy? 20 Α. No, ma'am. 21 And are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, 0. 22 including the limitations on contacting members 23 of the General Assembly regarding your 24 screening?

25

Α.

Yes, ma'am.

1 0. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you 2 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome 3 4 of your screening? 5 Α. No, ma'am. 6 Have you asked any third parties to contact **Q.** 7 members of the General Assembly? 8 Α. No, ma'am. 9 Are you aware of anybody doing that on your Q. 10 behalf? 11 No, ma'am. Α. 12 Q. Have you reviewed, and do you understand, the 13 Commission's quidelines on pledging in South 14 Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)? 15 Α. Yes. 16 MS. CRAWFORD: I would note for the record that any 17 concerns raised during the investigation 18 regarding this candidate were incorporated in 19 the questioning of the candidate today. And I 20 have no further questions. 21 Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Questions by members of the Commission? 22 Senator Talley. 23 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 afternoon, Ms. Moss. I should state for the

record you are Guardian in a handful of cases in

which I'm involved right now. And I know you 1 2 have probably served as mediator in a dozen, if 3 not more, cases I've been involved in over the 4 years; is that fair? MS. MOSS: 5 Yes. 6 Having said that, I wanted to go SENATOR TALLEY: 7 back to some of the comments in the ballot box 8 regarding your qualifications, and you've 9 touched on it earlier. You've obviously 10 represented parties in private cases, agency 11 cases, been quardian in too many to count, 12 served as special referee, served as mediator. 13 And so I want to just say thank you for running 14 and bringing that experience to the table. 15 there are a lot of positive comments that 16 appreciate, understand and acknowledge the vast 17 background and experience you have in family 18 court in seeking this position as well. 19 MS. MOSS: Thank you. 20 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 MS. MOSS - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 22 Ms. Moss, let me ask you. Your interaction with 0. 23 this process and the Citizens Committee, the 24 Bar, our staff as well, has this been a -- to 25 you in your view, a fair and thorough process

1 whereby all steps along the way has been a fair 2 process for you? 3 Α. Yes, sir, I believe so. And I also am very 4 appreciative the amount of work that all of 5 these committees and individuals put into this 6 process. 7 Q. And the Citizens Committee, I think, that's 8 where -- how many folks appeared with you there 9 or -- well, you might have done it remotely, I 10 guess, but --11 I prefer in No, sir. I attended in person. Α. 12 person, and there was a room full. I did not 13 I did not think of count them. I apologize. 14 that at the moment in time where they were all 15 staring at me as y'all are now, but there was 16 probably -- there was more than a dozen in the 17 room. 18 So again, that process, fair, an open exchange **Q.** 19 of questions, answers, did you believe or feel? 2.0 Yes, sir, I did. The chairman asked several Α. 21 questions. Other individuals asked questions. 22 They went through an extensive list of 23 questions, and I felt that they addressed many 24 areas and took the time necessary to really look

into my qualifications.

25

Q. Okay. Your ballot box surveys and the Letters of Reference, again, sing your praises. Pete Diamaduros might have been a little ahead of me in law school. You can't get a better letter, although I recognize the names of a number of those who have written on your behalf, so that's all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anybody else, anything? All right. Ms. Moss, unless there are others, at this time we will conclude this portion of the screening I want to remind you that pursuant to process. our process and the evaluative criteria we invoke both strict adherence to the letter and the spirit of the ethic laws, and any violation or the appearance of impropriety of those will be deemed very serious and deserving of heavy weight for us in our deliberation. The record will not be closed until the formal release of the Report of Qualifications, at which time we could call you back and have further under-oath questions and answers with you. Just need a verbal acknowledgment that you understand that? MS. MOSS: Yes, sir, I understand.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And for the record, that verbal response was greeted with a smile as well. With

1	that, Ms. Moss, thank you, thank you and your
2	husband for both being with us today, and again,
3	my apologies for the delay in getting to y'all.
4	God speed to you. Have safe travels back on
5	those well-paved roads to the Upstate.
6	MS. MOSS: Thank you, and I appreciate each of you
7	and your time today.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Y'all take care. Merry
9	Christmas to y'all.
10	MS. MOSS: Merry Christmas.
11	(Off the Record)
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. Chadwick D. Pye.
13	MR. PYE: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will raise your right hand.
15	CHADWICK D. PYE, having been duly sworn, testifies as
16	follows:
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you two documents
18	that you've previously prepared. Are those
19	ready to go in the record?
20	MR. PYE: Yes, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to
22	Caroline, we will get them in. In our
23	investigation of your candidacy, as you know,
24	we've looked at nine evaluative criteria, and we
25	also focus on the ballot box survey, a thorough

1	study of your application materials,
2	verification of your compliance with state
3	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in
4	which your name appears, a study of previous
5	screenings and a check for economic conflicts of
6	interest. No affidavits have been filed in
7	opposition to your election and no witnesses are
8	here to testify against you or for you, but I do
9	note that you brought in a couple of dapper
10	young men with you. Who are these folks?
11	(Exhibit Number 7 was marked for identification
12	purposes - (20 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
13	Chadwick D. Pye.)
14	(Exhibit Number 8 was marked for identification
15	purposes - (2 pages) Amendment to Personal Data
16	Questionnaire for Chadwick D. Pye.)
17	(Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification
18	purposes - (5 pages) Sworn Statement of Chadwick D.
19	Pye.)
20	MR. PYE: Yes, sir. That's my son, Corbin, and
21	that's my son, Will.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Stand up, sir, and speak into the
23	mic so the court reporter can record these names
24	fully.
25	MR. PYE: Corbin and Will.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Corbin, stand up. Will, st	and up,
and y'all have got to drop your masks in	order
to see what the Pye family looks like.	Good
looking smiles. Welcome. Senator Talle	У.
SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Chair, I want the Commis	sion
members to know that Mr. Corbin Pye here	, the
next time you see him, he may be wearing	a green
jacket. He's quite the golfer. He didn	't get
it from his daddy but I've been reading	about
his golf career back home, so good luck	to both
of you. Good boys.	
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Will, are you a caddy, by c	hance?
MR. CORBIN PYE: Sometimes, some days.	
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If he's wearing a green jac	ket,
he's sure to be his caddy. And you can	have
some friends and family help you with eg	g salad
sandwiches. We'll make a run for you.	Nice
having you gentlemen with us, and y'all	have a
seat. All right. Mr. Pye, you have the	
opportunity to make a brief opening stat	ement if
you like. It is late. I apologize for	the
delay in reaching you. Young men, we ap	ologize
to you as well. You have the opportunit	у.
MR. PYE: Well, I'd just like to thank you al	l for
your time today and the opportunity to b	e here

1 and for your service to the state. And Ms. 2 Benson, I'd like to thank her, because she's 3 been great throughout this whole process. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You thought she was nice. 5 just watch. Sic 'em, Paula. 6 MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 MR. PYE - EXAMINATION BY MS. BENSON: 8 Mr. Pye, would you please state for the record 0. 9 the city and the circuit in which you reside? Spartanburg is the city and the seventh circuit. 10 Α. 11 MS. BENSON: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that 12 based on the testimony contained in the 13 candidate's PDO, which has been amended and 14 which is made part of the record with the 15 candidate's consent, Mr. Pye meets all the 16 statutory requirements for this position 17 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 18 Mr. Pye, why do you want to serve as a family 0. 19 court judge, and why do you feel that your legal 20 and professional experience qualify and will 21 assist you to be an effective judge? 22 Α. Well, I've been practicing law now for 22 years, 23 and of that 22 years 19 of it has been primarily 24 in family court. Three of the years I was with 25 the Haynsworth firm where I did no family court.

Otherwise, for 19 years, I've primarily practiced family court law. I enjoy family court work. I feel like I'm actually making a difference in people's lives and not just dealing with money. When I was at the Haynsworth firm I felt like a glorified insurance adjuster a lot of times. We were just dealing with money, and you don't feel like you're really helping people. So in family court you're making a difference, and I just enjoy that.

- Q. Thank you. Mr. Pye, are there any areas of the law for which you feel like you'll need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge and how would you go about getting that additional preparation?
- A. Well, I've handled all areas of family court except non-step-parent adoptions. I do not have a lot of experience with non-step-parent adoptions, but to remedy that I've reached out to Jim Thompson from Spartanburg who literally wrote the book on adoption law in South Carolina. I reached out to Jim, and I was supposed to actually try a two-day case with him last month that got postponed. So in the hopes

that I will be on the family court bench, I'm
going to work with Jim for the next several
months on his cases to get a better
understanding of that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Pye. Will this also include -or do you have experience in handling complex
 and contested family court matters, and
 specifically could you discuss any experience
 that you have with dealing with financial
 matters before the family court?
- So most of my practice in family court has been Α. divorce, custody, asset and debt division. Ι have handled very complex cases, one of which involved a gentleman who owned convenience stores all over South Carolina, probably the largest convenience store owner in South I handled his case, so I'm used to Carolina. working with accountants, forensic accountants, and people who just come in and value businesses. And the way I see it is, you know, whether it's \$100,000 case or a marital estate of 100 million dollars, the 100,000 is just as important to those people as the 100 million is to the other crowd. So I can divide up, you know, 50 percent or 40 percent of 100 million as

easy as I can do 100,000.

2.0

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Pye. Mr. Pye, the Commission received 144 ballot box surveys regarding you. Fifteen of those had additional comments, and many of them had positive comments. For instance, Outstanding, excellent, hard working, prepared, honest, intelligent, desire to serve, a good man, would have excellent judicial temperament. Eight of the 15 comments expressed some concerns. Several comments questioned whether or not that you'd had the requisite family court experience. Would you have a response about that?
- A. Yes, ma'am. As I stated, for 19 years I've been practicing law in family court. And I would say 55 to 65 percent of my time has been in family court handling defense of juveniles and DJJ cases, thousands of divorces involving custody, alimony, child support, things of that nature. I have defended hundreds of DSS cases, abuse and neglect cases. So I have experience in all aspects of family court law except I haven't, as I stated, done adoptions outside of step-parent adoptions. I've done a lot of step-parent adoptions, but they are a little different than

1 the traditional adoption.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Mr. Pye, the second concern indicated that you had not been seen often in family court and that you had indicated to your peers that your practice focus was on personal injury and workers' compensation cases. What response do you have to that?
- Well, I'm in family court at least, I would say, Α. two or three days a week. So if they haven't seen me, I don't -- maybe they weren't there. But as far as the personal injury, as I mentioned earlier, I did have a stint at the Haynsworth firm where I did a lot of workers' So when I left, I kind of had comp defense. that reputation for a while of being a workers' comp lawyer. So people would associate me on workers' comp cases, but having worked for a gentleman by the name of Bob Holland prior to going to Haynsworth. Bob was, in my opinion and probably the opinion of a lot of people, the premiere family court lawyer in Spartanburg. Having worked for Bob, then going back to Spartanburg, I started getting family court So family court slowly took over my personal injury practice. And that was fine

with me because, once again, I wanted to feel
like I was representing real people and not just
in there fighting over money.

- Q. Thank you. Mr. Pye, the third concern questioned whether your business interests would distract you from concentrating on judicial duties. I believe that you own a fitness business and wonder if you have a response to this?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am, I do. Two and a half years ago I
 11 opened up a gym right down below Senator
 12 Talley's house. I haven't seen him in there
 13 working out, but --

14 | SENATOR TALLEY: You won't.

2.0

A. -- so I did that two and a half years ago. I've always had an interest in working out. I've always been the little guy on the sports team, so I was always having to do a little extra.

And I felt like Spartanburg needed a nice community facility. And so my boys and I started this gym, and I've wanted them to be able to see how you start a business and grow a business. And they're running the business now, so it's been fun, but I do have an offer of someone to purchase that gym from me because I

do think under the rules it would be conflict to
be on the bench and have the gym. Because one
of the rules says if you have continuous contact
financially with people who may come before you,
that would be a conflict. So that would be
resolved by selling the gym.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Pye. Mr. Pye, the fourth concern raised questions about your ethical behavior and trustworthiness, particularly in dealings with your clients as well as with fellow lawyers.

 What would your response to this concern be?
- First I would say that's troubling to hear. Α. Ι mean, certainly I take that to heart. you take the Bar exam and pass the Bar, you're required to take an oath of civility as well as an oath that entails ethics and professionalism. And we are required as attorneys and members of the Bar to approach someone that we think is crossing ethical bounds or acting in an untrustworthy manner. And I can tell you, in my 22 years, I've never been approached by anyone I've never had any issues or accused of that. with the Bar, but I unfortunately -- and I wrote about this in my PDQ -- I have had the unfortunate circumstance of turning someone in

1 to the Bar. I gave them three chances to remedy 2 the issue. They refused to. I talked to a 3 circuit court judge begging him to tell me 4 reasons why I did not turn him in to the Bar. Ι 5 called John Nichols in Columbia before he became 6 disciplinary counsel. I said, please, John, 7 tell me reasons why I do not turn this guy in to 8 the Bar because I just don't want to do that. 9 mean, he's got a wife and children. John said, 10 well, do you like your license better than you 11 like his license? You better turn him in. 12 Because if you don't turn him in, it's on you. 13 So I've been in that position. It's a horrible 14 position, to turn someone in, but I've never had 15 anyone approach me and say I was in any way 16 unethical, which they should have under the 17 rules if they felt that way. 18 Thank you, Mr. Pye. Mr. Pye, in your PDO, you **Q.** 19 listed six lawsuits where you were a named

Q. Thank you, Mr. Pye. Mr. Pye, in your PDQ, you listed six lawsuits where you were a named party. And in addition, as we talked about, the SLED report came back with three additional lawsuits which you amended your PDQ to list.

Now are any of these lawsuits still pending with the exception of the last one that I'll mention that you were not served upon? And I'll go

20

21

22

23

24

through each one briefly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

24

- So I can tell you that there's one still Α. pending, which is what she just stated. never sued anyone. I have never been personally sued until a couple of months ago. And Ms. Benson pointed that out to me that a federal lawsuit had been filed in one of my domestic I've provided that to her, and I hope y'all have had an opportunity to read it. was quite interesting. Not only was I sued, the judge was sued, the clerk of court was sued, the sheriff was sued, the quardian was sued. Basically everyone who looked at this gentleman was sued, and he's a very troubled individual. I had to get released from the case. He was -had to be escorted from the courthouse on two I was not comfortable representing occasions. someone that was threatening witnesses. decided to sue me but I've never been served with it.
- 21 | Q. And that's the Piccirilli case?
- 22 A. Piccirilli, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. The other cases, The Household Finance
 Corporation, even though you're listed as a
 defendant, you were representing a party in that

1 case as opposed to being a defendant? 2 I believe that's where I was representing The Α. 3 Palmetto Bank. 4 The Chadwick Pye versus Leonard Yes, sir. **Q.** 5 Mazur, that's where you were appointed as a 6 personal representative? 7 Α. That's correct. The Harris and White law firm 8 in Spartanburg had a wrongful death case, and 9 they called me and asked me if I would act as 10 the PR of the estate so that they could bring 11 the case. 12 Q. In re Talley, you were a creditor in that 13 matter. 14 Α. Correct. 15 Jolley versus Joe Wells, you were named as a Q. 16 defendant because -- what was your connection with Mr. Wells there? 17 18 Myself, a surgeon friend of mine in Spartanburg Α. 19 and a stockbroker friend of mine in Spartanburg 2.0 had all invested in a business in downtown 21 Spartanburg that Mr. Wells had started. And as 22 security for the money that we loaned him he put 23 up this land, and we were way down the line as 24 So when he defaulted on the loan

with the plaintiff, we had to be included also

1 as defendants. And there's a companion case to 2 Mr. Wells didn't pay a lot of his that too. 3 bills. 4 And in Gillespie versus Jolley, that's the **Q.** 5 companion case? 6 Yes, ma'am, that's correct. Α. 7 Okay. And then Pye versus Cartee was a Q. 8 neighborhood matter? 9 Yes, ma'am. Mr. Cartee came into my Α. neighborhood and broke into like 15 cars one 10 11 night, him and a partner in crime. And that was part of the restitution. When he went to court 12 13 they turned it into a civil matter, so it's me 14 I never filed anything. against him. That's 15 just -- I get a check for about \$20 trickle in 16 about every six months for his restitution. 17 Q. Thank you. And the Grigg versus McIntyre, you 18 were an attorney for one of the parties as 19 opposed to being a party? 2.0 I believe that's the two cases that I Α. Correct. 21 was listed by the Boiling Springs magistrate's 22 court as a plaintiff when I was actually 23 representing landowners in an eviction case. 24 And the other was Price versus Gilliam? Q. 25 That's correct. We were evicting her mother-in-Α.

- 1 | -law in that one.
- 2 Q. Thank you, Mr. Pye.
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 MS. BENSON: I would note that the Upstate Citizens 5 Committee found Mr. Pye qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 6 7 qualifications, physical health and mental 8 stability. The Committee found him well 9 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 10 fitness, professional and academic ability, 11 character, reputation, experience and judicial 12 temperament.
 - Q. Mr. Pye, I've got just a few housekeeping questions. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.

14

15

16

- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 22 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including its limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?

1 | A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

13

14

- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 6 A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact

 members of the General Assembly on your behalf,

 or are you aware of anyone attempting to

 intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 11 A. I haven't asked anyone to, and I'm not aware of anyone doing it.
 - Q. Thank you. Have you reviewed, and do you understand, the Commission's guidelines on pledging and Code § 2-19-70(E)?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. BENSON: I would just note for the record that
 any concerns raised during the investigation
 regarding the candidate were incorporated into
 the questioning of the candidate today. Mr.
 Chairman, I have no further questions.
- CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, Paula. Mr.

 Pye, that wasn't nearly as bad as you were

 fearing, was it?
- 25 MR. PYE: No, sir, no, sir. I had it built up to be

1 pretty bad though. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Questions by other members 3 of the Commission? I want to start out -- and 4 again, Corbin and Will, y'all are 15 and 18? 5 MR. WILL PYE: I am 19, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Nineteen and --7 MR. CORBIN PYE: I am 16. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super, super. This is perhaps the 9 most unique trip to Columbia you've ever made. 10 Glad y'all are here. 11 MR. PYE - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 12 Q. Mr. Pye, I want to ask about your interaction 13 with this process, all phases of this. 14 obviously, this today is just one step along the 15 way of many steps you've taken to get here, 16 right? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Is this, in your view, a healthy and thorough 0. 19 investigative process for candidates, yourself 20 included? 21 I think that it has been very thorough in the Α. 22 investigation. I would say that I was surprised 23 a little bit by not only my -- what came out 24 from the committees with me as far as qualified 25 versus well qualified or whatever. And some of

1 the other candidates in other races that had 2 contacted me, they were concerned about, well, 3 how did they know my academic qualifications if 4 they didn't see my transcript. Those kind of 5 questions were discussed amongst candidates, you 6 know, not just in this family court race, but in 7 others as well. So I would just point that out 8 to you -- and that made me think, well, you 9 know, how did they know the academic credentials 10 of a candidate unless they've seen transcripts 11 and grades. But otherwise, I think it's the 12 best system that we have. 13 Well, again, you're raising others commenting to **Q.**

- Q. Well, again, you're raising others commenting to you about that, and again, this is you're referring to professional and academic ability perhaps?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. And do you -- again, the interaction with that Upstate Committee, was that done by Zoom, or was that done in person, that interview?
 - A. My Upstate Committee was in person, and it went great. I thought they did as good of a job as one could do. The other one was by Zoom, my Bar interview, and those folks were very thorough

and asked me a lot of questions.

- Q. And so at the Citizens Committee, the Upstate
 Citizens Committee meeting, I guess, do you feel
 like you had the opportunity to answer fully or
 state your case as fully as you wanted?
- A. Yes, sir. They gave me every opportunity to explain anything I wanted to.
 - Q. If you would waive your magic wand and have us do something differently -- again, not us today, not me, but throughout this JMSC process, what would you have us do?
- 12 Α. That I don't know. And that's why I mentioned 13 that I think it's the best system that we have 14 at this point. But I thought that was a 15 legitimate question that I had not thought up 16 when someone brought up the whole academic In particular, this person had gone to 17 issue. 18 Vanderbilt Law School, which is a very fine 19 school and had done very well and didn't 20 understand why they were disqualified 21 academically with nothing else to show that they weren't, if that makes sense. 22
- 23 Q. That was not you?
- 24 A. Sir?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25 Q. You're not making that statement?

- 1 A. No, sir. I wasn't smart enough to go to Vanderbilt Law School.
 - Q. Well, likewise, I rode by it. That's as close to it as I could get. And finally, in terms of the ballot box survey, do you participate in these when you get these solicitations on other candidates?
 - A. Yes, sir. I do, yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you believe that that's a healthy way for us to gauge -- again, anonymous though they are, but to gauge folks in their view of a candidate's qualifications?
- Thank you for brining that up because I should Α. have mentioned that myself. Like, for example, the comment about the trustworthiness and the ethics. I think it's kind of a cheap shot sitting behind a computer and anonymously saying that about someone when I look back at my career and I've never been approached or challenged in that manner. But my first thought was, well, the guy I had to turn into the Bar, him and his buddies probably thought, well, this is my time to give a little payback and Chad won't even know it's us. So it would be nice if they had to come before the Committee and tell us what

- the issue was back then if there truly is an issue.
 - Q. Well, again, you have participated in this.
 You've filled these out?
 - A. I have.

- Q. And so, good or bad, you've made comments about other candidates?
 - A. Well, typically I don't -- I wouldn't make any bad comments unless it was -- and I don't recall ever making any bad comments about people. I usually will say qualified, well qualified or unqualified. And then if I know them very well, I will speak to how well I know them and their daily -- but if I've only had a couple of cases with them, I mean, you just never know what's going on in someone's life. I may have caught them on a bad day. You just don't know what people are dealing with personally. So I wouldn't want to sabotage their career based on one or two interactions with someone. So I avoid the negative.
 - Q. Well, and for Will and Corbin's benefit and yours as well, but overwhelmingly you get more positive comments by those who know you.
 - A. Thank you.

1	0	So be heartened by that So with that I don't
	Q.	So be heartened by that. So with that, I don't
2		have anything else.
3	CHAII	RMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.
4	MR. PYE -	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
5	Q.	Good afternoon, Mr. Pye.
6	Α.	Good afternoon.
7	Q.	Hope you're doing well today. I won't be long,
8		but let me just ask a couple of things. I get
9		the sense that looking at your PDQ, that you
10		appear in family court relatively frequently?
11	A.	Yes, sir.
12	Q.	And is it generally in Spartanburg County?
13	A.	Mostly Spartanburg, sometimes Greenville,
14		sometimes Cherokee County. I have been in
15		Columbia. I have been as far as Charleston.
16	Q.	I know and I get it. I travel myself a little
17		bit.
18	A.	Yes, sir.
19	Q.	So I guess for the most part you're regional in
20		most of your cases?
21	A.	That's correct, yes, sir.
22	Q.	Okay. And I know you've indicated and I
23		don't remember ever dealing with you, you did
24		comp defense many, many years ago
25	A.	Yes, sir.

- Q. -- and that over time, I guess -- you hadn't turned those cases down, but just over time you've developed a bigger family court practice?
- A. Yes, sir. I've found that it's just difficult to compete with the TV guys.
- Q. No question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And I just -- so I just enjoy family court, so I take what comes and know it's what comes.
- And I get it. And to just kind of echo a little Q. bit of what the Chairman is saying is that certainly we look at ballot box comments, we take them, I guess, for what they're worth, look I mean, we -- I hear the word for trends. outlier a lot in here, meaning if there's one or two as opposed to fifty that are very good, you kind of, again, get a sense of what's going on. And the only thing I'm kind of puzzled about is is where are these comments coming up saying you don't go to family court. You don't do it very much, that you want to do personal injury, you want to do workers' comp, but where's that all coming from?
- A. I don't know. I can tell you that I'm in family court weekly. The judges in Spartanburg can tell you that. I do still get a lot of workers'

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comp. I get some car wreck stuff but family court takes up -- and I kid sometimes. I tell people 65 percent of my time is spent on family court and in family court and 65 percent of my money comes from personal injury. That's just the way it shakes out.

- Q. Well, and it sounds like that you're staying busy, you're being successful. I mean, is this something that has been a long-term goal, or does this just happen to be, hey, the opportunity's there?
- Α. No, sir. I told Senator Talley 15 years ago that I want to be a family court judge one day, probably in about 2005 I quess it was. So this is something I've always wanted to do. The time just wasn't right, my boys weren't old enough, because I would attend all of their functions. And I understand once you take the bench at 9:00 in the morning you don't just get to go to a golf match or to a play or to an awards banquet. And so I maintained my private practice until Corbin's a freshman in college and Will's a junior in high school and with Judge Sinclair having to retire, it just -- the timing is just right. I've done all I can do, I feel like, as

1 a private practitioner, and I'd like to see what 2 I can do from the bench, which includes helping 3 I know a lot of lawyers -- and I families. 4 think I wrote about this in my PDO, like to pour 5 gas on the fire. To me, family court's one of 6 the worst things you're going through in your 7 life, or you're going to go through in your 8 life, is a divorce and loss of your children 9 possibly part time and your retirement that you 10 worked for. And I like to look at myself as 11 someone that fixes the problem rather than just 12 pours gas on it to create a bigger fight for the 13 other side and they make more money. And I have 14 brought up the fact I can make my money settling 15 one car wreck case, but I'd rather do good on a 16 family court case and bring people together and 17 end the squabble, and I think I can do that as a 18 judae. 19

Q. Well, and just on that last point, my concern over time. And I haven't done a family court case in quite some time, I did some in the past. It's that it just seems like there's a underlying incentive on the part of the lawyers to want to fuel the fire, because that's how they get paid.

20

21

22

23

24

A. Absolutely.

2.0

- Q. That the bottom line isn't always really the goal.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. It's a matter of let's take a few trips around the park before we get there. What are you going to do to try to facilitate what you're telling us, which is, I don't want these things to become just mushrooms. I want them to basically get to the crux and get them done in a way that these folks aren't paying a fortune in order to get oftentimes a division of some meager assets.
 - A. That's a great question and I've thought about that a lot. And the way to fix that as a judge is, you know, as in family court, which is one of the few areas where we can award attorney's fees. And if there is a case that were to become before me where clearly one side was trying to resolve issues from the beginning and the other side was just trying to churn the meter, I think that person should pay some attorney's fees. I don't think that is used enough in our family court system. I don't think attorney's fees are awarded enough or

1 enough attorney's fees are awarded. 2 Thank you for your responses. Q. 3 I think that would quickly quell the notion of, Α. 4 you know, party A, lawyer A, to run up a huge 5 bill on the other side if their client had to 6 pay. 7 Well, I get the point. I appreciate it. Thank Q. 8 you. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Anybody else? Hope. 10 Good afternoon. MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: 11 MR. PYE: Good afternoon. 12 MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: I just wanted to go on the 13 record to have it stated that we know each 14 I have seen you. And I first met you on other. 15 the halls of the family court -- hallways when I 16 was clerk of court, and that's how we've known. 17 And I also want to be on record that you have 18 assisted in helping my daughter on an issue. 19 And I wanted that all to be on the record but 2.0 thank you for running, and thank you for what 21 you do. 22 All right. Anybody else? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 23 well. Mr. Pye, I appreciate your being here. 24 Again, Will, Corbin, likewise your attendance 25 with your father. This concludes this portion

1	of the screening process. You are reminded that
2	we in the JMSC treat very seriously and adhere
3	to both the letter and the spirit of the South
4	Carolina ethics laws. Any violation or
5	appearance of impropriety in that vein is
6	deserving of very serious consideration by us.
7	You understand that this record is not closed
8	and will not be until the formal release of the
9	Report of Qualifications, and if the need were
10	to arise, we would call you back, correct?
11	MR. PYE: Yes, sir, I understand.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right, sir. With
13	that, y'all have a wonderful Christmas, college
14	at Wofford which one's at Wofford?
15	MR. CORBIN PYE: Spartanburg Methodist.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Spartanburg Methodist, okay. Very
17	good.
18	MR. PYE: Hopefully he'll transfer to Wofford and
19	finish his last year in golf.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super, super. Well, can you hit a
21	nine iron from your campus to the Wofford
22	campus? How far is it?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: That'd be a long drive.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Would it be a three wood or a
25	MR. CORBIN PYE: A driver, probably.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: A driver. I believe you could do
2	it. All right, guys, thank y'all. Merry
3	Christmas to you.
4	MR. PYE: Thank you.
5	(Off the Record)
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Erika L. McJimpsey.
7	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: What a beautiful name.
9	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're going to get started by first
11	asking you to raise your right hand.
12	THE HONORABLE ERIKA L. McJIMPSEY, having been duly
13	sworn, testifies as follows:
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you two documents,
15	a PDQ and a Sworn Statement. Are those ready to
16	be put into the record?
17	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to
19	the young lady to your right. Ms. McJimpsey, we
20	have thoroughly investigated your application
21	here and your qualifications for the bench. You
22	know that we focus on nine evaluative criteria.
23	We also look at the ballot box survey, a study
24	of your application materials, verification of
25	your compliance with state ethics laws, a search

of newspaper articles in which your name
appears. You've not previously screened for a
position?
(Exhibit Number 10 was marked for identification
purposes - (16 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
The Honorable Erika L. McJimpsey.)
(Exhibit Number 11 was marked for identification
purposes - (4 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
Erika L. McJimpsey.)
JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Yes, sir, I have on two prior
occasions.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. When were they, remind me?
JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: 2012 and 2016.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And my apologies. That
is in my book. I was not here so I did not
participate, I don't believe.
JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Glad to have you back, before us,
and me, the first time.
JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Finally, we looked at and checked
for economic conflicts of interest. No
affidavits have been filed in opposition to your
campaign, and no witnesses are here to testify
for you or against you. Ms. McJimpsey, you have

1 the right to make an ever so brief opening 2 statement. I apologize for the hour that you 3 are appearing. We are late. You're right here 4 on time. The floor is yours. 5 JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: I just want to thank y'all for the 6 opportunity to be here before you. It's an 7 honor and a privilege to be here, so, thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, thank you, and Ms. Mottel 9 will ask you some questions now. 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. MOTTLE: 11 JUDGE McJIMPSEY - EXAMINATION BY MS. MOTTEL SYMMES: 12 Q. Judge McJimpsey, please state for the record the 13 city and circuit in which you reside. 14 Spartanburg, South Carolina, the Seventh Α. 15 Judicial Circuit. 16 0. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I note for the 17 MS. MOTTEL SYMMES: 18 record that based on the testimony contained in 19 the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in 20 the record with the candidate's consent, Judge 21 McJimpsey meets the statutory requirements for 22 this position regarding age, residence and years 23 of practice. 24 Judge McJimpsey, why do you want to serve as a Q. 25 family court judge, and why do you feel that

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?

I'd like to serve as a family court judge Α. because it would continue the legacy of service, particularly as it relates to family. My father was a juvenile probation officer for 32 years. My grandmother -- I remember, being a small child, and she worked in a facility for unwed So I have a long legacy of service to families, have always been interested and dedicated to issues relating to children and family. I'm certain that you reviewed my information. All of my volunteer work relates to children and families within the schools, within churches, within our community. strong dedication to family. My first job out of law school was the first full time domestic violence prosecutor. I went on from there to do some work in general sessions. Following that, I worked in the family court as a juvenile prosecutor for about four and a half years. Ι worked for about four and a half years at the South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice, which at that time was my dream job. continued to live in Spartanburg but commuted

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

daily with two small kids, a husband, to have the opportunity to work for the Department of I absolutely loved and adored Juvenile Justice. that job and would not have left that job except for the opportunity to serve on the bench. my commitment and dedication from as long as I can remember has always been related to children and family. I understand the extreme importance of having good people who have a fair and a just background in those roles to help hopefully restore families or to create a family that a So it's extremely important child did not have. to me, and it's one of my lifelong passions.

- Q. Thank you. Judge McJimpsey, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge and how would you handle that additional preparation?
- A. I've never worked in private practice, and I'm certain you've noticed that from my information. I have worked in legal issues as it relates to the family as a military judge. I was in the JAG Corps for over 11 years. At that time, I was devoted to legal services, which dealt with separation agreements and issues as it relates

to soldiers and their families. And I have already begun, and even while working on the bench, continued to do continuing legal education classes as it relates to family court, even 2016, 2018, even up to last night. I was doing a CLE as it relates to abuse and neglect, DSS issues. So again, there are certain areas where I would have to work to gain competency. But I've already gained and am currently gaining that competency because I'm proactive in regard to taking that approach.

- Q. Thank you. And you spoke to this, but can you please briefly describe your experience in handling complex or contested family court matters and discuss your experience with the financial aspects of such work?
- A. And I have not personally worked in those areas, but again, I have taken the advanced family law course, the Bench Bar, Hot Tips, all of those classes I've taken numerous times beginning from 2012, 2016, '17 and '18. But I have not personally served as a lawyer in regard to complex family court cases. But again, I'm continuing to sharpen my skills and will continue to do that.

1	Q.	Thank you. Judge McJimpsey, the Commission
2		received 191 ballot box surveys regarding you
3		with 22 additional comments. The ballot box
4		survey contained the following positive
5		comments: Given her deep background of
6		experience, both in the Spartanburg County
7		Courthouse and as a judge for the City of
8		Spartanburg, I think Erika McJimpsey would be an
9		excellent addition to the family court. Erika
10		has shown incredible leadership in establishing
11		the homeless court in Spartanburg. Her
12		compassion for the people who come in front of
13		her and her desire to find creative solutions to
14		actually improve their lives makes her a great
15		judge. And, I have appeared before Judge
16		McJimpsey on numerous occasions and would note
17		that she has excellent knowledge of the law and
18		courtroom procedure. She listens attentively to
19		the litigants that appear before her and is
20		always courteous to attorneys, witnesses and
21		parties alike. She is exactly what we need more
22		of in our judiciary. Nine of the written
23		comments expressed concerns. The majority of
24		those comments indicated that you lack
25		experience or have no experience with family

1 court matters, particularly related to DSS. 2 What response would you offer to this concern? 3 And again, oftentimes people are not fully aware Α. 4 of the background that a candidate has. 5 worked for DJJ, I was intricately involved with 6 DSS matters, because they often intertwined, not 7 only professionally but also personally. I'm an 8 adopted mom. I'm a foster care mom. My husband 9 worked over 20 years in a group home setting, so 10 I do have some background in regard to those 11 abuse and neglect issues, both personally and 12 professionally. 13 The second concern indicated that **Q.** 14 you have poor judicial temperament. Could you 15 please tell the Commission what you feel the 16 appropriate demeanor of a family court judge? 17 Α. The appropriate demeanor of a judge, family 18 court judge or otherwise, is to be fair, to be 19 temperate, to be polite, to be courteous and 20 also to be competent. 21 0. Thank you, Judge McJimpsey. 22 MS. MOTTEL SYMMES: I would note that the Upstate 23 Citizens Committee found Judge McJimpsey to be 24 well qualified in the evaluative criteria of 25 ethical fitness, professional and academic

1 ability, character, reputation, experience and 2 judicial temperament and qualified in the areas 3 of constitutional qualifications, physical 4 health and mental stability. 5 Q. And I just have a few housekeeping questions for 6 Are you aware that as a judicial 7 candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial 8 Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 9 Carolina Appellate Court Rules? Α. 10 Yes. 11 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you 0. 12 contacted any members of the Commission about 13 your candidacy? 14 Α. No. 15 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. 16 the limitations on contacting members of the 17 General Assembly regarding your screening? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Thank you. Since submitting your Letter of Q. 20 Intent, have you sought or received the pledge 21 of any legislator, either prior to this date or 22 pending the outcome of your screening? 23 Α. No. 24 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 25 members of the General Assembly on your behalf,

1		or are you aware of anyone attempting to
2		intervene in this process on your behalf?
3	A.	No.
4	Q.	Have you reviewed, and do you understand, the
5		Commission's guidelines on pledging in South
6		Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)?
7	A.	Yes.
8	MS. I	MOTTEL SYMMES: I would just note for the record
9		that any concerns raised during the
10		investigation regarding Judge McJimpsey were
11		incorporated into the questioning of the
12		candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no
13		further questions.
14	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Symmes.
15		Questions by members of the Commission? Senator
16		Talley.
17	JUDGE MCJ	IMPSEY - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR TALLEY:
18	Q.	Good afternoon, Judge.
19	A.	Yes, sir.
20	Q.	Nice to see you.
21	A.	You too.
22	Q.	How are your boys?
23	A.	They're doing well.
24	Q.	Good. I shared with some members of this
25		Commission, I think we have two that played

- sports together for a period of years.
- $2 \mid A$. We did from little league on.
 - Q. That's right. I had a comment, and then I just want to give you an opportunity to expand on something --
 - A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- that you had mentioned. You know, one, we Q. look at a lot of things, including some of these ballot box surveys. And some of the comments that have been made as far as, you know, your demeanor or temperament. One even says it's based on stories. It's not something anybody's personally witnessed. I wanted you to know And I also wanted you to know that while I've appeared in your courtroom numerous times, I've never seen anything of the such. It's run professionally. It's run efficiently. hear both sides, give everybody a chance to state their case and try their case. And so I just wanted to make that comment. But I did want to give you a chance to talk through the issues that have come up about your lack of private practice experience. And you're well aware in Spartanburg we have a pretty heavy family court docket. And there's a need for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody to jump right in and help move cases.

So what would you say to these critics and this

Commission beyond what you've already done as

far as continuing education and things like that

that you think would help you get on the bench

and be able to hear a three-day private divorce

case that has custody issues, financial issues

from day one?

Again, you all are aware that I've been screened Α. several times, and I've continued to gain knowledge and expertise in these particular areas. And I'm quite familiar with learning and doing things that oftentimes look very difficult. I was 28 years old when I joined the military, which a lot of people told me, why are you doing this. There's no reason for you to do this. There's no way that you would be able to catch up to speed without any prior knowledge or prior involvement with the military, but I got I excelled. One of my strong points involved. is excellence and excelling and being committed to doing well and to working very, very hard. I'm not a stranger to hard work. I'm not afraid of hard work, even though there are times that I didn't go through, I continued to learn and to

study and to gain expertise. Even though those
doors were shut, I never gave up on the
opportunity of being a family court judge. I
think oftentimes there are also skill sets that
are not directly related to the role. Being in
the military, I've learned to deal with complex,
novel issues. Without the experience prior to
going in, I was able to learn and to advance in
the military setting, even as it relates to
coming on the bench to the municipal court there
in Spartanburg. At the time that I got the
position, there were over 1,800 pending jury
trial cases. They hadn't had a jury trial in
five to ten years. When I started that job I
worked very hard to streamline that docket and
to make sure that our cases in our court ran as
efficiently as any court in the state. And I'm
proud to say I personally believe that we run a
good court and part of that is some of the skill
sets that I brought to the bench based on my
prior prosecutorial experience, my prior miliary
experience and even being a mother. As a mother
and as a foster parent and adoptive parent,
issues come up that you have to exercise extreme
patience and competency, and I've done that

1 throughout my career. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Hope. 3 Hello, Judge McJimpsey. MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: 4 wanted to have it stated on the record that we 5 know each other both personally and 6 professionally. I worked with Erika when she 7 was assistant solicitor on DJJ cases as well as 8 criminal domestic violence cases and grew a 9 friendship out of that as well as a mentorship. 10 I wanted to make sure that y'all knew that, but 11 I was very surprised about the temperament comment, because I've never in any time seen you 12 13 have a horrible or even come close to having a 14 bad temperament. So I found that to be very 15 interesting, but I also wanted to -- I didn't 16 hear any mention of you starting the homeless 17 court in Spartanburg, which is a big, big deal

have a horrible or even come close to having a bad temperament. So I found that to be very interesting, but I also wanted to -- I didn't hear any mention of you starting the homeless court in Spartanburg, which is a big, big deal right now with the homeless, homeless numbers increasing around the country, especially in the upstate. And that's something you just did on your own and you don't get any additional funding for -- compensation for it, I guess I should say. So I wanted to commend you on that process and that's something that you needed to learn. And I have an institute that's called

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

the Institute for Youth Justice, where we work with 11th to 12th graders to learn about the justice system as a whole. And Judge McJimpsey is a volunteer along with other circuit court and family court judges with this program. by far, the surveys always come back that they enjoyed Judge McJimpsey's courtroom and her interaction with these youth. And so I wanted to make sure that was on the record, and your volunteer experience is impeccable. And thank you for running. I know that you are going to continue to work hard to get the -- learn the things that you need to learn to be in a good position for a judicial appointment such as this, but I wish you all the best. And thank you for running, and it's great, great seeing you.

17

18

JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Thank you.

19 JUDGE MCJIMPSEY - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

20

21

Q. Judge, you have an impressive resume and two things I want to comment on. Full time city judge city judge in Spartanburg, correct?

2223

A. Yes, sir.

24

25

Q. And that speaks to your inability to, I guess, have time in a family court setting. When you

- list your practice mix, effectively you don't
 have any percentage attributed to the domestic
 practice, correct, presently?
- 4 A. Not presently, not presently.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you've been a city judge since 2012,
 6 right?
- 7 A. 2009.
- 8 Q. All right. And I'm looking at your PDQ --
- 9 A. And I think the distinction would be I was part
 10 time --
- 11 Q. Part time.
- 12 A. -- and then in 2011, 2012 I became full time.
- 13 | Q. Full time, right.
- A. So initially when I started, I was in a parttime capacity, and then went into a full-time capacity around 2011, 2012.
- 17 Q. As Hope and Scott, or Senator Talley,
- referenced, you are a busy lady. It appears
- 19 that you are in high demand for speaking and you
- 20 have quite a resume of activity and community
- 21 involvement, which is very noteworthy. And then
- one other is a letter of reference by Mr.
- 23 Cauthen, George Cauthen, I believe.
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. That is worthy of note, too. Do you have much

L	time	to	sleep	might	be	a	fair	question	for	you?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Not a lot but I don't need a lot. And when Α. you're in your passion and you're doing what you love, you have the capacity to do more. that's part of the reason why I go out to schools and speak to people in the community because I consider myself somewhat of an ambassador of the legal system. And my story's such that I believe if I can do it, anyone can If you're willing to put in the hard do it. work, you can accomplish that. And it's part of my mandate to make sure that people see people like me and understand that not everybody gets to walk the same path and not everybody has certain opportunities. But if you work hard and you're committed, those things will happen in So for me, that's part of what I love to time. I spend the bulk of my time in schools, in community settings just to make sure that people see my face and I am accessible. And I can tell my story that hopefully will capture someone's attention that they have what it takes to do it as well.
 - Q. Got it. Well, you certainly are getting, again, anonymous comments that you -- I'm going to

perhaps read someone's comment, the right stuff. Either I read, or you're invoking that with what you just said for me. And that is a role of a judge. What you don't have, fortunately or unfortunately for you, is a deep knowledge of and/or experience in the very court that you're trying to get. You were a JAG officer for 11 years, I think?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Obviously, you've got the ability to be a quick study. But to the person who would appear before you who has never seen you in a family court setting in the throes of custody or equitable distribution or all the iterations of family court, all important, that would be concerned that you have no experience. Speak to that again.
- A. While I don't have direct experience in a civilian setting, I do have that experience in the military setting, and some of that does translate. I also have the involvement in terms of abuse and neglect. As a juvenile court prosecutor, 70 percent of our caseload dealt with child sexual assault, which also relates. I've got over ten years of experience as it

1 relates to the juvenile justice system. 2 while there are certain components that don't 3 quite fit, there are other components that fit 4 quite well. And I'm confident that if I were 5 allowed to take the bench, there would be no 6 questions or concerns in regard to my 7 competency. I think most members of the Bar 8 have experienced that in different settings, 9 even as a prosecutor or as a judge. Questions 10 in regard to my competency have never been an 11 issue, because I'm willing to work hard. And I 12 will make whatever difference there is that 13 needs to be made. I'll make sure that 14 difference is made. 15

- Q. Well, and again, recognizing the advent of mediation, how perhaps the bigger cases with the tentacles of all things financial, family, children, et cetera, may well be worked out before they ever reach you on a permanent basis, but at a temporary basis, you know, what is the docket of a family court roster right now. I would ask that of others later, but it may well not be so heavy on the things which you don't have that much experience in --
- A. Yes, sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- but that which you do, and obviously you, as
 I said, are surely a quick study, so.
 - And I have actually taken the opportunity Α. to go in and sit in on family court cases. Judge Bridges as well as Judge Thigpen have opened their doors to me. And I've taken advantage of that, just to come in and sit in the courtrooms. Or they've even allowed me to go back into the office with attorney's consent to sit there and to hear how things are run. I did take the initiative of currently and in the past to do that, and they've been more than willing to open their doors. Judge Georgia Anderson as well, a retired family court judge.
 - Q. An Horry product. She was a vault.
- 16 A. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.0

- 17 Q. Her father was a family court judge way back,

 18 took -- didn't go to law school, read for and

 19 studied under a lawyer to pass the Bar --
 - I A. Wow.
- Q. -- and then made a family court judge way back
 before I was -- I was alive, but not practicing.
 So she's a good mark.
- 24 A. She is.
- 25 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Unless there are other

1	questions, Judge McJimpsey, this will close this
2	portion of the screening process. You're
3	reminded that this Commission takes very
4	seriously both the letter and the spirit of the
5	South Carolina ethics laws. Any violation or
6	the appearance of impropriety in that regard
7	would be deemed very serious. We would be able
8	to call you back, because you understand that
9	this record is not closed until the formal
10	release of the Report of Qualifications,
11	correct?
12	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Yes, sir, yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We don't anticipate that that would
14	happen, but we always ask and make sure that the
15	candidate is aware of that.
16	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Yes, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So with that, God bless you
18	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: happy trails back to Boiling
20	Springs.
21	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And Merry Christmas to you and your
23	family.
24	JUDGE MCJIMPSEY: Thank you. God bless you all.
25	Thank you.

1	(Off the Record)
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Ms. Moss.
3	MS. MOSS: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: My apologies for the delay in
5	starting with you.
6	MS. MOSS: No, there was not a wait at all. It was
7	fine, thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super. If you will raise your
9	right hand. In fact, we're only ten minutes
10	late with you.
11	MS. MOSS: Yes, sir.
12	ANGELA J. MOSS, having been duly sworn, testifies as
13	follows:
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you two documents
15	that you've prepared, the Personal Data
16	Questionnaire and the Sworn Statement. Are
17	those ready to be put into the record?
18	MS. MOSS: Yes, sir, they are.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand those to the young
20	lady to your right. Ms. Moss, in our thorough
21	investigation of your qualifications for the
22	bench, you're aware of the nine evaluative
23	criteria we look at as well as the ballot box
24	survey, a thorough study of your application
25	materials, verification of your compliance with

1	state ethics law, a search of newspaper articles
2	in which your name appears, a study of previous
3	screenings and a check for economic conflicts of
4	interest. There is one affidavit in opposition
5	to your election, one witness who will testify,
6	I believe, which we'll get to later. You have
7	the opportunity at this moment or time to make a
8	brief opening statement if you so choose.
9	(Exhibit Number 12 was marked for identification
10	purposes - (15 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
11	Angela J. Moss.)
12	(Exhibit Number 13 was marked for identification
13	purposes - (5 pages) Sworn Statement of Angela J.
14	Moss.)
15	MS. MOSS: You know, I think we all know we have a
16	lot to do this afternoon, so I'll waive the
17	opening statement.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Maura Baker will ask
19	some questions, and thank you.
20	MS. MOSS - EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER:
21	Q. Good evening, Ms. Moss. Please state for the
22	record the city and circuit in which you reside.
23	A. I live in Inman, South Carolina, Seventh
24	Judicial Circuit.
25	MS. BAKER: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that

based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Angela Moss meets the statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Ms. Moss, why do you want to serve as a family court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?
- Α. When I started practicing law about 26 years ago, it was not on my radar to become a family I did not plan my career with that court judge. in mind, but now after all of these years of experience day by day, case by case, I look back on my career and realize at this point I have obtained life experience that I think would be worthwhile and that I could give back to my community by serving as a family court judge. Throughout my career -- 23 years of my career I've kept one foot in public service at all times, and that has been very rewarding to me. I've enjoyed that and I think being a family court judge would be another way just to continue in with the public service.

Q. Thank you, Ms. Moss. Are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Actually I've sat in almost every scene in the Α. family courtroom. I have been a quardian ad I have represented clients in divorces, custody actions. I have represented parties in I have prosecuted juveniles in DSS cases. I've defended juveniles in family family court. court. So I have a varied experience. The only thing I could think of that I might need a cheat sheet for would be the statutory deadlines for I don't have all of those the DSS cases. memorized. But I think we have really clear laws, and I think I can make a little sheet to keep up with that. That would be the only thing I would think would need a little extra work.
- Q. Ms. Moss, please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- 25 A. Okay. I have -- as I said, I have been in

She

1 private practice. I have represented clients, both plaintiffs and defendants, husbands and 2 3 wives in divorce actions. Some of those actions 4 were simple property division, simple financial 5 issues. Some were complex. On the complex 6 family cases, the complex financial issues 7 sometimes they require business valuations, 8 substantial work to get a case ready. my mediation practice, now that's what I do 9 10 mainly as I've kind of turned to mediation 11 practice. And in that practice you have to know 12 the law. You have to know how to divide 13 property to be an effective mediator. 14 something I do every week on a weekly basis. 15 We're dealing with complex issues. Also as a quardian ad litem, I have sat in many, many 16 17 cases, many, many divorce cases where I've seen 18 those issues tried. But I have had a hand in 19 actually trying them myself. 20 Ms. Moss, the Commission received 131 ballot box Q. 21 surveys regarding you with 21 additional 22 The ballot box survey, for example, comments. 23 contained the following positive comments: "Ms. 24 Moss has extensive experience in both

prosecution, juvenile defense and GAL work.

1 would be an asset to the bench. Angela Moss has 2 the temperament, diverse background and 3 intellectual ability to be a first-rate judge." 4 Eight of the written comments expressed some 5 concerns. Several comments indicated you have 6 difficulty with the time management of your 7 caseload. What response would you offer to this 8 concern?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Without more detail regarding those comments, Α. it's hard to understand what they are talking I do know sometimes attorneys become a about. little antsy while they're waiting on drug test results to come back or for the children to work with a therapist to try to get the recommendation from the therapist. Those issues just take time, and that's not something anyone can rush. However, regarding time management, I think that my career is a good example of -that I can manage cases and time. It's very important that the family court judge stay on schedule. You're dealing with people trying to earn a living, people in private practice, You're dealing with litigants that are lawvers. missing work to be there. These people need to know that the family court's going to stay on

1	schedule, and I can do that. I have been an
2	assistant solicitor. I was actually in charge
3	of the Cherokee County office for Holman Gossett
4	when he was solicitor. I was in charge of all
5	the general sessions cases, all the family court
6	cases. I had to make decisions on indictments.
7	We're talking hundreds of cases coming in. And
8	you have to make a decision and move on.
9	Sometimes those decisions are hard. Sometimes
10	they're a little bit easier. But they have to
11	be made and you have to do it quickly,
12	thoroughly and effectively and do a good job
13	with that. And you have to make the decision to
14	move on, and that's the way it would be with a
15	family court judge. Right now I do a lot of
16	juvenile defense work. My docket is not just
17	one or two cases. I have several cases on that
18	docket. I have to make decisions. I have to do
19	the cases. I have to do it and move on. And
20	that's the way a family court judge would have
21	to handle their docket each day, although you
22	have to give everyone a fair hearing, everyone
23	has to feel that they have a level playing field
24	and that they have been heard thoroughly.
25	However, the family court judge in the end, they

have to make the decision, and you have to keep the schedule, and I think that's definitely important.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Ms. Moss. The other concern indicated that you have difficulty communicating with parties or you do not perform a thorough investigation in guardian ad litem cases. What response would you offer to this concern?
- Again, without knowing the particulars, who is Α. actually saying it, it's hard to know. But I would disagree with that statement. As quardian ad litem, I have multiple cases. I have hundreds of cases. I would dare to say over a thousand that I've done. Judges continue to appoint me on cases. Every week I'm turning cases down from the private Bar. I think if I did have those issues, I wouldn't have any work, and I have more work than I can handle. turning down cases repeatedly. In all of my cases I follow the facts. I start out, I read the information, I follow the facts. And where the facts lead me, that's where I go. make the facts. The facts are what they are. And so I follow those facts, I talk to every party, I talk to the witnesses, I look at the

1 other evidence, such as school records, medical 2 records, whatever's in play in that particular 3 And you can't make a decision, you can't 4 make a recommendation until you hear from all 5 sides. Because we all know, in family court 6 there's more than two sides to every story. 7 There's usually four or five, six sides to every 8 And the truth is somewhere in the middle 9 of all of that. And so I would disagree with that assessment of my work. 10

- Q. Thank you, Ms. Moss.
- 12 MS. BAKER: I would note that the Upstate Citizens 13 Committee found Ms. Moss was well qualified in 14 the following evaluative criteria of ethical 15 fitness, professional and academic ability, 16 character, reputation, experience and judicial 17 temperament. And qualified in the evaluative 18 criteria of constitutional qualifications, 19 physical health and mental stability.
 - Q. Ms. Moss, I have a few housekeeping questions.
- 21 A. Okay.

11

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you Q. 3 contacted any members of the Commission about 4 your candidacy? 5 Α. I did speak with Senator Talley before he was 6 appointed to the Commission. But since he's 7 been appointed to the Commission, I have only 8 told him hello in the hallway, I think, once. 9 Are your familiar with Section 2-19-70, Q. 10 including the limitations on contacting members 11 of the General Assembly regarding your 12 screening? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you Q. 15 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 16 either prior to this date or the pending the 17 outcome of your screening? 18 Α. No. 19 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 20 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 21 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 22 intervene in the process on your behalf? 23 Α. No. 24 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q.

Commission's guidelines on pledging in South

1 Carolina Code § 2-19-70(E)? 2 Α. Yes. 3 I would just note for the record that any MS. BAKER: 4 concerns raised during the investigation 5 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 6 the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. 7 Chairman, I have no further questions for the 8 candidate at this time. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay, thank you. Questions by 10 members of the Commission. 11 SENATOR TALLEY: Good afternoon, Ms. Moss. Nice to 12 see you again. 13 MS. MOSS: Good afternoon. 14 I just wanted to put on the record, SENATOR TALLEY: 15 I don't know that you and I have ever 16 represented opposing sides in a family court 17 case, but I know that you have been guardian in 18 numerous cases of mine and my firm's over the 19 So I just want to put that on the record 2.0 and then to make a comment about some of the 21 questions Ms. Baker asked you. Obviously we get 22 a lot of information as part of this process, 23 and some of these ballot box comments that 24 express concerns about you -- you know, you 25 addressed one already. You have been appointed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by our judges in Spartanburg often, and so you do have a big caseload. I think that speaks to our local Bar and our judiciary's respect for the work you do and how you go about your job in those cases. And then it's the one that said you're hard to reach. That one baffled me a little bit. Because I think every time we've worked together the only means by which you've asked me to contact you were your cell phone. And so we've spoken frequently via that means, and you've always been responsive. You've not always liked my clients, but you've always been easy to reach and a pleasure to work with. I would just, you know, comment on what you said I appreciate your statement about as well. there being more than one side to every story. I mean, I could point to several cases I've been in that you've been in where, you know, you've worked with people, and you've kind of laid out a path and said, you know, you're here today, and this is a temporary order that has expressed some concerns, but here's what you need to do: this, this and this, and you've given people the opportunity to rehabilitate themselves. have, some haven't, but you've tried to really

1 look out for the best interests of the children 2 and both parents in a number of cases I'm aware 3 So I appreciate you offering for this 4 position, and I wish you the best. 5 MS. MOSS: Thank you. I appreciate those kind words. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other questions. 7 Strom. 8 MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman, just quickly. I don't have 9 a question. And of course, those of us from 10 around the state, we really don't know any of 11 you, so one of the things I do is look at 12 letters of recommendation, and, you know, John 13 White is somebody that I've admired as a lawyer 14 my whole career as well as I understand you 15 worked for Albert Smith --16 MS. MOSS: Yes. 17 MR. STROM: -- he's just a really quality guy. 18 MS. MOSS: That was a wonderful job. 19 MR. STROM: Yes. 2.0 MS. MOSS: He threw me in the deep end. He was 21 great. 22 Those are two people that I hold in high MR. STROM: 23 regard, and having letters from them means a lot 24 to me. 25

MS. MOSS:

Thank you.

1 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Hope. 3 MS. MOSS - EXAMINATION BY MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: 4 Good afternoon, Ms. Moss. 0. 5 Α. Hey. 6 I wanted to go on record to state that Angela 0. 7 and I worked together in the solicitor's office 8 while she worked and carried cases in the family 9 I was her advocate and have known her to court. 10 have a great temperament and a very hard worker. 11 I want to thank you for running for this seat, 12 but wanted you to elaborate a little bit more on 13 your qualifications in the areas that you're not 14 completely vested in in private practice. 15 you just give us a little bit more information 16 on what you will do to get up to par? 17

A. Sure. If I would come to the point where I did not -- if I had a question about how -- procedurally how things would work or in any other matter, of course, I would obviously look at the law. I would discuss with the other judges in our circuit, who are wonderful. We have Judge Bridges and Judge Thigpen, and they are just wonderful people. I would feel very comfortable consulting with them. However,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 again, I've been in so many different areas of 2 family court. Some people may just see a 3 snapshot of me. Maybe they see me as a quardian 4 ad litem, but they may not know she's also 5 prosecuted juveniles. She's also defended 6 juveniles, and she's done a waiver hearing, 7 which is important for a family court judge to 8 understand those concepts. Because I think 9 sometimes juvenile court is the last thing 10 anybody wants to deal with. And I think that's 11 one of the most important parts of family court. 12 Because if we can fix the issue when they're 13 children, in these juveniles, we might be able 14 to avoid some problems later on when they're 15 And we may not see them back in family adults. 16 court through divorces, custody actions, DSS 17 So if we can work on that issue. cases. 18 think that the juvenile court system is 19 something that is important, and I think I'm 20 very well versed in that. The DSS cases that we 21 talked about earlier, when I was speaking in 22 that, DSS cases have specific time lines, and 23 that's what I was mentioning earlier. 24 specific time lines, maybe there's a 30-day time 25 Those are things I'll just have to line.

- memorize or have a chart or sheet. I think I can get up to speed on that pretty quickly.
- 3 | Q. The staff will keep you updated on that as well.
- 4 A. I think you've trained them well.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 A. And thank you.
- 7 MS. MOSS EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
- 8 Ms. Moss, one of the -- and I've read the Q. 9 letters as Mr. Strom pointed out. You've got 10 good folks speaking in your behalf. The comment 11 or theme or the minority of complaints or 12 comments about you that are less than glowing 13 speak to perhaps your being all things to all 14 The public defender's office, part-time people. 15 role there and then a very busy guardian on a 16 full-time basis, and that is your job too, 17 correct?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So in terms of splitting that presently -- as you say you try to turn down cases. And I agree with what Senator Talley says, you're not busy because you're bad. You've got the respect of the judges and/or the community in that respect. How do you split and tend to all your responsibilities as early as you could, or maybe

you do, I guess?

A.	That's a good question. My career is very
	unconventional. And the way I got to this point
	was when I had my first child. I intended to go
	back to work full time but I got home with that
	little baby and things just changed. And so on
	my maternity leave that's when I decided to work
	for the public defender part time. And at that
	point, Judge Sinclair was in private practice.
	And so I began to work for him two days a week
	while he was in the legislature. When he was in
	Columbia, I would work in his office and cover
	for him. And that was obviously before he
	became a judge. And so that's when I started my
	unusual career but it worked. We were able to
	make ends meet. And my husband and I decided
	that was the best for our family at that time.
	And it's just grown since that time. Right now
	my public defender role is court one day a week,
	which is Tuesdays. And so I know I don't do
	other cases on Tuesdays. So Tuesdays are
	dedicated to my juvenile public defender role,
	and that is a part-time position. I'm not in
	the office 40 hours a week there. I work out of
	my home with the public defender position. And

1 then the quardian and the mediation, I do that 2 on my own schedule. I can schedule that as 3 little or as -- for example, mediations, I 4 schedule as many as I want where I can work in my schedule. 5 If I can't do it, I can't do it. 6 And so I just have to be aware of my limitations 7 as a human being and as a mom and as a wife. 8 And I have to just be careful to keep a schedule 9 and just keep up with my work and just be very 10 diligent about that. 11

Q. Favoritism, your showing Favoritism was another little theme. And I don't know how that is wrong if the facts suggest that one parent or one fact pattern dictates an opinion. I don't know whether -- do you think that you show favoritism outside of the facts that you have after an investigation in a guardian position?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. No, sir, I don't. I follow the facts, and my goal is to serve the best interests of the child, wherever that may lead me. And that's my job is to be an advocate for the child or for the incompetent adult, whomever I'm a guardian for, and that's my goal and that's my job. And I follow the facts where they lead. And it's my job to be the eyes and ears for the court. To

1	come back to the court, show them what I've
2	seen, show them what I've heard, show them what
3	I've learned, tell them what the home
4	environment's like, those things. I'm not the
5	decision maker. The court is the decision
6	maker. And so I just am the eyes and ears, and
7	I try to bring back an impartial and unbiased
8	opinion impartial and unbiased report for
9	the court.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If there are no other
11	questions at this point, Ms. Moss, I'm going to
12	ask you to have a seat, I think.
13	(Off the record.)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. Mr. Lounsberry.
15	MR. LOUNSBERRY: If you will, please, raise your
16	right hand.
17	JONATHAN W. LOUNSBERRY, having been duly sworn,
18	testifies as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You have before you the
20	PDQ and the Sworn Statement. Are those ready to
21	be put into the record?
22	MR. LOUNSBERRY: They are, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to
24	Caroline to your right. Mr. Lounsberry, in our
25	thorough investigation of your qualifications,

1	you know that we focus on the nine evaluative
2	criteria. We also look at the ballot box
3	survey, a study of your application materials,
4	verification of your compliance with state
5	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in
6	which your name appears, a study of previous
7	screenings and a check for economic conflicts of
8	interest. There is one Affidavit filed in
9	opposition of your election and one witness here
10	to testify, which we will hear from shortly.
11	You now have the opportunity for a brief opening
12	statement if you'd like before questions are
13	asked of you by Sharon.
14	(Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification
15	purposes - (23 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
16	Jonathan W. Lounsberry.)
17	(Exhibit Number 15 was marked for identification
18	purposes - (1 page) Amendment to Personal Data
19	Questionnaire for Jonathan W. Lounsberry.)
20	(Exhibit Number 16 was marked for identification
21	purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of Jonathan W.
22	Lounsberry.)
23	MR. LOUNSBERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Briefly,
24	I'd just like to thank you, Mr. Chairman and Mr.
25	Vice Chairman and all members of the Commission

for your service, particularly during these 1 2 challenging times, and I'll just be happy to 3 answer any questions that y'all may have. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. 5 MR. LOUNSBERRY - EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON 6 0. Good afternoon, Mr. Lounsberry. Please state 7 for the record the city and circuit in which you 8 reside. 9 I live in Spartanburg, South Carolina, Seventh Α. 10 Judicial Circuit. 11 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record 12 that based on the testimony contained in Mr. 13 Lounsberry's PDO, which has been included in the 14 record with his consent, Mr. Lounsberry meets 15 the statutory requirements for this position 16 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 17 Q. Mr. Lounsberry, why do you want to serve as a 18 family court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify 19 20 and will assist you to be an effective judge? 21 To answer the first question as to why I want to Α. 22 be a family court judge, I would say that from a 23 very young age my parents have instilled in me 24 the understanding that service to one's 25 neighbors, community and state is the highest

calling that we can have. And they have also
instilled in me that in doing that service we
have to understand that we're doing it out of a
love for our neighbors as we love ourselves and
to treat everybody as we would like to be
treated and to do so for the betterment of our
community. These maxims that my parents gave
me, I witnessed in my mother's occupation, which
she did for almost 40 years as a public school
teacher, the majority of which was spent here in
Richland County School District One. I watched
her serve and watched her serve her community.
I also watched her teach the children of the
community, and in doing so garnered an
understanding from her that children are
society's most precious commodity. And that we
must do everything that we can to make sure the
children of our communities, of our neighbors
and of our state are able to reach their best
and highest abilities that they can. In serving
as a family court judge, I desire to carry
forward those maxims that my parents gave me
from a young age. And I desire to serve my
state, my community and my neighbors and to do
so with the skills that I possess. Each of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those possesses a certain set of skills. mother's skills would be of a teacher. I'm not so sure that I possess those skills, but I do possess skills that allow me to practice law. And I would like to carry those forward to make sure that the children of my community, which the family court is charged to act in the best interest, are also able to reach -- those that appear before the family court are also able to reach their highest and best capabilities. to the question of the experience, I've worked very hard to be prepared to be able to stand in front of this Commission today. I've worked for several top domestic trial lawyers in this state to garner a breadth of experience that spans private practice. And on my own, when we had 608 appointments, I practiced representing juveniles in family court. That preparedness has allowed me to be here. I would not stand before this Commission, I would not have applied to this, if I did not feel I was prepared or had the experience to rise to the requirements of the position. This is a very solemn occasion. It's not something that I take lightly. working for those practitioners I would note

1 that one of -- the amendment I have to my PDQ 2 was to include admittance to an organization 3 that I have been honored to be admitted as a 4 fellow, and that's the International Academy of 5 Family Lawyers. I was admitted as a fellow this 6 To be admitted as a fellow in that 7 organization it's based on one's experience and 8 knowledge of the law but also how one's peers 9 view that experience of knowledge and law. And 10 as a cannon to the American Academy of 11 Matrimonial Lawyers that carries an extra layer 12 in that the practitioners that are admitted to 13 that organization are admitted not only on a 14 basis of having a high experience and knowledge 15 of the law in their jurisdiction, but also a 16 high experience and knowledge of dealing with 17 international family laws. There are 350 18 members around that approximately in the United 19 States, and there are eight members in this 2.0 state, and there are less than 1,000 members in 21 It's something, again -- standing the world. 22 before this Commission to work to be prepared to 23 be able to apply for this position is something 24 I worked very hard to be prepared to do. 25 Mr. Lounsberry, are there any areas of the law 0.

m. Houndberry, are energ any areas or one raw

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge and how would you handle that additional preparation?

Α. I would say the two areas that I would need additional preparation would be dealing with juvenile matters and with DSS. I have represented juveniles in the past. mentioned earlier, when we had 608 appointments, all of my 608 appointments cases were of iuveniles. I've represented them dealing with simple assaults, drug possession all the way up to criminal sexual conduct in the third degree with a co-charge of lewd and lascivious. been a while since I have represented a juvenile client, but what I would do is observe as many trials as I could until it's necessary for me to deal with a trial on my own and attend as many CLEs and seek out as much knowledge as I can. And the same would go for a DSS action. I have not been a counsel of record in a DSS action, but I have dealt with DSS actions as part of my private cases. There have been allegations of abuse and overlays with private cases. recently dealt with a case in Greenville that

1 had -- I represented my client who had a pending 2 criminal charge and a pending DSS charge. She 3 was represented by two separate lawyers in that, 4 but we all interacted together to make sure that 5 her interests were met, her best interests were met, in all of those cases. But likewise, with 6 7 DJJ actions I would seek as much knowledge as I 8 could, observe as many hearings as I could and 9 look to the statutes and look to others to gain 10 the knowledge. I would say that that's 11 something that I have done throughout my career 12 is when I don't know the answer to a question, I 13 will go find it. When I was first appointed to 14 a DJJ case early on, I looked high and low for 15 as much information as I could get. And there 16 wasn't much out there, but in an effort to 17 provide some -- and this is not to say that I'm 18 an expert on the issue at all. But in an effort 19 to provide some guidance for others in the 20 future who may have been appointed a case, I 21 worked with the Bar to develop a distance-22 learning CLE to just give you the basics of what 23 to do if you were appointed. That's the 24 attitude that I would take to garnering 25 information and knowledge about these two areas

1 of the law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Thank you. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- Α. The majority of the cases that I have dealt with in my career have been -- have had some type of complex issue to them, whether it be custody or financial. And the question as to the financial aspects, I have dealt with the division of assets that range in the millions. I've been involved in a case that involved national financial valuation experts, local financial valuation experts, that dealt with valuing very small percentages of very large businesses and how and when those should be divided. I dealt in cases that have involved trusts and limited liability companies, closely held businesses, to figure out what those values are and how to divide those. I regularly deal with complex issues, which would be of alimony and how to determine the amount of alimony one should ask But mostly dealing with those issues on a regular basis and having to learn the difference

He has

"I know Jonathan, his

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in corporate structures and how businesses may be held and what corporate structures may allow a business to be divided amongst parties and what corporate structures may protect an entity from division, dealing with family partnerships, which, you know, on paper the litigant may not own anything, but the family partnership may own everything. And we'd have to look to dealing with the articles of incorporation and all the articles that dealt with the formation of that of the trust of the partnership of the business and have to determine what is to be divided and how to divide it.

Thank you. Mr. Lounsberry, the Commission Q. received 153 ballot box surveys regarding you with 26 additional comments. The ballot box surveys, for example, contained the following positive comments: "He is always very pleasant to deal with. He is extremely knowledgeable and while younger than most of the other candidates, the breadth of his experience is probably equal to or greater than the other candidates. truly dealt with almost every issue in family

Another states:

wife and his two kids well, and they are a

1 wonderful family. In addition to his 2 professional acumen and abilities, I strongly 3 believe that his personal background and 4 character will lead him to thrive in this position on the bench, and he will serve the 5 6 Seventh Circuit and its constituents very well 7 for years to come." Another commenter states: 8 "The candidate is unquestionably qualified in my 9 I have heard from some who think opinion. 10 because he has worked for "white shoe law firms" 11 that he doesn't have the experience of dealing 12 with low-level cases. I disagree. 13 Additionally, his youth is not a concern of 14 I've had cases with him ranging from 15 simple to more complex. He is very professional 16 and cuts to the chase. He knows the law and the issues and which issues deserve more attention. 17 18 If there is an area he doesn't have experience 19 in, I believe his ability to handle other areas 20 of family law so well suggest he would have no 21 difficulty learning in those areas." Five of the written comments did express concerns. 22 23 commenter noted that Mr. Lounsberry would make 24 for a great judicial candidate in the future, 25 but not at the present, because he needs more

1 experience. Another commenter observed that 2 Mr. Lounsberry does not regularly serve as lead 3 And another commenter noted concerns 4 of Mr. Lounsberry's lack of experience of DSS 5 and DJJ cases. Again there was a concern that 6 he only has experience in high-end divorce law. 7 Mr. Lounsberry, how would respond to these 8 concerns regarding your experience?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

With regard to only dealing with high-end cases, Α. I would say that in my practice I've dealt with litigants of all walks of life. I've dealt with people who have had very few economic means and people who have had more economic means than they know what to do with. And in all of those cases I treat all my clients the same. because I might work for -- as I mentioned one of -- you know, one of the higher-end domestic firms in the state doesn't mean that I haven't represented someone of -- doesn't mean I haven't represented clients of all walks of life. I'd say the experience is there. You know, it's very different in fighting over a multi-million dollar corporation and trying to figure out who's going to get the financed lawnmower from Lowe's, but all of those cases are treated the

Are

1 same. With respect to the comment about lack of 2 experience with DSS and DJJ, I've addressed 3 those issues earlier, but I would have the same 4 response to that. Have I addressed all of the 5 comments, or was -- there was the --6 I read the concerns that were in the 0. Yes, sir. 7 ballot box survey. 8 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note that the 9 Upstate Citizens Committee found Mr. Lounsberry 10 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 11 constitutional qualifications, physical health, 12 mental stability and experience. The Committee 13 reported Mr. Lounsberry well qualified in the 14 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 15 professional and academic ability, character, 16 reputation and judicial temperament. The 17 Upstate Citizens Committee did have concerns 18 based on members of the Bar and the community 19 that Mr. Lounsberry does not have the experience 2.0 needed. It may be noted that while Mr. 21 Lounsberry's experience is vast, he has only been practicing law for 11 years, approximately 22 23 11 years. 24 Mr. Lounsberry, at this time we have some Q.

housekeeping issues we do need to go over.

25

1 you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found 2 3 in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate 4 Court Rules? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you 0. 7 contacted any members of the Commission about 8 your candidacy? 9 Α. No. 10 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. 11 the limitations on contacting members of the 12 General Assembly regarding your screening? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you Q. 15 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 16 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 17 of your screening? 18 Α. No. 19 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 20 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 21 or are you aware of anyone doing so? 22 Α. No, ma'am. 23 Q. Have you received, and do you understand, the 24 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code 2-19-70(E)? 25

1 Yes, ma'am. Α. I would note for the record that any 2 MS. WILKINSON: 3 concerns raised during the investigation 4 regarding Mr. Lounsberry were incorporated into 5 the questioning today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 6 further questions at this time. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, thank you. A couple of 8 comments and then I'll open it up. You have 9 great credentials by way of your letters of 10 Judge Dottie Mobley Jones, I know reference. 11 her very well. Cannot say nice enough things 12 about you in terms of your ability to handle 13 Mr. Strom, you didn't want to say this. 14 anything? 15 MR. STROM: Yeah. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm going to interrupt myself. 17 ahead, go ahead. 18 MR. STROM: No. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm done, I'm done. 2.0 I just wanted MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 to echo what you said. I have not met you that 22 I know of, but I'm reading your letters. And as 23 the Chairman said, Dottie Jones suffers no 24 And if she didn't think a lot of you, 25 she wouldn't have written this letter. And I

1 also know that you worked for Jim McLaren in 2 Columbia, who was, you know, by a lot of 3 accounts the best or one of the best domestic 4 lawyers in the state and has received a lot of 5 national recognition, and he also speaks very 6 highly of you. And all of us know Ben and what 7 a great lawyer he is. So I don't know what else 8 you could do to prepare yourself any better to 9 be ready to do this job. I mean, you've worked 10 with, you know, some of the top people in the 11 state and around the state. So other than 12 having more gray hair, I don't know how you'd do 13 But I think you've done it the right way 14 and want to congratulate you. You're obviously 15 a really bright guy and you're working hard, and 16 you're focused on how to get where you want to 17 go --18 Thank you. MR. LOUNSBERRY:

MR. STROM: -- so congratulations on that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: Hello, Mr. Lounsberry. I don't think we've had the chance to meet, or I don't remember, but I can tell you I've been impressed with your presentation. But also more impressive is you've got a letter from Stinson

1	Ferguson, which is I know everybody that gave
2	you a reference letter. But I know her really
3	well in particular as well, and that says a lot.
4	I think the world of Stinson and she's a go-
5	getter and says what she means and means what
6	she says, so
7	MR. LOUNSBERRY: That she does.
8	MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: I trust that, but thank you
9	for running and glad to see you today.
10	MR. LOUNSBERRY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other comments, questions. Mr.
12	Lounsberry, I remember you screening before
13	MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: and was impressed with your
15	qualifications before. So unless there are
16	questions by other Commission members, we're
17	going to, at this point, I think now have you
18	take a seat. We're going to bring Ms. Moss back
19	and turn it back over to you, Sharon, Ms.
20	Wilkinson, to hear from our complainant. Maura.
21	Mr. Smith, you're the complainant?
22	MR. SMITH: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Come on up here, get
24	you close to getting ready, and if you will,
25	sir, for the court reporter's benefit, I'm going

to ask you to take your mask off. And we're going to swear you and get started here.

WAYNE KEITH SMITH, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, Ms. Baker will say some preliminary matters, and then we'll get right in it.

MR. SMITH - EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. BAKER: Smith's complaint alleges that -- and this is the complaint for Ms. Moss -- that Ms. Moss has violated the First, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments, has violated parental rights, failed to perform her duties as a quardian ad litem in a custody case involving Mr. Smith's grandson and alleges systematic racism in the case. For the Commission's background, Mr. Smith's son, Wayne Smith, Jr. and Steven Alukonis, the maternal grandfather who lives in Florida, are involved in a custody case. Ms. Moss was appointed the quardian ad litem in the case by Judge Fraley in 2015. After a nine-day trial in 2017, Judge Sinclair's final order granted joint custody with Mr. Smith, Jr. having primary custody. Both parties filed an appeal with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Supreme Court -- or, excuse me -- with the Court of Appeals. In July of this year, the Court of Appeals reversed the trial court and granted primary custody to the maternal grandfather and remanded to the family court for visitation for the father. This case has been appealed to the South Carolina Supreme Court and as recently as this morning is listed as pending on its docket. The original trial order is currently in effect, pending the outcome of the pending Supreme Court Mr. Smith, the Commission has before it case. your Affidavit of Complaint. Since filing the Affidavit, you have provided several documents to the Commission's staff, including family photographs, social media posts, including posts related to your grandson who is still a minor. The Commission has been given that as well. respectfully request that the transcripts you've provided, the Affidavits you've provided and the orders be made part of the record at this time. For the record, the names of minor children, home addresses, driver's license information have been redacted or removed. The purpose of today's hearing is for the Commission to review the qualifications of the candidates to

1 determine whether she, Ms. Moss, is qualified to be a family court judge. The Commission is not 2 3 here to re-litigate a case, and they do not have 4 the ability to change the result of the case, 5 which is ongoing. Mr. Smith, you've submitted 6 these documents, which the Commission is 7 reviewing. Is there anything else that you wish 8 to testify to, specifically regarding Ms. Moss' 9 ethics, competency or character that has not 10 already been covered in the documents before the 11 Commission? 12 MR. SMITH: No, ma'am. 13 All right. Thank you, Maura. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 Thank you, sir. The questions that any member 15 of the Commission has. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a 17 procedural question? Is this for both 18 candidates or just one? Are we going to redo 19 the same thing? 2.0 All right. So now let's hear CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yes. 21 from Sharon, or do we want to hear from Ms. Moss 22 Mr. Smith, I do want to address at this point? 23 you, and I read this Order -- I read the Court 24 of Appeals decision, and I may just only say 25 this one time. But effectively this decision

1	which you have filed a complaint against Ms.
2	Moss stems from that case, which is pending now
3	at the Supreme Court, correct?
4	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And the complaint that
6	you've filed against Mr. Lounsberry likewise
7	stems from this same case?
8	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So I'll only have to say this one
10	time. I am incredibly impressed with you as a
11	human being to stand in the gap and be the role
12	model and hopefully or again, up to the
13	courts to decide based on the facts of the case
14	the would-be father, whether in actual deed
15	or label, you are the grandfather standing in
16	your son's or your daughter's shoes, correct?
17	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So that is incredibly one of the
19	most I don't know that there can be a better
20	act of a human being than you have demonstrated
21	for a child who your daughter wanted, but isn't
22	here to be the mother to that child that
23	obviously, if I'm my facts
24	MR. SMITH: No, it's my son.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm sorry, the son. But anyway

1 being the person that wants to be there for that 2 child. So I've got my parties confused, but my 3 sense of your desire is just a beautiful thing. 4 So with that, again, we've got your complaint. 5 You don't need to add anything further to that, 6 but again, kudos to you as a human being is my 7 point. 8 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 9 We'll now hear from Ms. Moss. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 10 Mr. Chairman, we also have the SENATOR SABB: 11 So I assume the same responses, do we not? question would be laid to her as to whether or 12 13 not there is anything to add, because certainly, 14 as you've indicated, we appreciate all of the 15 information that we've received from Mr. Smith. 16 MS. BAKER: If I may, Mr. Chairman, Ms. Moss has 17 submitted a written response, and respectfully 18 requests her response to be made of the record 19 at this time. 2.0 That will be so ordered as well as CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 21 the complaints and the items you mentioned 22 earlier without objection. 23 (Exhibit Number 17 was marked for identification 24 purposes - (159 pages) Complaints, Responses and 25 Supporting Documents.)

1 MS. BAKER: Thank you. And Ms. Moss, as you've 2 submitted your written response, which the 3 Commission is reviewing, is there anything else 4 that you wish to respond to regarding the 5 allegations? 6 No, my responses, they're all there. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, thank you, ma'am. 8 MS. MOSS: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You can have a seat. 10 Ms. Wilkinson, now to proceed to Mr. 11 Lounsberry's complaint against him. 12 MR. SMITH - EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON: 13 Mr. Chairman, for the purposes of Mr. MS. WILKINSON: 14 Lounsberry's record, I will give a brief 15 background of the case and Mr. Smith's complaint 16 against Mr. Lounsberry. Again, the basis of the complaint is a custody case between a minor 17 18 child's biological father, Wayne Smith, Jr., who 19 is the complainant's son and Steven Alukonis, who is the maternal grandfather. Mr. Lounsberry 20 21 is the third attorney to represent Mr. Smith, 22 Jr. and began representation of Mr. Smith, Jr., 23 first as co-counsel on May 6, 2016 and was co-24 counsel during a mediation of the case, which 25 ended in an impasse. Mr. Lounsberry was the

1	sole counsel for the trial, which took place in
2	March 2017, and an order was issued a few months
3	later in May of 2017. Judge Sinclair was the
4	judge of that case. After the trial concluded,
5	Judge Sinclair signed the order giving Mr.
6	Smith, Jr. and Dr. Alukonis joint custody, with
7	Mr. Smith, Jr. being the primary custodian of
8	the minor child. Visitation was to be worked
9	out between the parties. After the decision by
10	Judge Sinclair, Dr. Alukonis did file an appeal
11	and Smith, Jr. did file a cross appeal. Mr.
12	Lounsberry did not represent Mr. Smith, Jr. on
13	the appeal. Again, the Appellate Court reversed
14	the lower court's award of primary custody to
15	Mr. Smith, Jr. and granted primary custody to
16	Dr. Alukonis. The case was remanded to the
17	family court to set a visitation schedule for
18	Mr. Smith, Jr. The case is currently pending
19	before the Supreme Court, and the original trial
20	order is in effect, pending the outcome of the
21	appeal, to the Supreme Court. In his complaint
22	to the Commission, Mr. Smith, Sr. alleges that
23	Mr. Lounsberry violated the First, Ninth and
24	Fourteenth Amendments. His complaint also
25	alleges that Mr. Lounsberry has no integrity, no

1 honesty, no courage, no loyalty, no fortitude, 2 no competency and no ethics. He also alleges 3 fraudulent concealment of evidence and alleges 4 leading questions to which Mr. Lounsberry would 5 give his client, Mr. Smith, Jr., the answer. 6 Lastly, Mr. Smith, Sr. does allege systemic 7 racism. Mr. Smith. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll come back up here, sir. 9 And you're still under oath from the prior oath administered. You understand that, correct? 10 11 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. 13 Mr. Smith, the Commission has before MS. WILKINSON: 14 it all of the documents you submitted for their 15 review and consideration. At this time, I would 16 request that your Affidavit of Complaint against 17 Mr. Lounsberry as well as the several 18 affidavits, subpoenas, letter from Mr. 19 Lounsberry and the final court order in the case 2.0 be made a part of the record. Mr. Smith, the 21 Commission's purpose is to review the 22 qualifications of the candidate based on 23 evaluative criteria. The Commission is not here 24 to re-litigate the case, and they do not have 25 the ability to change what has occurred in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

case thus far. Is there anything that you wish to testify to today regarding Mr. Lounsberry's character, competency or ethics that has not been included in your complaint and all of the information that you have submitted to the Commission?

MR. SMITH: Yes. I have two things, and one is 90,000 -- over \$90,000 and going from the first case we paid Mr. Lounsberry. And he left everything out that should have been at the trial, witnesses, coworkers, you name it. in fact, he said my son did not need those, because he was the father. So now, every time we go to court, everything he didn't do that's what they're going on, like a friend, witnesses and just basically everything the Appellate Court said that he didn't do that's what they are going by. And the second thing, when I walked into the room I looked at everybody. Ι have a beard, I have dreads and I'm black. He told my son that he was behind the eight ball, because he was black and he had dreads and he didn't pay child support. And there never was an order for my son to pay child support. when I walked in here, I pretty much knew maybe

1	I'm already judged. And that's all I have.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Smith go ahead.
3	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I want to apologize. I
5	confused your grand or your status here.
6	Your son was the father?
7	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so he was granted custody. The
9	Court of Appeals reversed that
10	MR. SMITH: Reversed it, yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: in favor of the maternal
12	grandparents?
13	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So I apologize for that.
15	Nonetheless you are here, obviously in support
16	of a son and his role as a father, so
17	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: so my comments still apply. So I
19	appreciate that.
20	MR. SMITH: That's no problem, sir, because Mr.
21	Lounsberry thought he didn't have but one child.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, thank you so much, Mr. Smith.
23	I want to tell you just as a person you're
24	addressing here, one person, I would suggest to
25	you my face, my appearance does not dictate my

1 sympathies or my role in judging and hearing 2 complaints. And so I think I speak for the 3 entire Commission in that regard. We take these 4 very seriously based on the facts that we have 5 before us. And so I appreciate your being here 6 and participating in this process. 7 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Thank you. Mr. Louns -9 -- Senator Sabb. 10 SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman, I just noted that he has 11 a quest with him. And I just wanted the record 12 to establish the gentleman that he has here 13 supporting him. Do you mind introducing him to 14 us? 15 That's my uncle, Estin Wilkins. MR. SMITH: 16 SENATOR SABB: Okay. Well, we're delighted that he's 17 here as well. 18 I was told not to travel alone so I MR. SMITH: 19 brought somebody with me. SENATOR SABB: Well, I think it's always good to have 2.0 21 a riding partner; and it's always good to have 22 people who support you. And if I just might add 23 just to what the Chairman said as it relates to 24 your appearance. My daughter has dreadlocks, and I would certainly hope that when people see 25

her they don't base any preconceived notions on the type of individual that she is. And I would just suggest to you that there are many of us, and I happen to know all the members of this Commission. And I feel very comfortable in letting you know as a matter of fact that we do not prejudge individuals. We take them based upon their content and how they present, and so we appreciate you.

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. I do understand but that's just something that's been sticking with me for the past five years.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Hope.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Hello. I just want to state for MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: the record that I am from Spartanburg, have been in politics in Spartanburg. I have a nephew who has locks and is a black male, of course. And I want you to know that I'm new to this Commission. But I can tell you from my short time being here that we take all matters seriously. Although I've worked with Ms. Moss and I've just met Mr. Lounsberry today, I want you to know that you have -- I want you to believe in the system and that there are people who are seated on this Commission and who work

1 every day to make sure that equity is served, 2 fair and just to all people. And that is part 3 of my mission of why I'm even on this 4 Commission. So I want to thank you for driving 5 down here. I hate that you are comprised of 6 fear, but I need you to know that we are 7 watching this. We have heard you, and we want 8 you to know that we take what you have stated 9 seriously. And we're going to do our job, 10 although we cannot retry the case or hear the 11 facts of it. Thank you for being here, and 12 thank you for having your uncle drive down here 13 and have a safe trip back home. 14 Thank you. MR. SMITH: 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, sir. All right. Mr. 16 Lounsberry come back for us, please. And you, 17 too, are still under oath. 18 MR. LOUNSBERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Lounsberry, you received a copy 2.0 of Mr. Smith's complaint, and you provided a 21 written response, which is before the Commission 22 at this time. Is there anything else -- well, 23 first of all, would you like the written 24 response to be added as an exhibit into the

25

record?

```
1
     (The documents entered into the record are
 2
     incorporated into previously marked exhibit 17 - 159
 3
     pages. This would include complaints, affidavits,
 4
     subpoenas, letter from Mr. Lounsberry, the final
 5
     order in the case, and Mr. Lounsberry's response to
 6
     the complaint.)
 7
     MR. LOUNSBERRY:
                      I would.
 8
     MS. WILKINSON:
                     Yes, sir.
 9
     CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
                       Without objection.
10
                     Is there anything you would like to
     MS. WILKINSON:
11
          add to the written response that you've already
12
          submitted or anything that you would like to add
13
          today to the complaint that Mr. Smith has just
14
          brought before the Commission?
15
     MR. LOUNSBERRY:
                      I would briefly like to say that at
          no point in time has Mr. Smith, Jr. made any
16
17
          complaint to me or to anyone else I know of our
18
          representation to him.
                                  And as such, the
19
          attorney client privilege has not been waived,
2.0
          so that I cannot wade into the facts of my
21
          representation of him other than what has been
22
          set forth in responses before the Commission.
                                                           Ι
23
          would say to the last comment about how I --
24
          about the comment of Mr. Smith, Jr.'s
25
                       When I received the complaint I did
          appearance.
```

1 some deep soul searching and because, as I 2 mentioned earlier in my testimony, my parents 3 had raised me to love my neighbor as myself and 4 to treat people as I wished to be treated. 5 I was saddened by the comments, because I strive 6 to represent my clients in the same way. 7 strive to treat them as I wished that I would be 8 treated if I were a litigant before the family 9 That's all the comments that I have. court. 10 All right. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much. 11 All right. Have a seat. So Ms. Moss and Mr. 12 Lounsberry, I'm going to do this to each of you. 13 It's a little unusual, but let me have you both 14 -- you don't need to -- if you speak loud 15 enough, we'll be able to get for the court 16 reporter. This will conclude this portion of 17 your respective screening processes. You both 18 understand that the JMSC takes very seriously 19 both the letter and the spirit of the South Carolina ethics laws. Any violation or 2.0 21 appearance of impropriety in that regard is a 22 very serious matter and would be worthy of our 23 very heavy deliberation, should we have to come 24 You understand that this record is not 25 closed until the release of the final Report of

1	Qualifications and therefore, I need both of you
2	to verbally attest that you understand that we
3	can call you back again in the unlikely event
4	that that were to occur.
5	MS. MOSS: Yes, sir, I understand.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Ms. Moss. Mr.
7	Lounsberry?
8	MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman, I
9	understand.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank y'all both very
11	much for being here. Mr. Smith and your
12	partner, I hope he's driving until he doesn't
13	want to drive any longer, then you take the
14	wheel. Very well, it's inappropriate for me to
15	ask a woman this, but I want to ask you, what is
16	your age?
17	MR. WILKINS: Seventy-nine.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Seventy-nine. Well, you're the
19	best dressed 79-year-old I've seen in a long
20	time. God bless you all. Merry Christmas to
21	you. Thank you all for being here. Upon motion
22	of Representative Smith and seconded by Senator
23	Talley, we will now go into Executive Session.
24	EXECUTIVE SESSION
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And for the record, during

Executive Session, no votes were taken and no
decisions were made. All right. Now we will
proceed to a ballot on the various races.
VOTE
MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I'll do them in order of
the schedule for Monday and based on the seats.
We have for reelection for Administrative Law
Court the Honorable Phillip "Phil" Lenski.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Motion for qualification of
nomination. All in favor say aye.
(Ayes are heard.)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ayes have it unanimously.
MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, the Circuit Court seats
that are up for reelection, I'll read them in
the order that they appeared on the schedule.
The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr., the
Honorable Jennifer Blanchard McCoy, the
Honorable Benjamin H. Culbertson and the
Honorable Robert E. Hood.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Is there a motion.
VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: So moved. I move that we elect
them, en banc and vote on them as
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Qualified and nominated.
VICE CHAIRMAN SMITH: Qualified and nominated.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Motion made, and the second.

1	MS. McIVER: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Lucy Gray McIver. All right. All
3	in favor say aye or raise your hand.
4	(Ayes are heard.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Ayes have it. Is there
6	any dissenting vote? There is none.
7	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, the Masters-in-Equity
8	running for reappointment are: the Honorable
9	Martin R. Banks, the Honorable Teasa K. Weaver,
10	the Honorable Charles B. Simmons, the Honorable
11	James B. Jackson and the Honorable Joseph M.
12	Strickland and the Honorable Marvin H. Dukes,
13	III.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Motion for qualified. All in
15	favor, say Aye.
16	(Ayes are heard.)
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ayes have it. No opposition.
18	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, for the contested race
19	for the Master-in-Equity of Spartanburg County,
20	we have four candidates: the Honorable Whitner
21	S. Bishop, Shannon M. Phillips, Shane W. Rogers
22	and the Honorable Tommy Wall.
23	SENATOR TALLEY: I just want the record to reflect
24	I'm abstaining from this vote.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And Ms. Hope has made that clear

for each one of those, but for the record that
will be so. All right. Is there a motion for
all being found qualified?
REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So moved.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Motion made by
Representative Murphy. Seconded by a handful of
hands. All those in favor, say aye.
(Ayes are heard.)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any opposition? There being none.
MS. CRAWFORD: At this time I ask that we have a
recess.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Motion to go into
Executive Session by Mr. Safran, seconded by Mr.
Strom. We're going into Executive Session.
EXECUTIVE SESSION
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. We're back on the
record, folks. In Executive Session no votes
were taken, no decisions were made. We're back
on the record, and we now will proceed to the
next race, and that is Erin?
MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I'll read the names of
the six candidates that are in this race. You
will have three votes. Let me read all the
names first and then I'll go through and do them
individually. Robert "Rob" Rhoden, Mr. Brooks

1	Moss, Chadwick D. Pye, the Honorable Erika L.
2	McJimpsey, Angela J. Moss and Jonathan W.
3	Lounsberry. I'll now read their names out, and
4	remember you have three votes. So those are the
5	candidates.
6	SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Chairman, I move that all six
7	candidates be found qualified.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And so those are
9	SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb.
11	SENATOR SABB: Can we go off the record a second?
12	(Off the record.)
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. So a motion by Senator
14	Talley, seconded by Ms. Logan; is that right?
15	All right. So now we'll proceed to a ballot.
16	MS. CRAWFORD: Correct. For the qualified and
17	nominated. Just go down the all those in
18	favor of Jonathan Lounsberry. Ten votes. The
19	Honorable Erika L. McJimpsey. Ten votes.
20	Angela J. Moss. Ten votes. Ms. Brooks Moss was
21	zero. So the three all the votes have been
22	taken, so the three qualified and nominated are:
23	Jonathan Lounsberry, Honorable Erika L.
24	McJimpsey and Angela J. Moss.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right, folks. Thank y'all. We

```
1
                will be in recess. Thank y'all so much.
     (There being nothing further, the proceeding concluded at
 2
 3
     7:20 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC
3	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY
4	CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON WEDNESDAY, THE
5	2ND DAY OF DECEMBER, 2020, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 153
6	PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY
7	STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS
13	7TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2020.
14	Jenrifee Nouve
15	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 11, 2023
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	201202NO.	\$90,000	14	64:15 86:6
Exhibits	JMSC_Moss,	141:8	117:14	108:1 129:25
	A Exh 12		144	20
201202NO.	5:3 99:9		55:3	7:20 10:24 11:7
JMSC_	201202NO.		15	22:3 25:22
Rhoden Exh 3	JMSC_Moss,	-law	7:5 9:19 22:5	50:12 84:9
4:4 7:4	A Exh 13	63:1	24:19 27:19,21,	2005
201202NO.	5:6 99:12		24 28:10,17	72:14
JMSC_	201202NO.	1	29:10 32:15	2009
Rhoden Exh 4	JMSC_		55:9 62:10 65:4	41:10 92:7
4:7 7:7	Lounsberry Exh	1	72:12 99:10	2011
201202NO.	14	117:18	117:17	92:12,16
JMSC_	5:8 117:14	1,000	153	2012
Moss Exh 5	201202NO.	121:20	125:15	14:13 78:13
4:9 37:21	JMSC_	1,800	159	82:21 92:5,12,
201202NO.	Lounsberry Exh	89:12	137:24 146:2	16
JMSC_	15	10	16	2013
Moss Exh 6	5:11 117:17	78:4	7:22 65:7 78:5	14:13
4:12 37:24	201202NO.	10,000	117:20	2015
201202NO.	JMSC_	30:5	17	133:22
JMSC_	Lounsberry Exh	100	82:21 137:23	2016
Pye Exh 7	16	54:22,23,25	146:2	78:13 82:5,21
4:14 50:11	5:14 117:20	100,000	18	138:23
201202NO.	201202NO.	54:22 55:1	65:4 82:21	2017
JMSC_	JMSC_	11	180	133:23 139:2,3
Pye Exh 8	Lounsberry_	13:21 78:7	31:17	2018
4:17 50:14	Smith Complain	81:23 94:7	19	82:5
201202NO.	t_full_Exh 17	128:22,23	52:23 53:1	21
JMSC_	5:16 137:23	11th	55:14 65:5	102:21
Pye Exh 9	146:2	91:2	191	22
4:20 50:17		12	83:2	7:21 13:15
201202NO.	\$	99:9		52:22,23 58:21
JMSC_	φ100 000	127	2	83:3
McJimpsey Exh	\$100,000	43:2		23
10	54:21	12th	2	37:22 100:20
4:22 78:4	\$20	91:2	50:15	117:15
201202NO.	62:15	13	2-19-70	25-case
JMSC_	\$5,000	7:22 9:15 99:12	17:1 44:21	10:6
McJimpsey Exh	40:18	131	63:23 85:15	26
11	\$5,000,000	102:20	107:9 129:10	43:3 100:11
4:25 78:7	40:18	138	2-19-70(E)	125:16
		13:15	17:17 45:14	123.10

28	138:23	135:4 140:25	acting	address
88:14	608	absolutely	58:19	135:22
	120:17 122:9,10	74:1 81:3	action	addressed
3	65	abstaining	122:20,21	26:15 47:23
	55:16 72:3,4	150:24	actions	108:25 128:2,4
3	,	abuse	101:9 102:3	addresses
7:4	7	12:24 13:2	112:16 122:22	134:22
30		22:15 55:20	123:7	addressing
29:11	7	82:6 84:11	activities	142:24
30-day	50:11	94:22 122:24	40:6	adhere
112:24	70	academic	activity	76:2
32	94:23	16:14 26:21	92:20	adherence
80:6	79-year-old	27:3,4 33:21	actual	35:23 48:13
350	148:19	44:9 63:10 66:3,	22:2 136:14	
121:17	7:20	9,15 67:16		adjuster 53:7
121.17	153:3	84:25 106:15	acumen 126:2	
4	_ 133.3	128:15		administered
4		academically	ad	140:10
4	8	67:21	40:25 41:23	Administrative
7:7 78:8	8	Academy	101:7 102:16	149:7
40	7:8 50:14	121:4,10	105:7,12 112:4	admired
54:25 114:24	7.0 30.14	, and the second	133:14,21	110:13
119:9		accessible 93:20	adapt	admittance
117.7	9		32:6	121:2
	- 9	accomplish	add	admitted
5	37:25 50:17	93:11	137:5,13 143:22	21:9 121:3,5,6,
5	90,000	accountants	146:11,12	12,13
37:21 50:18	141:8	54:18	added	adopted
99:13	9:00	accounts	145:24	84:8
50	72:18	131:3	addition	adoption
15:20 54:25	72.10	accused	13:18 59:20	42:22 53:22
501		58:22	83:9 126:1	56:1
16:19 44:14	A	acknowledge	additional	adoptions
63:16 85:8	abilities	26:19 46:16	11:9,11 13:16	53:18,20 55:23,
106:24 129:3	119:20 126:2	acknowledged	41:14 43:3	24,25
55	ability	14:22	53:14,16 55:4	adoptive
55:16	16:14 32:5 41:1	acknowledgmen	59:21 81:15,17	89:23
JJ.10	44:10 63:10	t	83:3 90:21	adored
	66:15 85:1	48:22	101:2,4 102:21	81:3
6	94:10 103:3	act	122:1,3,6	adult
6	106:15 126:19	61:9 120:7	125:16	115:22
37:24 117:21	128:15 130:12	136:20	Additionally	adults
31.4 4 111.41	120.13 130.12		126:13	auuns

30:9 112:15	agree	amended	47:13 51:21,22	appointed
advance	18:18 21:17	52:13 59:22	79:2 142:4,14	61:5 107:6,7
89:8	113:20	amendment	appeal	108:25 123:13,
advanced	agreements	50:15 117:18	133:25 139:10,	20,23 133:21
82:18	81:25	121:1	11,13,21	appointment
	ahead	Amendments	appealed	26:4 91:14
advantage 96:7	29:3 48:3	133:13 139:24	134:6	appointments
	130:17 142:2	American	Appeals	120:17 122:9,10
advent	albeit	121:10	134:2,3 135:24	apportionment
95:15	29:1		134.2,3 133.24	11:14
advisement		amount		
28:21	Albert	28:1 32:2,18	appearance	appreciation
advocate	110:15	47:4 124:23	35:25 48:15	25:5
24:24 28:9	alienation	and/or	76:5 97:6	appreciative
111:9 115:21	42:12,17,24,25	94:6 113:23	142:25 143:24	47:4
affair	alike	Anderson	146:25 147:21	approach
43:19,21	83:21	96:14	appeared	58:18 59:15
affidavit	alimony	Angela	47:8 83:15	82:11
99:4 117:8	11:13,20 13:12	98:12 99:11,13	87:15 149:15	approached
134:12,13	20:19,20 21:21	100:3 103:1	appearing	58:21 68:19
140:16	33:3 55:19	111:6 152:2,20,	43:24 79:3	approximately
affidavits	124:22,23	24	appears	9:19 121:18
7:12 38:4 50:6	alive	angry	7:2 35:18 37:18	128:22
78:23 134:19	96:22	22:20	50:4 78:2 92:18	area
140:18 146:3	allegations	anonymous	99:2 117:6	18:16 24:13
afraid	43:18,23 122:23	68:10 93:25	appellate	32:12 41:19
88:23	138:5	anonymously	13:6 16:20	126:18
afternoon	allege	68:17	44:15 63:17	areas
18:3,4 45:24	140:6	answers	85:9 106:25	11:8,12 12:14
70:5,6 75:10,11	alleges	23:9 47:19	129:3 139:13	20:7 21:21
86:18 99:16	133:10,16	48:21	141:16	41:15 47:24
108:11,13 111:4	139:22,25	anticipate	application	53:12,17 74:17
118:6	140:2,3	36:8 97:13	6:24 37:15 50:1	81:14 82:7,17
age	allowed	antsy	77:20,24 98:24	85:2 88:12
8:14 39:21	41:3 95:5 96:8	103:12	117:3	101:1 111:13
52:17 79:22	120:19	anybody's	applied	112:1 121:25
100:5 118:16,23	allowing	87:12	41:11 120:20	122:5 123:25
119:23 148:16	38:15	anymore	apply	126:19,21
agency	Alukonis	27:20	22:23 23:5	aren't
40:13 46:10	133:18 138:19	apologies	41:10 121:23	74:11
agin	139:6,10,16	49:3 78:14 98:4	142:18	argue
7:14	ambassador	apologize	appoint	12:22
	93:8	apologize	105:15	
	•		•	•

arise	attempting	awarded	background	Bank
36:5 76:10	17:12 64:9 86:1	74:25 75:1	15:4 26:22 27:4	61:3
arose	107:21	awards	33:21 41:7	Banks
25:17	attend	72:20	46:17 81:10	150:9
articles	72:17 122:18	aware	83:5 84:4,10	banquet
7:1 37:18 50:3	attendance	6:21 16:17	103:2 126:3	72:20
78:1 99:1 117:5	75:24	17:12 36:2	133:17 138:15	Bar
125:9,10	attended	37:11 44:12	backlog	12:5 20:25 21:6,
aspects	14:25 47:11	45:9 63:14 64:9,	28:3 30:1 31:2	8 33:25 46:24
12:21 13:11	attending	11 84:3 85:6	32:1 34:15	58:14,18,23
42:7 55:22	33:12	86:1 87:24 88:9	backlogged	59:1,4,8 66:24
82:16 101:23	attention	97:15 98:22	30:6	68:21 82:19
124:5,11	93:22 126:17	106:22 107:21	backlogs	95:7 96:19
assault	attentively	110:2 115:6	27:16 30:2	105:16 109:3
94:24	83:18	129:1,21	bad	123:21 128:18
assaults	attest	aye	64:23 65:1 69:6,	Barnette
122:12	148:2	149:10 150:3,15	9,10,17 90:14	9:8
Assembly	attitude	151:7	113:22	Barry
17:3,11 44:23	19:23 123:24	ayes	baffled	9:8
45:7 63:25 64:8	attorney	149:11,12	109:6	base
85:17,25	23:20 32:8	150:4,5,16,17	Baker	144:1
107:11,20	62:18 138:21	151:8	99:18,20,25	based
129:12,20	146:19		106:12 108:3,21	8:10 16:6 18:7
assessment	attorney/client	В	133:5,8,9	21:12 23:6
106:10	24:18		137:16 138:1	25:10 26:6
asset	attorneys	baby	ball	27:10 39:17
54:12 103:1	32:14 58:17	114:6	141:21	52:12 69:19
assets	83:20 103:11	back	ballot	79:18 87:12
74:13 124:12	attorney's	6:5 21:7 29:15	6:24 13:15,16	89:20 100:1
assist	74:17,23,25	30:18,24 36:5	19:14 27:15	118:12 121:7
8:19 40:1 52:21	75:1 96:9	46:7 48:20 49:4	37:14 43:2,3,20	128:18 136:13
80:2 100:10	attributed	51:10 56:22	46:7 48:1 49:25	140:22 143:4
118:20	92:2	59:21 68:18	55:3 68:5 71:11	144:7 149:6
assistant	attributes	69:1 76:10	77:23 83:2,3	basically
9:7 90:7 104:2	18:20	78:18 91:6 96:9,	87:9 98:23	12:5 19:11
assisted	authority	17,21 97:8,19 100:15,18	102:20,22	23:16 60:13
75:18	10:21	100:15,18	108:23 117:2	74:10 141:16
associate	avoid	114:5 116:1,7	125:15,16 128:7	basics
56:16	69:21 112:14	132:18,19 140:8	149:3 152:15	123:22
assume		145:13,16	banc	basis
137:11	award	147:24 148:3	149:22	23:18 95:19,20
	74:17 139:14	151:16,18		102:14 113:16
		131.10,10		
	I	1	I	1

			1	
121:14 124:25	betterment	bonding	bring	buys
138:16	119:6	42:11	61:10 73:16	28:23
baton	big	book	116:7 132:18	
8:25	8:20 10:16	23:24 53:22	bringing	C
beard	28:14 90:17	78:15	46:14	
141:20	109:2	bottom	brining	caddy
beautiful	bigger	74:2	68:13	51:12,15
77:8 137:3	71:3 73:12	bound	broke	call
began	95:16	16:18 44:13	62:10	36:5 48:20
114:10 138:22	bill	63:15 85:7	Brooks	76:10 97:8
begging	75:5	106:23 129:2	36:15,17,18,20,	148:3
59:3	bills	bounds	21,25 37:2,23,	called
beginning	62:3	58:19	25 151:25	42:10 59:5 61:9
74:20 82:20	binder	box	152:20	90:25
begun	12:3	6:24 13:15,16	brought	caller
33:9 82:2	biological	27:15 37:15	18:11 38:7,9	15:25
behalf	138:18	43:2,3,20 46:7	50:9 67:16	calling
11:1,6 17:11,13	Bishop	48:1 49:25 55:3	73:14 89:20	119:1
45:10 48:6 64:8,	150:21	68:5 71:11	143:19 146:14	calls
10 85:25 86:2	bit	77:23 83:2,3	bubble	15:8,19 16:4
107:20,22	24:7 27:12	87:9 98:23	24:20	campaign
113:10 129:20	29:17 42:3,4	102:20,22	buddies	7:13 78:24
behavior	65:23 70:17	108:23 117:2	68:22	campus
58:8	71:10 104:10	125:15,16 128:7	built	76:21,22
Ben	109:7 111:12,15	boxes	64:25	candidacy
131:6	black	19:5,14	bulk	6:22 16:24
bench	141:20,22	boys	93:18	44:19 49:23
8:23 28:4 34:25	144:17	51:11 57:20	bunch	63:21 85:13
54:1 58:2 72:18	BLACKLEY-	72:16 86:22	30:19	107:4 129:8
73:2 77:21 81:5	LOGAN	bragging	business	candidate
82:3,19 88:5	34:7,10 75:10,	19:18	57:5,8,22,23	6:8 10:18 11:24
89:10,20 95:5	12 90:3 111:3	breadth	61:20 102:7	16:18 17:21,22
98:22 103:1	131:21 132:8	120:15 125:21	125:3,11	34:24 43:7
126:5	144:14	Bridges	businesses	44:13 45:18,19
benefit	Blanchard	29:3 34:2 96:5	54:20 124:16,19	63:15 64:19,20
69:22 132:25	149:17	111:23	125:1	66:10 84:4 85:7
Benjamin	bless	briefly	busy	86:12 97:15
149:18	97:17,24 148:20	42:4 60:1 82:13	30:7 72:8 92:18	106:22 108:5,6,
Benson	Bob	101:20 117:23	113:15,21	8 126:8,24
52:2,6,7,11 60:6	56:18,19,22	124:2 146:15	busyness	129:1 140:22
63:4 64:17	Boiling	bright	10:1	candidates
05.107.1/	62:21 97:19	35:19 131:15	10.1	65:19 66:1,5
	02.21 71.17			
	I	l	1	I

68:7 69:7	39:14 44:15	140:19,24	catch	96:25 97:13,17,
125:20,22	45:14 53:23	141:1,9 145:10	88:18	19,22 98:2,4,8,
134:25 135:18	54:15,17 63:17	146:5	caught	14,19 99:18,25
150:20 151:22	76:4 79:14	case-specific	69:16	108:7,9 110:6,8
152:5,7	80:23 85:9 86:6	23:6	Cauthen	111:1,2 113:7
candidate's	97:5 99:23	caseload	92:23	116:10,14,19,
8:11,12 39:18,	106:24 108:1	32:4 94:23	cell	22,23 117:23,
19 52:13,15	118:9 129:3,25	103:7 109:2	109:9	24,25 118:4,11
68:12 79:19,20	134:7 147:20	caseloads	cetera	128:8 130:5,7,
100:2,3	Caroline	24:21	95:18	16,19,20,23
cannon	6:21 37:10	cases		131:20 132:11,
121:10	49:22 116:24	9:9,10,11,16,18	Chad	14,23 133:5,9
can't	carried	10:4,5,13 11:17,	68:23	135:13,16,20
31:1 48:4 106:3	111:8	19 12:22 13:3,4,	Chadwick	136:5,9,18,25
115:5	carries	9 14:15,21,24	49:12,15 50:13,	137:9,10,16,20
capabilities	121:11	15:21,22 18:16	16,18 61:4	138:7,9,13
120:10	carry	21:20 23:18	152:1	140:8,12 142:2,
	119:21 120:5	30:22 31:23	Chair	3,4,8,11,14,18,
capable 10:19 27:9		32:9 33:5,9,10	51:5	22 143:8,10,23
	cars 62:10	34:13 41:2	chairman	144:13 145:15,
capacity		42:19 45:25	6:1,5,10,16,20	18 146:9 147:10
24:10 92:15,16	Cartee	46:3,10,11 54:3,	7:11,24 8:9	148:6,8,10,18,
93:4	62:7,9	13 55:18,20,21	17:24 18:2	25 149:5,9,12,
capture	case	56:6,17 60:8,23	26:25 27:1	13,20,21,23,24,
93:21	9:12,22 10:8,10	62:20 69:14	31:12,13 34:6	25 150:2,5,7,14,
car	11:23 12:23	70:20 71:2	35:15 36:8,15,	17,18,25 151:5,
72:1 73:15	13:5,7,8 21:23	82:23 88:1	17,19,23 37:2,5,	9,12,16,21
care	41:21 42:16,20,	89:13,16 90:7,8	10 38:3,11,16	152:6,8,9,10,13,
6:12 30:9 39:1	21 53:24 54:17,	95:16 96:4	39:8,10,16 41:9	25
49:8 84:8	21 60:15,21	101:10,15	44:3 45:21,23	challenge
career	61:1,8,11 62:1,	102:6,17 103:19	46:20,21 47:20	9:2
9:4 25:9,11	5,23 67:5 73:15, 16,21 74:18	104:5,6,7,17,19	48:8,24 49:8,12,	challenged
35:12 51:10	87:19 88:7	105:7,12,13,15,	14,17,21 50:22	68:19
68:18 69:19		16,19,20 108:18	51:1,12,14 52:4,	challenging
90:1 100:13,16,	100:15 102:8 106:3 108:17	109:5,17 110:2	6,11 64:21,22	118:2
20 103:18	106:3 108:17	111:8 112:17,	65:2,6,8,11 70:3	chance
110:14 114:2,15	20 124:13	20,22 113:20	71:10 75:9,22	32:20 51:12
123:11 124:8	133:15,16,20,21	114:21 122:10,	76:12,16,20,23,	87:18,21 131:22
careful	133.13,10,20,21	23,24 123:6	24 77:1,6,8,10,	chances
115:8	4 136:2,7,13	124:7,18	14,18 78:12,14,	59:1
Carolina	138:15,17,24	126:12,14	18,21 79:8,10,	change
16:20 17:17	139:4,16,18	127:5,9,15,25	17 86:12,14 90:2 91:19	8:24 31:18
22:4 26:1 35:24	137.7,10,10		90.4 91.19	0.2131.10

			1	
135:4 140:25	81:12 94:24	city	co-	commenter
changed	114:4 115:20,21	8:5 39:12 52:9,	138:23	126:7,23 127:1,
114:6	136:21,22 137:2	10 79:13 83:7	co-charge	3
character	139:8 141:23,24	91:21,22 92:5	122:14	commenting
16:14 43:14	142:21	99:22 118:7	co-counsel	66:13
44:10 63:11	children	civil	138:23	comments
85:1 106:16	22:16 30:9 40:9,	62:13	code	13:16,18 14:2,3
126:4 128:15	14 59:9 73:8	civilian	16:18 17:17	15:7 19:11
135:9 141:3	80:11,14 81:7	94:19	44:13 45:14	21:16 25:10
charge	95:18 103:13	civility	63:15 64:15	26:9 27:15
104:2,4 123:2	110:1 112:13	58:15	85:7 86:6	31:19,22 35:16
charged	119:13,15,18	classes	106:23 108:1	43:3,5,12 46:7,
120:7	120:6 134:21	82:4,20	129:2,25	15 55:4,5,9,10
Charles	child's	CLE	collar	69:6,9,10,24
150:10	138:18	82:6 123:22	9:10	71:11,18 83:3,5,
Charleston	choose	clear	colleague	23,24 87:9
70:15	99:8	42:19 101:16	30:12	93:25 102:22,23
chart	Christmas	150:25	colleagues	103:4,5,9
113:1	36:10,12 49:9,	clerk	22:7 23:3 24:16,	108:23 113:12
chase	10 76:13 77:3	34:6,12 60:11	17	125:16,18
126:16	97:22 148:20	75:16	college	126:22 128:5
chatted	churches	CLES	72:22 76:13	130:8 132:11
22:8	80:15	122:19	Columbia	142:18 147:5,9
	churn	client	59:5 65:9 70:15	Commission
cheap 68:16	74:21	22:3 27:23 75:5	114:12 131:2	13:14 16:23
	circuit	122:16 123:1	comfortable	32:22 38:21
cheat	8:6,8 32:25	140:5 146:19	30:13,15 41:15	43:1 44:18
101:13	39:13,15 52:9,	clients	60:17 111:25	45:22 51:5 55:2
check	10 59:3 79:13,	24:5,24 25:3	144:5	63:20 65:3 83:1
7:11 38:3 50:5	15 91:4 99:22,	28:8 58:10	commend	84:15 85:12
62:15 99:3	24 111:22	101:8 102:1	26:5 35:4 90:23	86:15,25 88:3
117:7	118:7,10 126:6	109:12 127:15,	commendable	97:3 102:20
checked	149:13	20 147:6	19:15	107:3,6,7
78:21	circumstance	close		108:10 117:25
Cherokee	23:14 58:25	68:3 90:13 97:1	comment	120:13,20
10:7 70:14	circumstances	132:24	20:23 68:15	121:22 125:14
104:3	24:11		87:3,20 90:12	129:7 132:16
chief	Citizens	closed 36:3 48:18 76:7	91:21 94:1	134:11,17,24
30:18	16:8 44:4 46:23	36:3 48:18 76:7 97:9 147:25	108:20 109:14	135:2,6,11,15
child	47:7 63:4 67:2,3		113:10 128:1	138:3 139:22
12:25 13:9	84:23 106:12	closely	146:23,24	140:13,23 141:6
55:19 80:8	128:9,17	124:19	commented	143:3 144:5,19,
	120.7,17		31:21 32:21	25 145:4,21

146:14,22			1	I	1
17:16 45:13	· ·	_	_	147:16	
64:14 86:5	Commission's			concluded	
107:25 129:24 133:17 134:14 140:21 compensation composure commitment 56:6 90:22 18:6 63:16 85:8 14:19 106:23 122:13 contact 17:5 145:5 129:2 17:10 45:6 58:3 contact 17:10 45:6 58:3 14:19 106:23 122:13 contact 17:10 45:6 58:3 14:19 106:23 122:13 contact 17:10 45:6 58:3 competency computer computer computer computer computer computer conferences 129:2 17:10 45:6 58:3 competency computer conferences 129:19 contact 17:19 109:9 129:19 contacted 16:23 44:18 16:23 47:7 63:5, 140:2 141:3 140:3 15:1 confidence 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:23 44:18 16:24 44:18 1		_		139:4 153:2	
133:17 134:14 140:21 20mpensation 56:6 90:22 18:6 16:19 44:14 14:19 163:16 85:8 14:19 16:9 44:4,7 46:23 47:7 63:5, 8 66:19,22 67:2, 3 68:25 84:23 140:21 41:3 140:3 15:1 29:2 17:10 45:6 58:3 16:61 31 28:9, 106:13 128:9, 20mmittees 405:5 47:5 65:24 20mmittees 405:5 47:5 65:24 20mmittees 405:5 47:5 65:24 20mmittees 133:10,11 133:		62:1,5	117:4	concludes	
140:21		compassion	_	75:25	
commitment 56:6 90:22 18:6 63:16 85:8 14:19 committed 71:5 competency comprised 106:23 122:13 17:10 45:6 58:3 88:21 93:16 competency computer conducting 64:7 85:24 17:10 45:6 58:3 Res:19:31:7 competency computer conducting 64:7 85:24 107:19 109:9 16:9 44:4,7 95:7,10 135:9 concealment 15:1 contacted 16:9 44:4,7 63:68 28 4:23 140:2 141:3 140:3 15:1 contacted 3 68:25 84:23 66:13 128:9, complainant concept 15:1 confidence 13:12.17 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 95:4 29:9 committees 40:5 47:5 65:24 complainant's 112:8 58:1,5 66:2 44:18 19:16 133:10,11 14:7,22 15:7,10 conflict 7:12 38:3 50:5 7:12 38:3 50:5 communicating 15;17 139:21,24 117:31 984:2, 117:7 8:10 13:17 communites 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 congratula		83:12	95:2,3	conduct	111:25
Second Compete Comprised Telephone Telephone	140:21	compensation	composure	16:19 44:14	consumes
committed 71:5 145:5 129:2 17:10 45:6 58:3 88:21 93:16 competency computer conducting 64:7 85:24 16:9 44:4,7 95:7,10 135:9 68:17 29:24 107:19 109:9 16:9 44:4,7 95:7,10 135:9 concealment conferences 129:19 8 66:19,22 67:2, 3 68:25 84:23 44:20 57:6 10:23 11:2,3,4 63:20 66:2 20mplainant 12:17 132:20,21 concept confidence 85:12 107:3 20mmittees 40:5 47:5 65:24 complainant's 128:3 29:5 95:4 63:20 66:2 commodity complainant's 138:19 112:8 58:1,5 60:16te 107:10 129:11 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 71:2 44:22 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 37:31 84:2,2 117:7 8:10 13:17 8:10 13:17 communities 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 congratulate 13:11 4 10:2:17 20:21 20:17 20:15 20:17 20:12 20:17 20:12	commitment	56:6 90:22	18:6	63:16 85:8	14:19
88:21 93:16 competency computer conducting 64:7 85:24 Committee 82:8,10 89:25 68:17 29:24 107:19 109:9 46:23 47:7 63:5, 46:23 47:7 63:5, 140:2 141:3 140:3 15:1 conferences 8 66:19,22 67:2, 3 68:25 84:23 competent 84:20 57:6 10:23 11:2,3,4 63:20 46:2 46:3 44:18 12,17 complainant concept confidence 16:23 44:18 63:20 66:2 commoditees 40:5 47:5 65:24 complainant's concept conflict confident 19:16 133:10,11 12:8 58:1,5 58:1,5 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 17:2 44:22 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 contained communities 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 117:7 81:10 3:1,31 25:12 79:18 83:4 100:1 100:13 11:14 25:12 79:18 83:4 100:1 100:13 11:14 25:17 79:2 25:17 79:2 27:18 27:14:2 27:18 27:14:2	81:6	compete	comprised	106:23 122:13	contact
Committee 82:8,10 89:25 68:17 29:24 107:19 109:9 16:9 44:4,7 95:7,10 135:9 concealment 15:1 contacted 46:23 47:7 63:5, 8 66:19,22 67:2, 8 66:19,22 67:2, 106:13 128:9, 12,17 84:20 57:6 confidence 16:23 44:18 12,17 complainant 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 95:4 63:20 66:2 committees complainant 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 95:4 129:7 commodity complainant's concepts concepts conflict 63:24 85:16 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 107:10 129:11 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 20 117:2 44:22 60:10:19:19 communities 145:20 146:6, 131,7.25 13:11 137:21, 24:24 81:26:13 127:5 congratulate 100:13:17 29:14:32:146:3 13:11.9 20:217 contempt 20:217 contempt 20:217 contempt 20:217 contempt 100:21 10:2:318:12 100:21 10:2:36:6 100:11 10:2:112 100:2:112:19 100:2:112:19 100:11 100:11 10:2:112 100:2:112 100:2:112 100:2:112	committed	_	145:5	129:2	17:10 45:6 58:3
Committee 82:8,10 89:25 68:17 29:24 107:19 109:9 16:9 44:4.7 46:23 47:7 63:5, 140:2 141:3 140:3 15:1 contacted 46:23 47:7 63:5, 86:19,22 67:2, competent 84:20 57:6 10:23 11:2,3,4 63:20 66:2 3 68:25 84:23 106:13 128:9, 12,17 complainant 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 confidence 40:5 47:5 65:24 complainant's 138:19 concepts 112:8 conflict commodity 119:16 133:10,11 14:7,22 15:7,10 58:1,5 63:24 88:16 29:8 137:4 138:11, 56:2 57:4 58:7, 17:2 38:3 50:5 63:24 88:16 29:8 137:4 138:11, 56:2 57:4 58:7, 117:7 63:24 88:16 communites 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 13:12 142:5 community 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 congratulate 13:15 9:2:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 93:6,19 100:19 13:14,2 38:6	88:21 93:16	competency	computer	conducting	64:7 85:24
16:9 44:4,7 46:23 47:7 63:5, 8 6:19,22 67:2, 3 68:25 84:23 84:20 57:6 10:23 11:2,3,4 63:20 66:2 106:13 128:9, 129:17 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 28:23 29:5 28:24 20mplainant 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 28:23 29:5 28:24 20mmodity 19:16 133:10,11 147,22 15:7,10 29:8 137:4 138:11, 56:2 57:4 58:7, 129:17 20mmunicating 15:17 139:21,24 117:319 84:2, 119:18 133:17,25 140:16 141:4 145:20 146:6, 113:11 137:21, 20mmunity 40:6 57:20 24 143:2 146:3 143:21 136:15 20mmunity 2	Committee		68:17	29:24	107:19 109:9
8 66:19,22 67:2, 3 68:25 84:23 competent concentrating confidence 16:23 44:18 3 68:25 84:23 106:13 128:9, 12,17 complainant 132:20,21 concept confidence 10:23 11:2,3,4 63:20 66:2 committees 40:5 47:5 65:24 complainant's 138:19 concepts conflict conflict contacting 119:16 133:10,11 14:7,22 15:7,10 7:12 38:3 50:5 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 contained 29:8 137:4 138:11, 56:2 57:4 58:7, 117:7 8:10 13:17 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 117:7 8:10 13:17 communities 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 8 126:13 127:5 confused 137:2 142:5 52:12 79:18 80:19 92:20 13:11 137:21, 24 143:2 146:3 24 143:2 146:3 13:11 137:21 concerns 93:6,19 100:19 113:21 12,22 124:11:14 competely 13:1,3,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 101:21 124:3 <	16:9 44:4,7	· ·	concealment	conferences	129:19
3 68:25 84:23 84:20 57:6 10:23 11:2,3,4 63:20 66:2 106:13 128:9, 12,17 complainant 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 confident 95:4 129:7 committees 40:5 47:5 65:24 commodity 119:16 complaint 133:10,11 concepts 112:8 conflict 58:1,5 conflict 63:24 85:16 17:2 44:22 common 134:12 136:1,5 29:8 137:4 138:11, 56:2 57:4 58:7, 107:10 129:11 7:12 38:3 50:5 107:10 129:11 communicating 19:18 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 117:7 117:7 8:10 13:17 communities 19:18 13,17,25 complaints 24:13:11 137:21, 24:146:3 13:11 137:21, 24:25 concerned 131:14 congratulations 131:19 83:4 100:1 102:23 118:12 125:17 93:6,19 100:19 113:23 118:25 119:7,12,14,24 120:6 128:18 19:4 111:14 complex 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 126:22 17:23,8 128:6, 79:20 96:9 10:23 118:14 consent 12:3 contained 8:10 13:17 contained 13:14 consent 13:17 8:10 13:17 contained 13:17 8:10 13:17 contained 13:17 8:10 13:17 contained 13:14 congratulate 13:14 congratulate 13:14 congratulate 13:14 congratulate 13:14 consent 13:19 13:1:14 congratulations 13:19 13:1:14 consent 13:19 22:17 content 14:8 23:17 22:17 content 14:8 23:17 22:17 content 14:8 25:17 20:17 content 14:8 25:17 20:17 content 14:8 25:17 20:17 content 14:8	46:23 47:7 63:5,	140:2 141:3	140:3	15:1	contacted
3 68:25 84:23 106:13 128:9, 12,17	8 66:19,22 67:2,	competent	concentrating	confidence	16:23 44:18
106:13 128:9, 12,17 132:20,21 28:23 29:5 28:23 29:5 29:4 28:23 29:5 28:23 29:3 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23 29:23	3 68:25 84:23	_		10:23 11:2,3,4	63:20 66:2
12,17	106:13 128:9,	complainant	concept	1	85:12 107:3
committees d0:5 47:5 65:24 complainant's concepts 112:8 conflict 58:1,5 contacting 17:2 44:22 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 17:2 44:22 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 17:2 44:22 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 conflicts 7:12 38:3 50:5 7:12 38:3	12,17	_	_		129:7
40:5 47:5 65:24 138:19 112:8 58:1,5 63:24 85:16 commodity 119:16 133:10,11 14:7,22 15:7,10 7:12 38:3 50:5 63:24 85:16 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 107:10 129:11 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 117:7 8:10 13:17 communities 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 confused 39:17 43:4 19:18 13,17,25 concerned 13:11 137:21, concerns 13:14 40:6 57:20 113:11 137:21, concerns 13:19 13:19 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 93:6,19 100:19 completely 43:12,15 45:17 61:16 consent 119:7,12,14,24 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 100:3 118:14 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 55:8 contexts 25:8	committees	· ·			contacting
commodity complaint concern conflicts 7:12 38:3 50:5 63:24 85:16 107:10 129:11 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 107:10 129:11 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 117:7 8:10 13:17 communities 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 137:2 142:5 confused 119:18 13,17,25 concerned 9:3 66:2 94:16 congratulate 131:14 community complaints 9:3 66:2 94:16 concerns 131:19 contempt 40:6 57:20 113:11 137:21, concerns 131:19 congratulations 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 concerns 131:19 contempt 13:23 118:25 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 8:12 30:21,23 39:19 52:15 content 19:7,12,14,24 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 54:7 82:14 101:21 94:5 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 56:	40:5 47:5 65:24	_	_		17:2 44:22
119:16 133:10,11 14:7,22 15:7,10 7:12 38:3 50:5 107:10 129:11 common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 107:10 129:11 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, 117:7 8:10 13:17 communities 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 137:2 142:5 52:12 79:18 community complaints 13;17,25 concerned 131:14 102:23 118:12 40:6 57:20 113:11 137:21, concerns 13:12,15 45:17 131:19 contempt 93:6,19 100:19 completely 43:12,15 45:17 61:16 consent 119:7,12,14,24 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 79:20 96:9 120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 54:7 82:14 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 54:7 82:14 70:24 71:21 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 constituents 25:8 72:1 126:15 conclude 126:6 126:6	commodity			· ·	63:24 85:16
common 134:12 136:1,5 26:17 34:24 78:22 99:3 contained 29:8 137:4 138:11, 56:2 57:4 58:7, 117:7 39:17 43:4 communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, confused 39:17 43:4 105:5 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 52:12 79:18 communities 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 congratulate 119:18 13,17,25 concerned 9:3 66:2 94:16 congratulations community complaints 9:3 66:2 94:16 congratulations 131:19 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 61:16 93:6,19 100:19 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 8:12 30:21,23 19:7,12,14,24 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 8:12 30:21,23 39:19 52:15 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 100:3 118:14 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 40:24 constituents 70:24 71:21 <td>119:16</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>107:10 129:11</td>	119:16	_			107:10 129:11
29:8	common	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			contained
communicating 15,17 139:21,24 11 73:19 84:2, confused 39:17 43:4 105:5 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 137:2 142:5 52:12 79:18 communities 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 congratulate 131:14 community complaints 9:3 66:2 94:16 concerned 131:14 congratulate 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 concerns 131:19 contempt 93:6,19 100:19 24 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 61:16 content 119:7,12,14,24 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 8:12 30:21,23 39:19 52:15 79:20 96:9 100:21 102:5,6, 15 54:6,13 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 55:18 comp 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 40:24 concise 76:6 140:15 contexts 70:24 71:21 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue		1			8:10 13:17
105:5 140:16 141:4 13 103:8 105:4, 8 126:13 127:5 137:2 142:5 52:12 79:18 83:4 100:1 communities 145:20 146:6, 13,17,25 8 126:13 127:5 congratulate 131:14 102:23 118:12 community complaints 9:3 66:2 94:16 congratulations 131:19 contempt 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 61:16 contempt 93:6,19 100:19 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 144:8 consent 119:7,12,14,24 complex 83:23 86:9 95:6 8:12 30:21,23 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 100:3 118:14 101:21 124:3 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 70:24 71:21 126:15 40:24 conclude continue continue	communicating	1			39:17 43:4
communities 145:20 146:6, 8 126:13 127:5 congratulate 119:18 13,17,25 concerned 131:14 102:23 118:12 community complaints 9:3 66:2 94:16 congratulate 131:14 125:17 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 61:16 contempt 93:6,19 100:19 13:1,825 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 8:12 30:21,23 14:8 119:7,12,14,24 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 8:12 30:21,23 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 100:3 118:14 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 40:24 constituents 25:8 70:24 71:21 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue					52:12 79:18
119:18 13,17,25 concerned 9:3 66:2 94:16 131:14 102:23 118:12 community 40:6 57:20 113:11 137:21, 24 143:2 146:3 concerns 131:19 contempt 93:6,19 100:19 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 61:16 content 119:7,12,14,24 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 83:23 86:9 95:6 8:12 30:21,23 contested 120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 8:12 30:21,23 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 15 54:6,13 127:3,8 128:6, 17 130:3 100:3 118:14 101:21 124:3 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 70:24 71:21 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 constituents 25:8 72:1 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue			1		83:4 100:1
community complaints 9:3 66:2 94:16 congratulations 13:17 contempt 40:6 57:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 22:17 93:6,19 100:19 completely 43:12,15 45:17 61:16 content 113:23 118:25 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 8:12 30:21,23 120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 100:3 118:14 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 40:24 constituents 25:8 70:24 71:21 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue		1			102:23 118:12
40:6 57:20 113:11 137:21, 24 143:2 146:3 concerns 131:19 contempt 22:17 80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 connection 61:16 contempt 22:17 93:6,19 100:19 13:18:25 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 14:2 30:21,23 119:7,12,14,24 120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 8:12 30:21,23 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 101:21 124:3 80:25 15 54:6,13 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 70:24 71:21 40:24 constituents 25:8 70:24 71:21 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue					125:17
80:15 92:20 24 143:2 146:3 14:2 17:20 22:17 93:6,19 100:19 13:23 118:25 19:4 111:14 55:10 64:18 consent 61:16 144:8 119:7,12,14,24 complex 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 8:12 30:21,23 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 101:21 102:5 101:21 102:5,6, 17 130:3 100:3 118:14 150:18 comp 82:14,23 89:6 101:21 102:5,6, 101:21 102:5,6, 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 constituents 25:8 70:24 71:21 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue		_			contempt
93:6,19 100:19 completely 43:12,15 45:17 61:16 content 144:8 119:7,12,14,24 complex 83:23 86:9 95:6 8:12 30:21,23 9:17 12:19 42:5 120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 101:21 124:3 somp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 40:24 constituents 25:8 70:24 71:21 126:15 conclude 126:6 continue		1			22:17
113:23 118:25 119:7,12,14,24 120:6 128:18 commuted 80:25 15 54:6,13 55:10 64:18 109:22 126:22 15 54:6,13 82:14,23 89:6 101:21 102:5,6, 70:24 71:21 126:15 19:4 111:14 13:23 118:25 19:4 111:14 13:23 118:25 19:4 111:14 144:8 15:10 61:16 144:8 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 109:22 126:22 179:20 96:9 100:3 118:14 101:21 124:3 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18 150:18					content
119:7,12,14,24 complex 83:23 86:9 95:6 8:12 30:21,23 120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 80:25 15 54:6,13 127:3,8 128:6, 100:3 118:14 15:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, 17 130:3 consideration 70:24 71:21 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 constituents 72:1 126:15 conclude 126:6	1				
120:6 128:18 12:19,22,23 103:5 108:4,24 39:19 52:15 9:17 12:19 42:5 commuted 13:1,8,9 42:5,8, 109:22 126:22 79:20 96:9 101:21 102:1 102:5,6, 100:3 118:14 101:21 124:3 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 70:24 71:21 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 conclude constituents 25:8 72:1 126:15 conclude 126:6 127:3 8 128:6, 127					
commuted 12.19,22,23 109:22 126:22 39:19 52:15 54:7 82:14 80:25 15 54:6,13 127:3,8 128:6, 100:3 118:14 101:21 124:3 comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 consideration 76:6 140:15 contexts 70:24 71:21 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 constituents 25:8 72:1 126:15 conclude 126:6	1 ' ' '	_			
80:25			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
comp 82:14,23 89:6 17 130:3 100:3 118:14 56:14,16,17 101:21 102:5,6, concise 76:6 140:15 70:24 71:21 15 124:3,9,21 40:24 constituents 72:1 126:15 conclude 126:6		1 ' ' ' ' ' '			
56:14,16,17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
70:24 71:21		1			
72:1 124:3,7,21 constituents conclude continue	1 ' '	1			
126:6		1			
33:19 46:10	/ 4.1	120.13		126:6	
			33.17 48.10		12.7,5 33.3
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

40:10 80:4	count	14,23 83:9,11	139:14	98:23 106:14,18
82:25 91:12	46:11 47:13	84:1,16,18 85:9	cover	117:2 128:10,14
100:25 105:14	country	87:25 89:3,10,	114:12	140:23
continued	90:19	16,17,19 90:17	covered	critics
80:25 82:3	county	91:4,5,25 94:6,	135:10	88:2
88:10,25	27:17 30:7	13,15,22 95:21	COVID	cross
continuing	70:12,14 83:6	96:4,14,17,21	29:23 30:16	139:11
82:3,24 88:4	104:3 119:11	100:8,13,19,24	31:1,3 34:16	crossing
continuous	150:19	101:3,11,12,22,	coworkers	58:19
58:3	couple	24 103:20	141:11	crowd
convenience	9:12,17 11:25	104:5,15,20,25		54:24
54:14,16	20:5 32:10 50:9	106:5,25 108:16	Cowpens 39:14	
l '	60:5 69:14 70:8	111:9 112:2,7,9,		crux 74:10
copy 145:19	130:7	11,16,18 114:19	Crawford	
	courage	115:25 116:1,5,	39:9,10,11,16	Culbertson
Corbin 50.20.25.51.1.6	140:1	9 118:18,22	44:3 45:16	149:18
50:20,25 51:1,6,	court	119:21 120:7,9,	149:5,13 150:7,	current
13 65:4,7 75:24		18 122:2 124:4,	18 151:10,21	27:16
76:15,25	8:17 9:11,16,18, 23 10:22,25	6 125:24 129:4	152:16	custodian
Corbin's	· ·	132:25 134:1,2,	create	139:7
69:22 72:22	11:10 12:1,9,19,	3,5,7,10 135:2,	73:12 81:11	custody
Cordell	21 13:25 14:4,	23 136:3	creative	12:24 15:22
149:16	16 15:12,18,21	139:13,17,19,21	83:13	20:9,15,16 32:9
corporate	19:6,7 21:23	140:19 141:14,	creativity	42:16 54:12
125:1,2,4	22:6,11,22 23:8 24:19 27:22	17 142:9 147:9,	19:9	55:18 88:7
corporation		15 149:8,13	credentials	94:13 101:9
60:24 127:23	32:2 33:13	courteous	27:5,11 66:9	112:16 124:9
Corps	34:18 39:24 40:2,4 41:5,17	40:24 83:20	130:9	133:15,20,24,25
81:23	· · · · · ·	84:19	creditor	134:4 138:17
correct	42:6,7 43:8,9 44:15 46:18	courthouse	61:12	139:6,14,15
20:15 36:6 37:3		60:16 83:7	creditors	142:8
61:7,14 62:6,20,	50:23 52:19,24,	courtroom	61:24	cuts
25 70:21 76:10	25 53:2,3,10,15,	13:22 24:5	crime	126:16
91:22 92:3	17 54:1,7,10,11	40:18 83:18	62:11	cutting
97:11 113:17	55:12,15,17,22	87:15 91:7	criminal	28:17
136:3,16 140:10	56:4,8,21,23,24	101:7	90:8 122:13	
152:16	59:3 60:11	courtrooms	123:2	D
council	62:12,22 63:17	96:8	criteria	
44:1	66:6 70:10 71:3,			daddy
counsel	7,19,24 72:2,4,	courts	6:23 16:10,13	51:9
59:6 122:21	13 73:16,20	136:13	35:22 37:14	daily
127:3 138:24	74:16,24 75:15,	court's	44:5,8 48:12	69:14 81:1
139:1	16 79:25 80:3,	73:5 103:25	49:24 63:6,9	
137.1	20 81:16 82:4,		77:22 84:24	
	l	l	1	1

dapper	dealing	dedication	demand	dictate
50:9	9:25 15:21	80:16 81:6	92:19	142:25
dare	21:20 41:20	deed	demeanor	dictates
105:13	42:8 53:5,8 54:9	136:14	84:16,17 87:11	115:14
Data	69:18 70:23	deemed	demonstrated	didn't
7:5 37:22 50:12,	102:15 103:21,	36:1 48:16 97:7	136:20	11:14 25:16
15 78:5 98:15	23 121:16	deep	Department	51:8 62:2 66:4
99:10 117:15,18	122:6,11 124:24	10:10 83:5 94:5	41:20 80:23	67:19 88:25
date	125:5,8 126:11	110:20 147:1	81:2	90:15 96:18
17:7 45:3 64:4	127:9	defaulted	depending	130:13,24
85:21 107:16	dealings	61:24	33:23	141:14,17,23
129:16	34:13 58:9	defendant	depositions	142:21
daughter	deals	60:25 61:1,16	14:25	difference
75:18 136:21	12:24	defendants	depth	53:4,10 95:12,
143:24	dealt	40:12 62:1	34:22 42:4	14 124:25
daughter's	42:8,13,16	102:2	describe	differently
136:16	81:24 94:23	defended	12:18 42:4	67:9
day	122:22,25	55:20 101:11	82:13 101:20	difficult
10:6,25 11:6	124:7,11,15,17	112:5	124:2	71:4 88:14
15:20 22:22	125:10,23	defender	deserve	difficulty
23:4,8 69:17	127:10,11	114:8,19,22,25	126:17	103:6 105:5
72:13 88:8	death	defenders	deserving	126:21
100:15 104:21	61:8	41:25	48:16 76:6	dig
114:19 145:1	debt	defender's	desire	10:10
day-to-day	54:12	113:14	40:3 55:7 83:13	diligent
23:18	decide	defense	119:21,23 137:3	115:10
days	136:13	55:17 56:14	detail	direct
29:11 51:13	decided	70:24 102:25	103:9	94:18
56:9 114:10	25:12 60:19	104:16	determine	directly
de	114:7,16	degree	13:11 42:20	13:10 89:5
29:10	decision	24:10 122:13	124:23 125:12	disagree
deadlines	104:8,13 105:1	degrees	135:1	105:11 106:9
101:14	106:3 116:5	21:24	develop	126:12
deal	135:24,25 139:9	delay	123:21	disciplinary
16:2,6 19:9,10	decisions	49:3 51:22 98:4	developed	43:25 59:6
20:15 22:14	6:7 104:6,9,18	delayed	21:12 24:18	discretion
23:13 24:4	149:2 151:18	38:23	71:3	10:21 19:8
26:10,13 42:14	decisive	deliberation	devoted	discuss
89:6 90:17	40:24	48:17 147:23	81:24	12:20 54:8
112:10 122:18	dedicated	delighted	Diamaduros	82:15 101:22
124:21 125:19	80:11 114:22	143:16	48:3	111:21 124:4
			1	

discussed	14 127:5 128:2	92:1 93:2 94:4,	drug	easier
14:5 66:5	docket	18 95:2,23	9:9,11 103:12	104:10
disqualified	10:2,3 14:18,20,	97:13 101:15	122:12	easily
67:20	24 32:5,13,17	105:22 108:15	DSS	29:18
dissenting	87:25 89:15	110:8,10 114:20	9:14 10:3,6	easy
150:6	95:21 104:16,	115:12,14,18	11:17 12:23	55:1 109:13
distance-	18,21 134:8	123:12 131:7,	13:4,8 15:20	echo
123:21	dockets	12,21,22 136:19	23:14 30:7,21,	71:9 130:21
distinction	10:6 32:2	137:5 144:1	23 33:6 34:18	economic
92:9	documents	147:14	42:22 55:20	7:11 38:3 50:5
distract	37:6 49:17	doors	82:7 84:1,6	78:22 99:3
57:6	77:14 98:14	89:2 96:6,13	101:10,15	117:7 127:12,13
distribution	134:13 135:6,10	Dottie	112:16,20,22	education
11:14,21 13:12	137:25 140:14	130:10,23	122:7,20,21,22	40:15 42:13
20:9,18 21:22	146:1	double	123:2 127:4	82:4 88:4
22:25 40:19	doesn't	34:18	128:2	effect
94:14	32:4 126:11,18	downtown	Dukes	134:9 139:20
District	127:18,19	61:20	150:12	effective
119:11	148:12	dozen	duly	8:19 10:17,18
dive	dollar	46:2 47:16	6:14 36:25	40:1 52:21 80:2
37:12	127:23	dozens	49:15 77:12	100:10 102:13
diverse	dollars	11:17	98:12 116:17	118:20
103:2	54:22	dreadlocks	133:3	effectively
divide	domestic	143:24	duties	92:1 104:12
54:24 102:12	11:16,18 13:4,8	dreads	57:7 133:14	135:25
124:21 125:13	14:15 33:25	141:20,22		efficacy
divided	60:7 80:17 90:8	dream	E	10:23
124:17 125:3,12	92:2 120:14	80:24	earlier	efficient
division	127:17 131:3	dressed	18:11 32:21	28:15
23:1 33:2 54:12	don't	148:19	46:9 56:12	efficiently
74:12 102:4	7:18 15:3,12,16	drive	112:21,23 122:9	87:17 89:17
124:11 125:5	20:13 22:16	76:23 145:12	128:3 137:22	effort
divorce	23:10,12 24:20	148:13	147:2	123:16,18
11:15 32:9	26:11,16 27:17,	driver	early	efforts
54:12 73:8 88:6	20 30:16 31:2,	76:25 77:1	113:25 123:14	33:8,23
102:3,17 127:6	18,25 33:20 36:8 53:8 56:10	driver's	earn	egg
divorces	59:8,12 67:12	134:22	103:22	51:16
55:18 101:8	69:8,9,17 70:1,	driving	ears	egregious
112:16	23 71:19,23	145:4 148:12	115:25 116:6	22:19
DJJ	72:19 74:8,23,	drop	earth	elaborate
41:21,23 55:17	24 78:16 90:21	38:12 51:2	18:24	111:12
84:5 90:7 123:7,				
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

elect	8:23 35:6 91:7	16:13 44:9 58:8,	46:21 52:7	expand
149:21	100:23	19 63:9 84:25	65:11 70:4	12:17 87:4
elected	entails	106:14 128:14	79:11 86:17	expectations
32:24	58:16	ethics	91:19 99:20	34:1
election	entered	14:1 35:24	111:3 113:7	experience
38:5 50:7 99:5	146:1	37:17 50:3	118:5 133:8	8:18,25 9:6
117:9	entire	58:16 68:16	138:12	10:11 12:13,18,
em	143:3	76:4 77:25 97:5	excelled	20 13:24 14:4
52:5	entity	99:1 117:5	88:20	15:4 16:15 20:8
emails	125:4	135:9 140:2	excellence	21:7,13,15 24:3
15:8,19,20 16:4	environment's	141:3 147:20	88:21	26:21 27:14
embraced	116:4	evaluative	excellent	39:25 41:22
25:20	equal	6:23 16:10,13	13:18 18:17	42:5,6 44:10
emergencies	125:21	35:21 37:14	43:5,7,9 55:6,8	46:14,17 52:20
15:22	equitable	44:5,8 48:12	83:9,17	53:19 54:6,8
empathetic	11:13,20 13:12	49:24 63:6,9	excelling	55:12,21 63:11
23:11	20:8,18 21:22	77:22 84:24	88:21	80:1 82:13,15
empathy	22:24 33:2	98:22 106:14,17	exception	83:6,25 85:1
18:21 21:25	40:18 94:14	117:1 128:10,14	59:24	87:23 89:7,21,
22:9,13,14	equity	140:23	exchange	22 91:10 94:6,
employers	19:7 22:22	evaluators	47:18	16,18,19,25
25:22	145:1	42:11,12	excuse	95:24 100:9,15,
employment	Erika	evening	20:20 134:1	17 101:12,21,23
25:21	77:6,12 78:6,9	99:21	executive	102:24 106:16
	83:8,9 90:6	event	6:1,4,6 148:23,	118:19 120:11,
en 149:22	152:1,19,23	148:3	24 149:1	15,22 121:7,9,
	Erin	eventually	151:13,14,15,17	14,16 124:3,5
encourage 35:5	38:18 151:20	9:11 26:23	exemplary	125:21 126:11,
	escorted	evicting	13:19 19:19	18 127:1,4,6,8, 21 128:2,12,19,
encouraging	60:16	62:25	exercise	21 128.2,12,19,
34:19	establish	eviction	89:24	experienced
end 22:21 23:4	42:25 143:12	62:23	exhibit	10:20 32:7 95:8
73:17 104:25	establishing	evidence	7:4,7 37:21,24	experiences
110:20	83:10	37:8 41:1 106:1	50:11,14,17	40:14 41:6
ended	estate	140:3	78:4,7 99:9,12	
14:13 138:25	54:21 61:10	exam	117:14,17,20	expert 123:18
	Estin	12:5 20:25 21:8	137:23 145:24	
ends 114:16	143:15	58:14	146:2	expertise 88:11 89:1
	ethic	EXAMINATIO	exist	
enjoy	7:1 48:14	N	27:16	experts
53:2,11 71:7	ethical	8:2 18:1 27:2	exists	41:4 42:10,12,
enjoyed	euncal	31:14 39:11	28:3	14 124:14,15

	1		1	1
explain	facts	54:1,7,10,11	favoritism	file
32:21 67:7	22:23 23:5,6	55:12,15,16,22	115:11,16	139:10,11
express	105:20,21,22,	56:4,8,21,23,24	fear	filed
108:24 126:22	23,24 115:13,	66:6 70:10 71:3,	145:6	7:13 38:4 50:6
expressed	16,18,24	7,19,23 72:1,3,	fearing	60:7 62:14
14:2 43:12,13	136:13,23 143:4	4,13 73:5,16,20	64:24	78:23 117:8
55:9 83:23	145:11 146:20	74:16,24 75:15	federal	133:25 136:1,6
103:4 109:21	failed	79:25 80:3,5,12,	60:6	filing
extensive	133:13	16,20 81:8,11,	feel	134:12
47:22 102:24	fair	16,22 82:4,14,	8:17 12:14	filled
extent	10:1 18:16	18,23 83:9,25	22:17 26:5	69:4
33:18	22:23 23:1,5,8	84:16,17 87:25	39:24 43:10	final
extra	24:8 46:4,25	89:3 91:5,25	47:19 52:19	29:19 133:23
57:18 101:19	47:1,18 81:9	94:12,15 95:17,	53:3,8,13 57:1	140:19 146:4
121:11	84:18 93:1	21 96:4,14,17,	67:3 72:25	147:25
extramarital	104:22 145:2	21 97:23 100:7,	79:25 84:15	finally
43:21	fairly	12,19,23 101:3,	100:8 104:23	68:4 78:21
extreme	22:12	7,11,21,23	111:24 118:18	Finance
81:8 89:24	familiar	102:6 103:20,25	120:21 144:5	60:23
extremely	14:23 17:1	104:5,15,20,25	fees	financed
13:20 81:12	41:16 44:21	106:5 108:16	74:18,23,25	127:24
125:19	63:23 85:15	111:8 112:2,7,	75:1	financial
eye	88:12 107:9	11,15 114:17	fellow	12:21 13:11
25:23 35:18	129:10	118:18,22 119:21 120:7,9,	58:10 121:4,5,6	33:4 42:7 54:9
	familiarize	18 121:5,17	felt	82:16 88:7
eyes 115:25 116:6	12:5	121:3,17	16:7 47:23 53:6	95:17 101:23
113.23 110.0	families	125:5,7,23	57:19 59:17	102:4,6 124:5,
	73:3 80:10,14	125.5,7,25	Ferguson	10,14
F	81:11 82:1	14 135:2 139:17	132:1	financially
face	family	147:8	field	58:4
93:20 142:25	8:16 9:16,18	father	104:23	find
facilitate	10:22 11:10	75:25 80:5	Fifteen	22:23 23:4
74:7	12:1,19,21	96:17 134:6	55:4	83:13 123:13
facility	13:25 14:4 19:6	136:14 138:18	fifty	fine
57:20 80:8	21:23 22:6,10	141:13 142:6,16	71:15	28:10 56:25
	24:19 27:20	fault	fight	67:18 98:7
fact 13:5 30:10	36:10 39:23	38:24	73:12	finish
73:14 98:9	40:1,4 41:5,17	favor	fighting	20:1 76:19
115:14 141:12	42:5,7 43:8,9	142:11 149:10	57:3 127:22	fire
144:6	46:17 51:3,16	150:3,15 151:7		73:5,24
	52:18,24,25	150:3,15 151:7	figure	· ·
factor	53:2,9,15,17	134.10	124:20 127:23	firm 52.25.52.6
31:7				52:25 53:6
	1			

	<u> </u>			1
56:13 61:7	foot	140:3		gentleman
firms	100:21	frequently	G	54:14 56:18
126:10 127:18	forensic	70:10 109:10	• .	60:13 143:12
firm's	54:18	freshman	gain	gentlemen
108:18	formal	72:22	21:15 82:8	51:18
first-rate	36:3 40:15	Friday	88:10 89:1	George
103:3	48:18 76:8 97:9	34:20	123:9	92:23
fit	formation	friend	gained	Georgia
95:3	125:10	61:18,19 141:15	82:9	96:13
fitness	fortitude	friends	gaining	getter
16:13 30:15	140:1	51:16	82:9	132:5
44:9 57:7 63:10	fortunately	friendship	GAL	Gillespie
84:25 106:15	94:4	90:9	102:25	62:4
128:14	fortune	front	gap	Gilliam
fix	74:11	19:16 43:25	136:11	62:24
29:18 74:15	forward	83:12 120:13	garner	give
112:12	7:23 12:8 34:4	fuel	120:15	28:7,18,19
fixes	119:22 120:5	73:24	garnered	32:20 68:23
73:11	foster	full	119:14	87:4,18,21
floor	30:8 84:8 89:23	7:21 47:12	garnering	100:18 104:22
38:21 39:2 79:4	found	80:17 91:21	123:24	111:15 123:22
Florida	16:9,12,19 44:4,	92:12,13 114:5	gas	138:14 140:5
133:19	7,14 63:5,8,16	full-time	73:5,12	giving
focus	71:4 84:23 85:8	92:15 113:16	gather	139:5
20:10 35:5,22	90:14 106:13,24	fully	41:1	glad
49:25 56:5	128:9 129:2	20:3 23:21	gauge	65:10 78:18
77:22 117:1	151:3 152:7	50:24 67:4,5	68:10,11	132:9
focused	Fourteenth	84:3	gauntlet	glorified
131:16	133:12 139:24	fun	35:17	53:6
folks	fourth	57:24	gave	glowing
18:25 25:18	58:7	functions	15:11 59:1 67:6	113:12
32:22 47:8	fraction	72:17	89:2 119:7,22	go-
50:10 66:25	22:18	funding	132:1	132:4
68:11 74:11	Fraley	90:22	general	goal
113:10 151:17	8:22 18:13	funny	15:17 17:3,11	72:9 74:3
152:25	19:25 133:22	28:11	44:23 45:7	115:19,23
follow	frame	future	63:25 64:8	God
28:15 31:18	15:16 16:5	123:20 126:24	80:19 85:17,25	36:10 49:4
105:20,21,24	frankly		104:5 107:11,20	97:17,24 148:20
115:18,24	18:10		129:12,20	Godfather
fools	fraudulent		generally	36:22
130:24			70:12	

golf	grandmother	57:22		handsome
51:10 72:20	80:7	grown	H	38:7
76:19	grandparent	114:18		happen
golfer	42:17,25	growth	hadn't	72:10 93:16
51:8	grandparents	9:3	71:1 89:13	97:14 144:4
good	142:12	guardian	hair	happy
7:24 8:4 12:12,	grandson	40:25 41:23	131:12	35:7 97:19
15 18:3,4,8	133:15 134:16	45:25 46:11	half	118:2
24:17 25:1 29:2,	grant	60:12 101:7	57:10,15 80:21,	hard
20 32:16 34:7	28:2	102:16 105:7,11	22	19:25 55:6
38:13 43:13	granted	108:17 112:3	halls	88:22,23,24
45:23 51:3,10,	133:23 134:3	113:15 115:1,	75:15	89:15 91:12
11 55:8 66:23	139:15 142:8	17,22 133:14,21	hallway	93:10,15 95:11
69:6 70:5,6	granting	guardian's	28:24 107:8	103:10 104:9
71:15 73:15	28:1	29:11	hallways	105:10 109:6
75:10,11 76:12,	gray	guess	22:8 75:15	111:10 120:12
17 81:9 86:18,	131:12 150:2	18:12 19:3 20:4,	hand	121:24 131:15
24 89:19 91:13	great	22 21:5,19	6:13,20 36:24	harder
96:23 99:21	23:24 35:2 41:4	23:15,22 47:10	37:10 49:14,21	25:6
103:18 104:12	52:3 66:23	67:3 70:19 71:1,	77:11,18 98:9,	Harris
108:11,13	74:14 83:14	12 72:14 90:22	19 102:18	61:7
111:2,4 113:10	91:16 110:21	91:24 114:1	116:16,23 150:3	hate
114:2 118:6	111:10 126:24	guest	handful	145:5
143:20,21	130:9 131:7	143:11	45:25 151:6	haven't
gosh	greater	guidance	handle	11:19 23:23
13:2 30:2	24:10 125:22	123:19	10:4 11:11 13:6,	41:9 55:22 56:9
Gossett	greatest	guidelines	8 18:5 27:25	57:12 64:11
104:3	18:20	17:16 45:13	30:1 32:5 81:17	73:20 109:25
government	green	64:14 86:5	101:4 104:21	127:18,19
7:19	51:7,14	107:25 129:24	105:18 122:3	Haynsworth
Gowdy	Greenville	guy	126:19 130:12	52:25 53:6
9:8	70:13 122:25	57:17 59:7	handled	56:13,19
graders	greeted	68:21 110:17	9:15 13:5,10	health
91:2	48:25	131:15	53:17 54:13,17	16:11 44:6 63:7
grades		guys	handling	85:4 106:19
66:11	grew 90:8	71:5 77:2	12:19 14:16	128:11
grand		gym	18:16 42:5 54:6	healthy
142:5	Grigg 62:17	57:11,21,25	55:17 82:14	65:18 68:9
grandfather		58:2,6	101:21 124:3	hear
133:19 134:4	group 84:9		hands	28:16 58:12
136:15 138:20			151:7	71:13 87:18
	grow			88:6 90:16
	I	T .	I	I

	<u> </u>	I	1	1
96:10 106:4	hey	homeless	housekeeping	impartial
117:10 132:20	8:3 20:6 25:13	83:11 90:16,18	6:11 16:16	116:7,8
135:20,21 137:9	29:15 72:10	honest	63:13 85:5	impasse
145:10	111:5	55:7	106:20 128:25	138:25
heard	he'll	honesty	huge	impeccable
20:5 23:7 43:11	76:18	13:25 140:1	75:4	91:10
104:24 116:2	he's	honor	human	imply
126:9 145:7	51:8,14,15 59:9	79:7	115:7 136:11,20	23:10
149:11 150:4,16	60:14 107:6	Honorable	137:6	importance
151:8	110:17 143:16	77:12 78:6,8	humanity	9:23,24 10:13
hearing	148:12	149:8,16,17,18,	23:12	81:8
28:5 29:9,14	high	19 150:8,9,10,	hundred	important
104:22 112:6	10:1 18:9 72:23	11,12,20,22	9:17	10:4 11:4 28:6
134:24 143:1	92:19 110:22	152:1,19,23	hundreds	32:11 40:19
hearings	121:14,16	honored	55:20 104:7	54:23 81:12
9:17 11:16 15:1	123:14	121:3	105:13	94:15 103:20
23:3 24:25	high-end	Hood	husband	105:3 112:7,11,
27:18 28:1,2	127:6,9	149:19	38:9,14 49:2	19
29:24 30:17,18,	higher-end	hope	81:1 84:8	impressed
19,25 32:18	127:17	11:2,5 23:8	114:16	131:23 132:14
33:12 123:8	highest	26:15 34:6 60:8	husbands	136:10
heart	118:25 119:20	70:7 75:9 90:2	102:2	impression
58:13	120:10	92:17 111:2	hybrid	15:12
heartened	highly	143:25 144:13	13:4 30:25	impressive
70:1	131:6	148:12 150:25		91:20 131:25
heat	hit	hopes	I	impropriety
29:13	34:16 76:20	53:25		36:1 48:15 76:5
heavily	hold	horrible	ideas	97:6 147:21
36:1	18:9 22:16	59:13 90:13	29:21	improve
heavy	110:22	Horry	identification	83:14
48:16 87:24	holding	96:15	7:4,7 37:21,24	inability
95:23 147:23	19:19	Hot	50:11,14,17	91:24
held	Holland	82:19	78:4,7 99:9,12	inappropriate
18:12 124:19	56:18	hour	117:14,17,20	148:14
125:2	Holman	32:15 79:2	137:23	incentive
helped	104:3	hours	identify	73:23
41:6	home	114:24	22:24	include
helping	15:15 51:10	house	III	54:5 121:2
53:9 73:2 75:18	84:9 114:5,25	6:12 57:12	150:13	146:3
here's	116:3 134:22	Household	immersed	included
109:22	145:13	60:23	9:18 22:6	8:11 39:18
		00.23		61:25 65:20
				01.23 03.20
	1	I	1	1

79:19 100:2	141:5	22:18	introduced	issue
118:13 141:4	initial	intentions	37:8	19:20 59:2
includes	29:11	35:11	introducing	67:17 69:1,2
37:13 73:2	initially	interacted	143:13	75:18 95:11
including	11:18 28:22	123:4	invested	112:12,17
17:1 44:22	92:14	interaction	61:20	123:18 124:9
63:23 85:15	initiative	46:22 65:12	investigated	125:23
87:8 107:10	96:11	66:18 91:8	77:20	issued
129:10 134:14,	injury	interactions	investigating	139:2
15	56:6,11,25	22:6 24:18	6:22	issues
incompetent	71:20 72:5	40:22,23 69:20	investigation	16:16 20:9
115:22	Inman	interest	17:20 37:12	21:23 23:14
incorporated	99:23	7:12 38:4 39:4	45:17 49:23	24:6 26:13 33:4
17:21 45:18	inspection	50:6 57:16	64:18 65:22	42:15 58:22
64:19 86:11	15:24	78:22 99:4	86:10 98:21	74:20 80:11
108:5 130:4	instance	117:8 120:8	105:7 108:4	81:21,25 82:7
146:2	55:6	interested	115:17 116:25	84:11 87:22
incorporation	instilled	80:10	130:3	88:7 89:7,24
125:9	118:23 119:2	interesting	investigative	102:5,6,15,18
increase	institute	42:19,23 60:10	65:19	103:15 105:17
10:22	90:25 91:1	90:15	invoke	124:22,24
increasing	insurance	interests	48:13	126:17 128:3,25
11:4 90:19	53:7	57:5 110:1	invoking	items
incredible	integrity	115:19 123:5	94:2	137:21
83:10	9:25 10:23 14:1	interject	involved	iterations
incredibly	139:25	25:24	11:20 43:18,21	94:14
136:10,18	intellectual	international	46:1,3 54:14	it's
indictments	103:3	121:4,17	84:5 88:20	9:1 12:11 15:19
104:6		interrupt	124:13,18	19:7 20:18
individual	intellectually 27:9	130:16	133:20	22:18,22 24:1
28:5 43:25		intertwined	involvement	25:9,10 26:5,18
60:14 144:2	intelligent	84:6	42:23 88:19	28:6,11,15,18
individually	10:19 55:7	intervene	92:21 94:21	30:6,16 34:7,15,
151:25	intended	17:13 64:10	involves	22,24 36:21
individuals	114:4	86:2 107:22	22:2	54:21 57:24
47:5,21 144:7	Intent	interveners	involving	59:12,13 62:13
information	16:22 17:5	40:13	55:18 133:15	66:11 67:13
43:17 80:13	44:17 45:1	interview	iron	68:16,24 71:4,8 73:22 74:5 79:6
81:20 105:21	63:19 64:2	66:21,25	76:21	81:12,13 87:11,
108:22 111:15	85:11,20 107:2, 14 129:6,14	·	isn't	12,16,17 91:16
123:15,25	<u>'</u>	intricately 84:5	74:2 136:21	93:11 103:10,19
134:22 137:15	intentionally	04.3	, 150.21	105:10 109:5
				100.10 107.5
I	•	•	•	•

114:18 115:24	18 10:3,5,7,25	JAG	Jr	23 135:2 139:3,
120:24 121:7,21	11:5,6,15,22	81:23 94:7	133:18,24	4,5,10
122:14,17	12:1,2,6,7 13:1,	James	138:18,22	judged
127:21 136:24	2,3 14:24,25	150:11	139:6,7,11,12,	142:1
143:20,21	15:1 20:5 21:4,	Jennifer	15,18 140:5	judges
147:13 148:14	19 22:5 24:16,	149:17	146:16 149:16	12:6,10 18:13,
I'd	18 25:8 26:11,	Jim	Jr.'s	17 23:3 31:5
51:24 52:2 73:1,	20 27:4 30:3,9,	53:21,23 54:2	146:24	33:11 34:3
15 80:3 117:24	10,11 33:9,16,	131:1	judge	71:24 91:5
127:21	17,18 35:2	JMSC	8:17,19,22	105:14 109:1
	40:14 41:21	67:10 76:2	10:18,22 11:10	111:22 113:23
11:3 38:24	42:13,16,18	147:18	13:25 18:14	judging
59:24,25 95:13	46:3 51:9 52:22	job	19:6,17,24,25	143:1
99:16 112:25	53:1,17,20	10:20 27:10,18,	21:25 22:11	judgment
118:2 130:8	55:14,24 57:15,	24 32:16 43:6	27:23 28:12,24	43:13
136:9 149:5,14	16,21 58:21,22	66:23 80:16,24	29:2,6 32:6,25	judicial
151:21,24 152:3	59:13,14 60:8,	81:4 89:14	34:2 39:24 40:2,	8:7 10:24 16:15,
I'm	19 63:13 68:19	104:12 109:4	4,9 41:17 43:7,	17,18 34:19
7:18 13:13	69:14 71:4	110:18 113:16	9,19,22 52:19,	37:20 39:15
14:23 15:11,20,	72:15,25 74:14	115:21,23,25	21 53:15 59:3	44:11,12,13
21 18:7 19:21	81:19 82:9,20	131:9 145:9	60:11 72:13,23	55:8 57:6 63:11,
20:2,4,7,11,24	87:15,16 88:9,	jobs	73:18 74:15	14,15 79:15
21:13 23:22	10 89:6,25	25:6	77:7,9,17 78:10,	84:14 85:2,6,7
24:20 27:11	90:12 94:25	Joe	13,17,20 79:5,8,	91:14 99:24
30:13,15 46:1	96:6 100:21,23	61:15	11,12,20,24,25	106:16,22,23
53:3 54:1,17	101:6,11	John	80:2,3 81:14,17,	118:10 126:24
56:8 64:11	102:10,17	59:5,6,9 110:12	22 83:1,7,15	128:16 129:1,2
71:17,23 80:12	105:14 109:17		84:16,17,18,21,	judiciary
81:19 82:10,23	110:13 112:1	joined 88:14	23 86:10,17,18	13:19 25:24
84:7,8 88:12,23	113:8 116:1,2,3		89:3 90:3 91:3,	83:22
89:17 92:8	120:11,13	joint	7,18,19,20,22	judiciary's
93:25 95:4,11	122:11 124:12	133:23 139:6	92:5 94:4 95:9	109:3
105:15 110:2	126:14 127:10,	Jolley	96:5,13,14,17,	July
112:19 114:23	11 128:2 131:23	61:15 62:4	21 97:1,12,16,	134:2
115:22 116:4,11	137:2 144:21,22	Jonathan	18,21,24 100:8,	jump
120:2 123:17	148:19	116:17 117:16,	10,13,19,24	32:25 88:1
130:16,19,22		19,21 125:24	101:4 103:3,20	
132:25 136:23,	J	152:2,18,23	104:15,20,25	junior 72:23
25 141:20 142:1	jacket	Jones	111:23 112:7	
144:18 145:3	51:8,14	130:10,23	114:9,14	jurisdiction
147:12 150:24	, ,	Joseph	118:18,20,22	121:15
I've	Jackson 150.11	150:11	119:21 122:2	jury
7:19,20 9:14,16,	150:11		130:10 133:22,	89:12,13
L				

justice	knowing	98:10	121:5,11 123:3	129:15
41:21 80:23	32:24 105:9	Laura	131:4	legislature
81:3 91:1,3 95:1	knowledge	7:21	layer	114:11
justice's	16:3 83:17	law	121:11	legitimate
30:18	88:11,18 94:5	11:8,23 21:11	lead	67:15
juvenile	121:8,9,14,16	22:2,24 23:5	105:22 115:20,	Leighton
9:12,13 10:2,5	122:19 123:7,	27:20 41:15,19	24 126:4 127:2	38:10,11,15
41:20 80:6,20,	10,25	42:20,21 48:4	leadership	Lenski
23 81:3 94:22	knowledgeable	52:22 53:2,13,	83:10	149:8
95:1 102:25	13:20 125:19	22 55:15,22	leading	Leonard
104:16 112:9,18	kudos	61:7 67:18 68:2	140:4	61:4
114:22 122:7,15	137:6	80:17 81:15	league	letter
juveniles		82:18 83:17	87:2	16:22 17:5
55:17 101:10,11	L	96:18 99:1	learn	35:23 44:17
112:5,6,13		100:11 101:2	27:18 34:23	45:1 48:4,13
120:18 122:8,11	label	102:12 111:21	88:25 89:8	63:19 64:2 76:3
	136:15	120:4 121:8,9,	90:25 91:2,12,	85:11,19 92:22
K	lack	15,25 124:1	13 124:25	97:4 107:2,14
	14:4 43:13	126:10,16,20	learned	129:6,14 130:25
keeping	83:24 87:22	127:6 128:22	9:22 10:3 40:14,	131:25 132:2
10:14	127:4 128:1	149:7	16,21 89:6	140:18 146:4
KEITH	lacking	lawnmower	116:3	147:19
133:3	20:7	127:24	learning	letters
kid	lady	laws	23:24 88:12	27:5 48:1
72:2	77:19 92:18	7:1 35:24 37:17	123:22 126:21	110:12,23 113:9
kids	98:20	48:14 50:3 76:4	leave	130:9,22
7:22 81:1	laid	77:25 97:5	30:12 32:4	letting
125:25	109:19 137:12	101:17 117:5	33:13 114:7	29:14 144:6
kind	land	121:17 147:20	left	let's
12:16 14:5,12	61:23	lawsuit	8:23 56:14 81:4	21:20 29:10
18:14 19:22	landowners	60:7	141:9	74:5 135:20
20:6,7,11,23,24	62:23	lawsuits	legacy	level
22:1 24:12	large	59:19,22,23	80:4,9	23:12 104:23
25:18 27:12	124:16	lawyer	legal	lewd
35:3 56:14 66:4	largest	21:10 29:1	8:17 39:25	122:14
68:16 71:9,16,	54:16	56:16,21 75:4	52:19 80:1	liability
17 102:10	lascivious	82:22 96:19	81:21,24 82:3	124:19
109:19 110:5	122:14	110:13 131:7	93:8 100:8	license
knew	Lastly	lawyers	118:19	59:10,11 134:22
21:9 90:10	140:6	21:6 24:4 28:9	legislator	life
141:25	late	58:10 73:3,23	17:6 45:2 64:3	40:17 69:16
	51:21 79:3	103:23 120:14	85:21 107:15	73:7,8 100:17

		1		1
127:11,20	litigators	11:16 15:12,18	love	main
lifelong	18:22	18:8 19:3,8	93:4,17 119:4	11:12
81:13	live	22:17 23:17	147:3	maintained
lightly	8:7 30:18,25	25:10 29:12	loved	72:21
120:24	80:25 99:23	30:17,20,22	81:3	major
likewise	118:9	34:12 35:11	low	9:22
68:3 75:24	lives	46:15 53:7,19	123:14	majority
123:6 136:6	53:4 83:14	55:24 56:13,20	low-level	83:23 119:10
limitations	133:19	62:2 67:1 71:14,	126:12	124:7
17:2 44:22	living	25 73:3 74:15	lower	make
63:24 85:16	24:22 103:22	87:8 88:15 93:2	139:14	7:15 13:24
107:10 115:6	load	99:16 104:15	Lowe's	19:22 20:25
129:11	9:12	108:22 110:23	127:25	22:19 23:5
limited	loan	130:24 131:2,4	loyalty	24:22 25:1,6
124:18	61:24	132:3	140:1	31:1 38:17,22
lines	loaned	loud	luck	43:6,8 51:17,20
20:22 112:22,24	61:22	147:14	51:10	69:8 73:13,14
list	local	Louns	Lucy	79:1 87:20
47:22 59:22	109:3 124:14	143:8	150:2	89:16 90:10
92:1	locks	Lounsberry	130.2	91:9 93:12,19
listed	144:17	116:14,15,17,		95:12,13 97:14
59:19 60:24	Logan	22,24 117:16,	M	99:7 101:17
62:21 134:8	152:14	19,22,23 118:5,	Madam	104:6,8,13,18
listen	long	6,14,17 121:25	34:6	105:1,23 106:3,
22:11,23	25:9 30:3 34:16	125:14 126:23	Maddox	4 108:20 114:16
listens	70:7 76:23 80:9	127:2,7 128:9,	149:16	119:17 120:5
43:9 83:18	81:6 148:19	13,19,24 130:4	made	123:4 126:23
litem	long-term	131:18,21	6:7 12:3 20:23	145:1
40:25 41:23	72:9	132:7,10,12,13	26:9 31:22	maker
101:8 102:16	longer	136:6 138:16,	52:14 65:9 66:8	116:5,6
105:7,12 112:4	148:13	20,25 139:12,	69:6 87:10	makes
133:14,21	looked	23,25 140:4,17,	95:13,14 96:21	10:17 21:6
literally	31:20 49:24	19 141:9 142:21 144:22 145:16,	104:11 134:20	29:22 67:22
53:21	60:13 78:21	18,19 146:4,7,	137:18 140:20	83:14
litigant	123:14 141:19	18,19 146:4,7,	146:16 149:2,25	making
125:6 147:8		148:7,8 152:3,	150:25 151:5,18	53:3,10 67:25
	looped 11:17 13:4	18,23	magic	69:10
litigants 18:21 40:8,17	11:17 13:4	Lounsberry's	67:8	male
83:19 103:23		118:13 127:4	magistrate's	144:17
127:11	loss 73:8	128:21 138:11,	62:21	man
litigation		14 141:2 146:5	Magnolia	38:7 55:8
42:8	lot	17 171.2 140.3	31:17	
42.0				
	l	1	1	1

		120.22 120.1		122:9 127:16
manage 32:13 103:19	match 72:20	129:22 130:1 135:12 138:7	medical 42:11 106:1	137:21 147:2
	materials			
managed 30:11	6:25 37:16 50:1	Mccoy 149:17	meet 114:16 131:22	mentioning 112:23
	77:24 98:25			
management	117:3	Mcintyre	meeting	mentorship
103:6,17	maternal	62:17	67:3	90:9
managing	133:19 134:4	Mciver	meets	merit
32:17	138:20 142:11	26:25 27:1,2	8:13 39:20	26:6
mandate		150:1,2	52:15 79:21	Merry
93:12	maternity	Mcjimpsey	100:4 118:14	36:10,12 49:8,
manner	30:12 114:7	77:6,7,9,12,17,	member	10 77:2 97:22
15:9 58:20	Matrimonial	19 78:6,9,10,13,	135:14	148:20
68:20	121:11	17,20,25 79:5,	members	met
March	matter	11,12,21,24	6:12 16:23 17:2,	35:2 75:14
15:16 139:2	12:19 19:21	81:14 83:1,8,16	11 33:24 38:21	123:5,6 130:21
marital	41:21 61:13	84:21,23 86:10,	44:18,22 45:7,	144:22
22:25 54:21	62:8,13 74:5	17 90:3 91:3,18,	22 51:6 58:17	meter
mark	111:20 144:6	19 97:1,12,16,	63:20,24 64:8	74:22
96:23	147:22	18,21,24 152:2,	65:2 85:12,16,	Methodist
marked	matters	19,24	25 86:15,24	76:15,16
7:4,7 37:21,24	14:5 38:25	Mcjimpsey's	95:7 107:3,10,	mic
50:11,14,17	41:20 42:6 54:7,	91:7	20 108:10	50:23
78:4,7 99:9,12	10 82:15 84:1,6	Mclaren	117:25 121:18,	Michael
117:14,17,20	101:22 122:7	131:1	19,20 128:18	38:9,11
137:23 146:2	124:4 133:6	meager	129:7,11,20 132:16 144:4	mid
married	144:20	74:13		15:15
7:20	Maura	meaning	memorize	middle
Martin	99:18 132:20	71:14	113:1	106:8
150:9	135:13	means	memorized	miliary
Marvin	maxims	109:8,10 110:23	101:16	89:21
150:12	119:7,22	127:12,13 132:5	men	military
mask	Mazur	media	50:10 51:22	81:22 88:15,19
38:12 133:1	61:5	134:15	mental	89:6,9 94:20
masks	ma'am	mediation	16:11 30:14	million
51:2	16:21,25 17:4,9,	95:16 102:9,10	44:7 63:7 85:4	54:22,23,25
Master-in-	14,18 31:11	115:1 138:24	106:19 128:12	millions
equity	34:9 41:11	mediations	mention	124:12
150:19	44:16,20,25	14:25 115:3	59:24 90:16	mind
Masters-in-	45:5,8,11 55:14	mediator	mentioned	32:5 100:14
equity	57:10 60:22	40:20 46:2,12	21:21 34:21	143:13
150:7	62:6,9 63:3,18,	102:13	56:12 67:12	minds
	22 64:1,6,16		68:14 87:7	IIIIIUS

15.14	41			
15:14	months 54:3 60:5 62:16	motion 6:2 148:21	names 48:5 50:23	night 62:11 82:5
mine	139:2	149:9,20,25	134:21 151:21,	
61:18,19 108:18		150:14 151:2,5,	24 152:3	nine-day
126:14	moral	12 152:13		133:22
minor	43:14		national	Nineteen
134:16,21	morning	Mottel	124:13 131:5	65:6
138:17 139:8	72:19 134:8	79:8,11,17 84:22 86:8	nature	Ninth
minority	Moss		55:19	133:12 139:23
113:11	36:16,18,21,25	MOTTLE	necessarily	nominated
minute	37:3,4,9,23	79:10	23:21	149:23,24
27:19	38:1,2,9,17	move	needed	152:17,22
minutes	39:4,11,12,19,	88:1 104:8,14,	19:21 27:22,23,	nomination
27:22,24 28:8,	23 41:8 43:1	19 149:21 152:6	24 38:25 57:19	149:10
10,16 32:15	44:2,4,12 45:24	moved	90:24 128:20	non-step-parent
98:9	46:5,19,21,22	30:22 149:21	negative	53:18,19
missed	48:9,23 49:1,6,	151:4	69:21	Nonetheless
29:17	10 98:2,3,6,11,	moves	neglect	142:15
missing	12,18,20 99:11,	34:4	12:24 22:15	normal
103:24	14,15,20,21	moving	55:21 82:6	30:24
mission	100:3,7 101:1,	10:13,14	84:11 94:22	note
145:3	20 102:20,24	multi-day	neighbor	8:9 16:8 17:19
mix	103:1 105:4	13:2,3 42:9	147:3	38:6 39:16 44:3
92:1	106:11,13,20	multi-million	neighborhood	45:16 50:9
Mobley	108:11,13	127:22	62:8,10	52:11 63:4
130:10	110:5,16,18,20, 25 111:3,4	multiple	neighbors	64:17 79:17
model	113:7,8 116:11	105:12	118:25 119:4,	83:16 84:22
136:12	132:18 133:11,	multitude	18,24	86:8 92:25
models	20 135:1,8,21	34:15	nephew	99:25 106:12
18:13 19:16	136:2 137:9,16	municipal	144:16	108:3 118:11
mom	138:1,6,8	89:10	neutral	120:25 128:8
84:8 115:7	144:21 147:11	Murphy	41:2	130:2
moment	148:5,6 152:1,2,	6:3 151:4,6	newspaper	noted
47:14 99:7	20,24	mushrooms	7:1 37:17 50:3	126:23 127:3
Monday	mother	74:9	78:1 99:1 117:5	128:20 143:10
149:6	89:22 136:22	,,	nice	notes
	mother-in-		31:15 51:17	12:3,4
money 52.5 9 57.2	62:25	N	52:4 57:19	noteworthy
53:5,8 57:3 61:22 72:5		named	68:24 86:20	92:21
73:13,14	mothers 80:9	11:18 14:15	108:11 130:11	noticed
<u>'</u>		36:21 59:19	Nichols	14:12 81:20
month	mother's	61:15	59:5	notion
53:25	119:8 120:2			75:3
	1	1	1	T. Control of the Con

4.	T	1	1	
notions	occur	opportunities	organization	pandemic 15:15 33:14
144:1	23:18 148:4	93:15	121:2,7,13	
novo	occurred	opportunity	original	panoramic
29:10	140:25	7:15 9:2 24:23	134:9 139:19	10:12
nudged	offense	25:17 35:12	outcome	paper
25:18	26:16	38:17 40:12	17:7 45:3 64:4	125:6
number	offer	41:3 43:11	85:22 107:17	par
7:4,7 30:8 31:4	57:24 84:2	51:20,23,25	129:16 134:10	111:16
37:21,24 41:4	103:7 105:8	60:9 67:4,6 79:6	139:20	paradigms
48:5 50:11,14,	offering	81:2,5 87:4 89:3	outlier	42:24
17 78:4,7 99:9,	31:10 110:3	96:3 99:7	71:14	parent
12 110:2	office	109:24 117:11	outlined	89:23 115:13
117:14,17,20	9:21 13:6 30:5	opportunity's	12:2	parental
137:23	34:12 96:9	72:11	outlines	13:1 42:12,16,
numbers	104:3 111:7	opposed	12:4	24 133:13
90:18	113:14 114:12,	61:1 62:19	outstanding	parents
numerous	24	71:15	13:25 26:9 55:6	22:15 110:2
40:5 42:9 82:20	officer	opposing	overlays	118:23 119:7,22
83:16 87:15	80:6 94:7	108:16	122:24	147:2
108:18	oftentimes	opposite	overwhelmingly	park
	74:12 84:3	13:22 31:23	69:23	74:6
О	88:13 89:4	opposition	owned	part
	one's	7:13 38:5 50:7	54:14	8:21 9:10 11:16
oath	76:14 118:24	78:23 99:4	owner	13:2,9 15:2
58:15,16 140:9	121:7,8	117:9 150:17	54:16	52:14 62:12
145:17	ongoing	151:9		70:19 73:9,23
objection	135:5	order	P	89:19 92:9,11
137:22 146:9	open	11:9 21:15	P	93:5,11,17
observe	26:6 47:18	30:19,21 51:2	p.m.	108:22 114:8
40:21 42:1	96:13 130:8	53:14 74:12	153:3	122:22 134:20
122:16 123:8	opened	81:16 101:3	packets	140:20 145:2
observed	57:11 96:6	109:21 122:2	28:6,7,20	part-
127:1	opening	133:23 134:9	pages	92:14
obtained	7:16 38:17	135:23 139:2,5,	7:5,8 37:22,25	part-time
100:17	51:20 79:1 99:8,	20 140:19	50:12,15,18	113:14 114:23
occasion	17 117:11	141:24 146:5	78:5,8 99:10,13	parte
120:23	opinion	149:5,15	117:15,21	15:23
occasions	12:2 56:19,20	ordered	137:24 146:3	participate
60:17 78:11	115:14 116:8	137:20	paid	68:5 78:16
83:16	126:9	orders	73:25 141:9	participated
occupation	opinions	30:23 134:20	Palmetto	69:3
119:8	11:25		61:3	07.5
	11.23		01.5	
	I	I	I	I

	noth	22.10.26.10	50.12 15 56.5	40.12 102.2
participating 143:6	path 25:12 93:14	22:10 26:10 28:17 29:15	50:12,15 56:5, 11,25 61:6	40:12 102:2
particulars	109:20	33:19,22 43:10	71:20 72:5 78:5	plan 100:13
105:9	paths	53:9 54:19,23	98:15 99:10	
	21:14	56:16,20 57:2	117:15,18 126:3	play 72.20.106.2
parties 17:10 29:9 45:6		58:4 69:10,18	personality	72:20 106:2
46:10 62:18	patience 89:25	72:3 73:16 81:9	26:2 35:9	played
64:7 83:21		83:12 84:3	personally	86:25
85:24 101:9	patient 35:3	88:15 93:6,12,	60:4 69:18	playing
105:6 107:19		19 103:21,22,24	82:17,22 84:7,	31:7 104:23
125:3 129:19	pattern	109:19,23	11 87:13 89:18	pleasant
133:25 137:2	115:14	110:22 111:24	90:5	125:18
139:9	Paula	112:2 113:14		pleased
	52:5 64:22	127:12,13	perspective 32:17	18:15
partner 62:11 143:21	pay	131:10 143:22,		pleasure
148:12	62:2 74:22 75:6	25 144:24 145:2	Pete 6:2 48:2	109:13
	141:23,24	147:4		pledge
partnership 125:7,11	payback	people's	phases	17:6 45:2 64:3
,	68:23	16:5 40:21 53:4	65:13	85:20 107:15
partnerships 125:5	paying	percent	Phil	129:15
	74:11	54:25 55:16	149:8	pledging
parts	PDQ	72:3,4 94:23	Phillip	17:16 45:13
112:11	6:16 8:11 37:6	percentage	149:8	64:15 86:5
party	39:18 41:9	92:2	Phillips	107:25 129:24
11:18 14:15	52:13 58:24	percentages	150:21	plural
59:20 60:25	59:18,22 70:9	124:16	phone	36:18
62:19 75:4	73:4 77:15	perform	109:9	point
105:25	79:19 92:8	105:6 133:14	photographs	25:12 30:8 41:2
pass	100:2 116:20	period	134:15	66:7 67:14
58:14 96:19	118:13 121:1	15:13 21:11	physical	73:19 75:7
passed	peers	87:1	16:11 44:6 63:7	100:16 109:17
21:6	13:21 56:5		85:3 106:19	111:17 114:3,9
passing	121:8	permanent 95:19	128:11	116:11 132:17
21:8	pending		Piccirilli	135:22 137:7
passion	17:7 45:3 59:23	person	60:21,22	146:16
93:3	60:3 64:4 85:22	10:19,21 18:8	pick	pointed
passions	89:12 107:16	23:11 47:11,12	8:24 15:5 33:8	60:6 113:9
81:13	123:1,2 129:16	66:20,22 67:17	place	points
past	134:8,10 136:2	74:22 94:11	139:1	88:20
19:12 39:24	139:18,20	137:1 142:23,24	plaintiff	polite
73:21 96:12	people	personal	61:25 62:22	84:19
122:8 144:12	9:25 11:3 14:8	7:5 9:3 21:7	plaintiffs	political
	18:8 19:21	26:12,22 37:22	I	26:4

politics	pours	prejudge	previously	procedurally
144:16	73:12	144:7	49:18 78:2	111:19
	PR	prejudice	146:2	procedure
poor 84:14	61:10	29:10	Price	83:18
			62:24	
popular 26:3	practical 23:17	preliminary 133:6		proceed 6:7 138:10
			primarily 52:23 53:1	149:3 151:19
portion	practice	premiere		152:15
35:20 48:10	7:19 8:15 20:10	56:21	primary	
75:25 97:2	21:9,10 22:2	preparation	133:24 134:4	proceeding
147:16	27:20 28:15	11:9,11 53:14,	139:7,14,15	153:2
position	29:20 32:12	16 81:16,18	prior	process
8:14 32:24	39:22 40:8	101:3,5 122:1,4,	17:7 41:11,13	6:22 17:13
37:20 39:21	52:17 54:11	6	42:1 45:3 56:18	25:25 26:5,7
46:18 52:16	56:5,25 71:3	prepare	64:4 78:10	31:21 35:9,20
59:13,14 78:3	72:21 79:23	11:21 131:8	85:21 88:18,19	38:20 46:23,25
79:22 89:12	81:19 87:23	prepared	89:7,21 107:16	47:2,6,18 48:11,
91:14 100:5	92:1,3 100:6	9:1,6,20,23 37:6	129:16 140:9	12 52:3 64:10
110:4 114:23,25	102:1,9,11	49:18 55:7	private	65:13,19 67:10
115:17 118:15	103:22 111:14	98:15 120:12,21	14:4,9,19,21,24	76:1 86:2 90:24
120:23 121:23	114:9 118:16	121:22,24	22:7 23:20	97:2 107:22
126:5	120:4,16 127:10	preparedness	25:14 32:9	108:22 143:6
positive	practiced	120:18	33:10 46:10	processes
13:17 43:5	53:2 120:17	presence	72:21 73:1	147:17
46:15 55:5	practices	39:1	81:19 87:23	product
69:24 83:4	29:8	present	88:6 102:1	96:15
102:23 125:18	practicing	25:15 38:6	103:22 105:16	professional
possess	32:8 52:22	126:25 144:8	111:14 114:9	8:18 16:14
119:25 120:3,4	55:15 96:22	presentation	120:16 122:23,	31:25 39:25
possesses	100:11 128:22	25:2 131:24	24	44:9 52:20
120:1	practitioner	presently	privilege	63:10 66:15
possession	73:1	92:3,4 113:19	79:7 146:19	80:1 84:25
122:12	practitioners	presiding	proactive	100:9 106:15
possibly	12:7 33:11,25	12:10	82:10	118:19 126:2,15
73:9	120:25 121:12		probation	128:15
post	praises	pressure 10:5 29:13	80:6	professionalism
42:22	48:2	30:15	problem	58:16
postponed	precious		73:11 142:20	professionally
53:25	119:16	pretty	problems	84:7,12 87:17
posts	preconceived	12:15 18:24	19:9 112:14	90:6
134:15	144:1	65:1 87:24	procedural	professionals
	prefer	113:2 141:25	135:17	42:11
pour 73:4	47:11	previous	100.17	
/ 5:4	7/.11	50:4 99:2 117:6		

professor	19,22,25 119:9	37:13 40:11	124:10 135:17	133:16 140:7
7:21	published	44:6 46:8 47:25	137:12	radar
proficient	11:25 12:1	48:19 63:7 66:3	questioned	100:12
21:1		68:12 76:9	55:10 57:5	
	purchase 57:25	77:21 85:3		raise
program 91:5		97:10 98:21	questioning 17:22 45:19	6:13 36:23 49:14 77:11
	purpose	106:18 111:13	64:20 86:11	98:8 116:15
progressed	134:23 140:21	116:25 128:11	108:6 130:5	150:3
9:10	purposes	132:15 134:25		
promise	7:5,8 37:22,25	140:22 148:1	Questionnaire	raised
24:1	50:12,15,18	qualified	7:5 37:22 50:12,	15:7 17:20
proof	78:5,8 99:10,13	16:9,12 43:6	16 78:5 98:16	45:17 58:8
43:22	117:15,18,21	44:5,8 63:5,9	99:10 117:15,19	64:18 86:9
property	137:24 138:13	65:24,25 69:11	questions	108:4 130:3
22:25 102:4,13	pursuant	84:24 85:2	7:17,23 10:17	147:3
prosecuted	35:21 48:11	106:13,17 126:8	17:23,24 35:15	raising
101:10 112:5	put	128:10,13 135:1	38:19 45:20,21	66:13
prosecution	47:5 61:22	149:23,24	47:19,21,23	ramp
102:25	77:16 93:10	150:14 151:3	48:7,21 58:8	34:4
prosecutor	98:17 108:14,19	152:7,16,22	63:14 64:21	ran
9:13 80:18,21	116:21	qualify	65:2 66:5 67:1 79:9 85:5 86:13,	89:16
94:23 95:9	puzzled	8:18 39:25	15 95:6,9 97:1	range
prosecutorial	71:17	52:20 80:1	99:19 106:20	124:12
89:21	Pye	100:9 118:19		ranging
protect	49:12,13,15,20	qualities	108:7,9,21 110:6 116:11	42:10 126:14
125:4	50:13,16,19,20,	10:15	117:12 118:3	RANKIN
protective	25 51:3,6,13,19,	quality	130:6 132:11,16	6:1,5,10,16,20
15:22	24 52:7,8,15,18	110:17	135:14 140:4	7:11,24 17:24
proud	53:12 54:5 55:2	quell		26:25 31:12
38:14 89:18	56:2 57:4 58:7	75:3	quick 94:10 96:2	34:6 35:15 36:8,
provide	59:18 61:4 62:7			15,17,19,23
123:17,19	63:2,5,13 64:23,	question	quickly 75:3 104:11	37:2,5,10 38:3,
provided	25 65:5,7,11,12	8:20 12:9,17		11,16 39:8
40:16 60:8	70:4,5 75:11,23	18:7 20:3 21:5,	110:8 113:2	45:21 46:21
134:13,19	76:11,15,18,25	19 23:9,22 25:7 27:12 29:23		48:8,24 49:8,12,
145:20	77:4 152:1		R	14,17,21 50:22
psychosexual		31:19 32:4 33:3 40:3 43:14	roco	51:1,12,14 52:4
42:10	Q	67:15 71:6	race 66:6 150:18	64:22 65:2,6,8,
		74:14 93:1	151:20,22	11 70:3 75:9,22
public	qualification	110:9 111:18		76:12,16,20,24
9:5 14:18,20	149:9	110:9 111:18	races	77:1,6,8,10,14,
41:25 100:21,25	qualifications	120:11 123:12	66:1 149:3	18 78:12,14,18,
113:14 114:8,	16:11 36:4	120.11 123:12	racism	21 79:8 86:14
	ı	I	I .	1

	I			Í
90:2 91:19	reading	recognition	redo	80:5,13 81:21,
96:25 97:13,17,	11:24 18:7	131:5	135:18	25 82:4,6 89:9
19,22 98:2,4,8,	33:10 51:9	recognize	redouble	94:24 95:1
14,19 99:18	130:22	38:23 48:5	33:23	143:23
108:9 110:6	ready	recognizing	reelection	relating
111:2 113:7	6:17 37:7 49:19	95:15	149:7,14	80:11
116:10,14,19,23	77:15 98:17	recommend	referee	relationship
118:4 130:7,16,	102:8 116:20	13:23	40:20 46:12	29:2
19 132:11,14,23	131:9 132:24	recommendatio	reference	release
133:5 135:13,20	real	n	27:6 48:2 92:22	36:3 48:18 76:8
136:5,9,18,25	57:2	103:15 106:4	130:10 132:2	97:10 147:25
137:9,20 138:7,	realize	110:12	referenced	released
9 140:8,12	21:8 100:16	record	92:18	36:3 60:15
142:2,4,8,11,14,	reappointment	6:5,9,18 8:5,9,	referring	remanded
22 143:8 144:13	150:8	12 17:19 34:10	15:13 66:15	134:5 139:16
145:15 146:9	reason	36:2,9,14 39:12,	reflect	remedies
147:10 148:6,	18:6,9 88:16	16,19 45:16,25	21:7 150:23	19:3
10,18,25 149:9,	93:5	48:17,24 49:11,	reflects	remedy
12,20,23,25	reasons	19 50:23 52:8,	21:16	19:2 26:20
150:2,5,14,17,	31:4 34:15 59:4,	11,14 64:17	refused	53:20 59:1
25 151:5,9,12,	7	75:13,17,19	59:2	remember
16 152:8,10,13	recall	76:7 77:5,16		70:23 80:7 81:7
re-litigate	19:12 69:9	79:12,18,20	regard	131:23 132:12
135:3 140:24	receive	86:8 90:4 91:9	18:9 82:10,22 84:10 95:6,10	151:23 132:12
reach	28:6	97:9 98:1,17	97:6 110:23	remind
19:3 95:19	received	99:22,25 100:3	127:9 143:3	48:11 78:12
109:6,13 119:19	13:14 17:6	108:3,14,19	147:21	reminded
120:8,10	29:12 43:2 45:2	111:6 116:13,21		35:21 76:1 97:3
reached	55:3 64:3 83:2	118:7,11,14	regional 70:19	
53:20,23	85:20 102:20	122:21 130:2		remotely 47:9
reaching	107:15 125:15	134:20,21	regular 124:25	
38:23 51:22	129:15,23 131:4	137:18 138:14		removals 15:23
react	137:15 145:19	140:20 143:11	regularly	
40:22	146:25	144:15 145:25	124:21 127:2	removed
read	recent	146:1 147:24	rehabilitate	134:23
11:23 12:1 28:6,	41:22	148:25 150:23	109:24	repeatedly
11,20 41:24	recently	151:1,17,19	relate	105:19
60:9 94:1,2	122:25 134:7	152:11,12	23:16	report
96:18 105:20	recess	records	related	29:11 36:4
113:8 128:6	151:11 153:1	106:1,2	81:7 84:1 89:5	48:19 59:21
135:23 149:14	recession	redacted	134:16	76:9 97:10
151:21,23 152:3	14:13	134:23	relates	116:8 147:25
	17.13			
	I			

_				
reported	requirements	105:8 128:4	45:12 64:13	22 136:11
13:7 128:13	8:13 39:20	137:17,18 138:2	80:12 86:4	142:16 143:1
reporter	52:16 79:21	145:21,24	107:24	roles
50:23 147:16	100:4 118:15	146:5,11	reviewing	43:8 81:10
reporter's	120:22	responses	135:7 138:3	room
132:25	requisite	18:12 75:2	rewarding	47:12,17 141:19
represent	55:11	137:11,24 138:6	100:22	Ross
24:24 40:12	reservation	146:22	Rhoden	7:24 8:2,9 16:8
138:21 139:12	13:23	responsibilities	6:13,14,19 7:6,	17:19
147:6	reside	113:25	9,10,18,19 8:2,	roster
representation	8:6 39:13,14	responsive	3,5,13,16 13:14,	95:21
138:22 146:18,	52:9 79:13	15:8,18 109:11	19 16:9,17 18:1,	routine
21	99:22 118:8	restitution	3 27:2,3 31:8,	14:14
representative	residence	62:12,16	14,15 34:9	routinely
6:3 61:6 148:22	8:14 39:21	restore	35:14,16 36:7,	13:8 24:1
151:4,6	52:17 79:22	81:11	12 151:25	rule
represented	100:5 118:16	result	Richland	16:19 28:20
46:10 101:8,9	resident	135:4	119:11	44:14 63:16
102:1 108:16	31:5	results	rid	85:8 106:24
122:8,11,15	resolution	103:13	28:2	129:3
123:1,3 127:19,	23:5	resume	riding	rules
20	resolve	26:18 91:20	143:21	10:8 16:20
representing	74:20	92:20	rights	44:15 58:1,3
57:2 60:17,25	resolved	retire	13:1 133:13	59:17 63:17
61:2 62:23	58:6	72:24	rise	85:9 106:25
120:17	respect	retired	120:22	129:4
reproach	9:24 14:10	96:14	roads	run
14:1	23:10 109:3	retirement	49:5	35:7 41:9,10
reputation	113:22,23 128:1	73:9	Rob	51:17 75:4
16:15 44:10	respected	retry	6:14 7:6,8,19	87:16,17 89:18
56:15 63:11	13:20	145:10	13:21 34:7	96:10
85:1 106:16	respectfully	return	151:25	running
128:16	134:18 137:17	16:4	Robert	29:3 35:9,13
request	respective	reversed	6:14 7:6,8 8:12	46:13 57:23
29:9 134:18	147:17	134:3 139:13	149:19 151:25	75:20 91:11,16
140:16	respond	142:9,10	rode	111:11 132:9
requests	14:6 15:9 43:15	review	68:3	150:8
15:23 137:18	127:7 138:4	134:24 140:15,	Rogers	rush
require	response	134.24 140.13,	150:21	103:17
102:7	48:25 55:13	reviewed	role	
required	56:7 57:8 58:11	17:15 27:4	89:5 94:3	
58:15,17	84:2 103:7	11.13 41.4	113:15 114:19,	
<u> </u>			, , ,	
	1	1	l .	1

	screened	seeking	service	sexual
S	7:3 37:19 78:2	46:18	9:5 39:7 40:5,	94:24 122:13
	88:9	selling	10,25 52:1 80:4,	shakes
Sabb	screening	58:6	9 100:21,25	72:6
137:10 143:9,	17:3,8 35:20	Senator	118:1,24 119:2	Shane
10,16,20 152:9,	41:12,13 44:24	31:12,13,14	services	150:21
10,11	45:4 48:10	33:8 45:22,23	81:24	Shannon
sabotage	63:25 64:5 76:1	46:6,20 51:4,5	serving	150:21
69:19	85:17,22 97:2	57:11,14 72:12	40:20 100:19	share
saddened	107:12,17	86:15,17 92:17	119:20	33:6
147:5	129:12,17	107:5 108:11,14	session	shared
safe	132:12 147:17	113:21 137:10	6:2,4,6 148:23,	19:12 86:24
49:4 145:13	screenings	143:9,10,16,20	24 149:1	Sharon
Safran	50:5 99:3 117:7	148:22 150:23	151:13,14,15,17	117:13 132:19
17:25 18:1,2	search	152:6,9,10,11,	sessions	135:21
27:13 31:20	7:1 37:17 50:3	13	80:19 104:5	sharpen
70:3,4 151:13	77:25 99:1	sending	set	82:24
salad	117:5	28:17	32:18 120:1	sheet
51:16	searching	sense	139:17 146:22	101:14,17 113:1
sandwiches	147:1	22:14 24:2,17,	sets	sheriff
51:17	seat	21 25:4 29:22	89:4,20	60:12
sat	51:19 111:11	67:22 70:9	setting	she's
101:6 102:16	116:12 132:18	71:16 137:3	23:20 25:15	7:21 52:2 96:23
scene	138:9 147:11	separate	84:9 89:9 91:25	112:4,5,6 132:4
101:6	seated	123:3	94:13,19,20	shift
schedule	144:25	separation	settings	14:12
103:21 104:1	seats	81:25	93:19 95:8	shoe
105:2 115:2,4,5,	149:6,13	serve	settled	126:10
8 139:17 149:6,	secluded	8:16 11:10	24:16	
15	24:12	39:23 40:3,8,9,	settlement	shoes
scheduling	seconded	11 41:7 52:18	15:1	23:19 136:16
34:13,18	6:2 148:22	53:14 55:7	settling	short
school	151:6,13 152:14	79:24 80:3 81:5,	73:14	24:14 28:19
48:4 67:18,19	Section	16 100:7 101:3	seventh	144:19
68:2 72:23	17:1 44:21	115:19 118:17	8:7 39:15 52:10	shortened
80:17 96:18	63:23 85:15	119:12,23 122:2	79:14 99:23	36:19
106:1 119:9,11	107:9 129:10	126:5 127:2	118:9 126:6	shortly
schools	security	served	Seventy-nine	117:10
80:14 93:6,18	61:22	40:5 43:7 46:2,	148:17,18	shot
Scott	seek	12 59:25 60:19	,	68:16
~ ~~~		82:22 145:1	sex	show
92:17	122:19 123:7		13:2	67:21 115:15

116:1,2	23 19:1,10,24	sleep	social	sources
showing	20:21 21:2,18	93:1	134:15	41:1
115:11	25:16 31:16	slot	society's	South
shown	36:7,9,18 37:4,9	27:19	119:16	16:19 17:16
83:10	38:2 47:3,11,20	slowly	softer	22:3 26:1 35:24
shut	48:23 49:12,13,	56:24	26:18	39:14 44:14
89:2	20 50:20,22	small	soldiers	45:13 53:22
sibling	61:4 64:25 65:5,	22:18 80:7 81:1	82:1	54:15,16 63:16
42:22	17 66:17 67:6,	124:16	sole	76:3 79:14
Sic	24 68:1,8 70:11,	smart	139:1	80:23 85:8 86:5
52:5	18,21,25 71:4	68:1	solemn	97:5 99:23
side	72:12 74:4	smile	120:23	106:24 107:25
10:1 13:22 14:9	76:11,12 77:7	35:18 38:13	solicitations	118:9 129:3,24
22:8 28:7,19	78:10,17 86:19	48:25	68:6	134:7 147:19
1	87:6 91:23	smiles		spans
30:7,21,23 73:13 74:19,21	92:24 94:9	51:4	solicitor	120:15
75:5 109:16	95:25 97:12,16		9:8 90:7 104:2,4	Spartanburg
	98:11,18 113:18	Smith 76:22 110:15	solicitors	7:20 8:7 9:9
sides	115:18 116:14	76:23 110:15	41:25	10:2,3,7 14:18
31:23 87:18	128:6 132:13,25	132:21,22	solicitor's	27:16 30:3
106:5,6,7	135:14 136:4,8,	133:3,8,18,24	9:21 30:4 34:11	52:10 53:21
108:16	17 140:8,11	134:11 135:5,	111:7	56:21,23 57:19
signed	142:7,10,13,17,	12,16,22 136:4,	solutions	61:8,18,19,21
139:5	20 144:10	8,17,24 137:8,	83:13	70:12,13 71:24
Simmons	145:15 146:8	15 138:12,18,	someone's	76:15,16 79:14
150:10	148:5,8	21,22 139:6,7,	69:16 93:21	80:25 83:6,8,11
simple	sit	11,12,15,18,22 140:5,6,7,11,13,	94:1	87:24 89:11
102:4 122:12	12:8 33:13 96:4,	20 141:7 142:2,	son	90:17 91:22
126:15	7,10	7,10,13,17,18,	50:20,21 133:17	109:1 118:9
simply	sitting	20,22 143:7,15,	136:24,25	144:15,16
27:17	43:19,22 68:17	18 144:10	138:19 141:12,	150:19
Sinclair	situation	145:14 146:13,	21,24 142:6,16	speak
8:22 18:13	24:9	16,24 148:11,22	son's	24:23 39:6
19:17,25 22:1	skill	149:21,24	136:16	41:25 50:22
28:12 29:7	89:4,19	152:25	sought	69:13 93:6
72:23 114:9	skills	Smith's	17:6 45:2 64:3	94:16 107:5
139:3,5,10	82:24 119:25	133:10,15,17	85:20 107:15	113:13 143:2
Sinclair's	120:1,2,3,4	138:15 145:20	129:15	147:14
133:23	slant		soul	speaking
sing	26:13	snapshot 112:3	147:1	92:19 112:21
48:2	SLED		sounds	113:10
sir	59:21	soaked	29:19 72:7	speaks
6:19 7:10 18:17,		22:9		

	1	1	<u> </u>	1
35:11 91:24	139:22 140:6	87:19 89:17	stems	strengthen
109:2 131:5	stability	99:1,21 110:10	136:2,7	11:13
special	16:12 44:7 63:8	111:6 117:4	step	Strickland
40:20 46:12	85:4 106:19	118:6,25	9:4 65:14	150:12
specialists	128:12	119:19,24	step-parent	strict
42:13	stable	120:14 121:20	55:23,24	35:23 48:13
specific	25:21	127:18 131:4,11	steps	strive
27:12 112:22,24	staff	144:14	26:20 29:4 47:1	147:5,7
specifically	7:17 34:19	stated	65:15	Strom
9:20 11:19	46:24 113:3	55:14,23 60:3	Steven	6:2 110:7,8,17,
12:20 42:6 54:8	134:14	75:13 90:4	133:18 138:19	19,22 111:1
101:22 124:4	staffing	145:8	sticking	113:9 130:13,
135:8	15:21	statement	144:11	15,18,20 131:19
speed	stand	6:17 7:8,16	Stinson	151:14
33:2 36:11 49:4	38:11 50:22	37:7,25 38:22	131:25 132:4	strong
88:18 113:2	51:1 120:12,19	39:5 50:18	stint	22:14 25:11
spend	136:11	51:20 67:25	56:12	27:6 80:16
34:5 93:18	standing	77:15 78:8 79:2	stockbroker	88:20
spent	121:21 136:15	98:16 99:8,13, 17 105:11	61:19	strongly
9:6 72:3 119:10	staring	109:15 116:20	store	126:2
spirit	47:15	117:12,21	54:16	structured
35:23 40:4,10	start	statements	stores	40:7
48:14 76:3 97:4	8:20 33:11	38:18	54:15	structures
147:19	38:19 57:22		stories	125:1,2,4
split	65:3 105:20	states 121:19 125:24	34:2 87:12	studied
113:24	started	121:19 123:24	story	96:19
splitting	6:10 9:9 11:24		93:21 106:6,8	study
113:19	14:16 30:4	status	109:16	6:24 11:23
spoke	56:23 57:21	142:5	story's	12:12 15:4
82:12	61:21 77:10	statutes	93:8	33:24 37:15
spoken	89:14 92:14	11:23 33:10	straight	50:1,4 77:23
109:10	100:11 114:14	41:24 123:9	36:20	89:1 94:11 96:2
sports	133:2	statutory	stranger	98:24 99:2
57:17 87:1	starting	8:13 39:20	88:23	117:3,6
spot	90:16 98:5	42:20 52:16 79:21 100:4	streamline	studying
19:13 26:18	state	101:14 118:15	89:15	13:13 33:9
Springs	7:1 8:5 11:1,7		Street	stuff
62:21 97:20	21:11 22:3 26:5	stay 103:20,25	31:17	72:1 94:1
squabble	30:8 37:17 39:7,	· ·	strength	submitted
73:17	12 40:13 45:24	staying 19:4 72:7	26:23	135:5 137:17
Sr	50:2 52:1,8 67:5	19.4 /2./	20.23	138:2 140:14
	77:25 79:12			141:5 146:12
L				

				Í
submitting	surely	112:18 144:24	tasked	29:22,23 68:4
16:22 17:5	96:2	systematic	34:17	94:21 113:19
44:17 45:1	surgeon	133:16	tasks	130:12
63:19 64:2	61:18	systemic	34:17	test
85:11,19 107:2,	surprised	140:6	teach	103:12
14 129:6,14	35:10 65:22		119:13	testified
subpoenas	90:11		teacher	28:13
140:18 146:4	survey		119:10 120:2	testifies
substantial	6:24 13:16	table	team	6:15 36:25
21:23 102:8	37:15 43:4	46:14	28:25 29:5	49:15 77:13
successful	49:25 68:5	takes	57:17	98:12 116:18
72:8	77:23 83:4	20:2 29:12 72:2	Teasa	133:3
sue	98:24 102:22	93:22 97:3	150:9	testify
60:19	117:3 128:7	147:18	telling	7:14 38:6 41:4
sued	surveys	taking	74:8	50:8 78:24 99:5
60:4,5,10,11,12,	13:15 27:15	39:6 82:11	temperament	117:10 135:8
14	43:2,20 48:1	talk	13:24 16:15	141:2
suffers	55:3 83:2 87:9	21:20 23:2,3	35:1,2 44:11	testimony
130:23	91:6 102:21	24:15 32:1	55:9 63:12	8:10 39:17
suggest	125:15,17	33:24 34:2	84:14 85:2	52:12 79:18
115:13 126:20	swear	41:24 87:21	87:11 90:11,14	100:1 118:12
142:24 144:3	133:2	105:24,25	103:2 106:17	147:2
suitable	sworn	talked	111:10 128:16	That'd
41:7	6:14,17 7:8	12:6,7 20:6	temperate	76:23
summer	36:25 37:7,25	28:12 29:7	84:19	that'll
30:20,22 33:15	49:15 50:18	33:18 34:22	temporary	22:19
super	77:13,15 78:8	59:2,20 112:21	24:25 27:18	that's
65:8 76:20 98:8	98:12,16 99:13	talking	29:9,14 95:20	8:20 9:20 13:13
	116:17,20	26:19 33:10,11	109:21	14:8 15:17 19:6
support 12:25 55:19	117:21 133:3	103:10 104:7	ten	21:2,4,12 26:17
141:23,24	Symmes	Talley	28:16 89:14	28:10 31:3
142:15 143:22	79:11,17 84:22	31:12,13,14	94:25 98:9	41:13 47:7 48:6
supporting	86:8,14	33:8 45:22,23	152:18,19,20	50:20,21 58:12
137:25 143:13	sympathies	46:6,20 51:4,5	tend	60:21 61:2,5,7
	143:1	57:14 61:12	13:1 20:1 22:17	62:4,6,14,20,25
support's 13:9	sympathize	72:12 86:16,17	25:21 113:24	67:12 68:3,9
	22:20	92:17 107:5	tentacles	70:21 72:5
supposed 53:24	system	108:11,14	95:17	73:24 74:14
	10:12,14,24	113:21 148:23	Termination	75:16 87:3
Supreme	11:5 41:5 66:12	150:23 152:6,14	12:25	90:20,24,25
134:1,7,10	67:13 74:24	Talley's	terms	93:5,17 102:9,
136:3 139:19,21	91:3 93:8 95:1	57:12	20:25 27:25	13 103:16
			20.23 21.23	
	l			I

104:14,20	29:6 30:20 32:6,	28:1,19 32:2,18	109:20 120:13	9:14
105:2,22	11 33:13,16,17,	34:5,24 36:13	130:5 132:9	translate
112:10,23	22,24 34:4,5	39:4,6 42:2	141:2 144:22	94:21
114:2,7,14	55:19 70:8 73:6	47:14,24 48:9,	146:13	travel
115:20,23 121:4	74:8 87:8 88:4,	19 49:7 51:7,25	today's	70:16 143:18
123:10,23	13 91:13,21	55:16 68:22	134:24	travels
141:14,17	93:16 95:17,23	71:1,2 72:3,15	told	49:4
142:1,20 143:15	96:10 110:11	73:9,20,21	72:12 88:15	treat
144:10,11 147:9	111:19 112:25	78:19 79:4	107:8 141:21	11:3 12:4 20:24
theme	113:13 114:6	80:17,24 81:23	143:18	76:2 119:5
113:11 115:12	116:4 130:11	89:11 90:12	Tommy	127:15 147:4,7
therapist	141:7	91:21,25 92:10,	150:22	treated
103:14,15	third-party	11,12,13,15	top	22:12 119:6
there's	40:13	93:1,17,18 99:7	120:14 131:10	127:25 147:4,8
19:8 60:2 62:1	Thompson	103:6,16,17,19	topic	treating
71:14 73:22	53:21	108:8 109:7	28:14 29:21	9:24
87:25 88:16,17	thought	112:22,24	touched	
106:6,7 112:24	20:22 25:13,20	114:5,8,17,18	27:3 31:20	tremendous
they're	52:4 66:23	128:24 130:6	32:10,19 42:3	9:2 10:4
15:13 18:17	67:14,15 68:20,	134:20 135:25	46:9	trends
24:22 27:6	22 74:14 142:21	136:10 137:19	touches	71:13
57:23 71:12	thousand	140:15 141:13	12:16	Trey
86:23 103:12	105:14	144:20 145:22		9:8
104:10 112:12,	thousands	146:16 148:20	tough	trial
14 138:6 141:15	9:15 55:18	151:10	23:14	89:13 120:14
they've	threatening	timely	TPR	122:18 133:22
24:21 66:10	60:18	15:9	13:3	134:3,9 139:1,4,
96:8,12	three-day	times	traditional	19 141:11
Thigpen	88:6	15:24 19:3	56:1	trials
29:2 34:3 96:5	threw	30:11 53:7	trails	42:1,9 122:17
111:23	110:20	82:20 87:15	97:19	trickle
thing		88:10,24 100:22	trained	62:15
23:15,25 29:6	thrive	118:2	113:4	trip
71:17 101:13,18	126:4	timing	training	65:9 145:13
112:9 135:19	throes	72:24	15:4	trips
137:3 141:18	94:13	Tips	transcript	74:5
things	time	82:19	66:4	troubled
8:24 9:22 11:22	14:17,19 15:16	today	transcripts	60:14
12:6,10 15:3	16:5 18:15	17:22 38:8 39:6	66:10 134:18	troubling
16:2 19:15 20:5,	19:17,20 20:1,	45:19 49:2,7	transfer	58:12
11 22:19 23:17	16 21:12 24:15	51:25 64:20	76:18	true
24:16 28:21	25:8 26:14	65:14 67:9 70:7	transferred	19:6
210 20.21	27:17,19,22	86:12 108:6		
l				

	1			
trust	unconventional	unusual	verbally	vote
125:11 132:8	114:3	114:15 147:13	148:2	26:3 149:4,22
trusts	under-oath	unwed	verification	150:6,24
124:18	48:20	80:8	6:25 37:16 50:2	votes
trustworthiness	underlying	updated	77:24 98:25	6:6 149:1
58:9 68:15	73:23	113:3	117:4	151:17,23
truth	understand	upstate	versed	152:4,18,19,20,
106:8	10:13 17:15	16:8 44:4 49:5	112:20	21
Tuesdays	20:3 24:21 25:1	63:4 66:19,22	versus	vulnerable
114:20,21	26:15,16 45:12	67:2 84:22	40:18 61:4,15	30:9
tunnel	46:16 48:22,23	90:20 106:12	62:4,7,17,24	
10:9	64:14 67:20	128:9,17	65:25	W
turn	72:18 76:7,11	urgent	vested	
7:17 26:23	81:8 86:4 93:13	15:25 16:1,2	111:14	wade
38:20 59:4,7,11,	97:8 103:10		Vice	146:20
12,14 68:21	107:24 110:14	V	76:23 117:25	wait
113:20 132:19	112:8 119:3		135:16 149:21,	27:17 98:6
turned	129:23 140:10	valuation	24	waiting
62:13 71:2	144:10 147:18,	124:14,15	view	29:18 103:12
102:10	24 148:2,5,9	valuations	41:2 46:25	waive
turning	understanding	102:7	65:18 68:11	39:2,5 67:8
58:25 105:15,19	22:1,10 23:7	values	121:9	99:16
\mid TV	24:3,11 35:3	124:20	violated	waived
71:5	54:4 118:24	valuing	133:12,13	146:19
two-day	119:15	124:15	139:23	waiver
53:24	unethical	Vanderbilt	violation	112:6
type	59:16	67:18 68:2	35:25 48:14	walk
18:24 26:12	unfortunate	varied	76:4 97:5	93:14
124:8 144:2	58:25	101:12	147:20	walked
typically	unique	varying	violence	23:19 141:19,25
41:4,23 69:8	65:9	21:24	80:18 90:8	walks
11.1,25 07.0	United	vast	vision	40:17 127:11,20
	121:18	46:16 128:21	10:9,12	Wall
U	unlike	vault	visitation	150:22
unanimously	35:1	96:15	12:25 42:17,22	wand
149:12	unqualified	vein	134:5 139:8,17	67:8
unbiased	69:12	76:5	visiting	wanted
116:7,8	unquestionably	veracity	34:3	31:18 34:10
uncle	126:8	43:23	volume	46:6 57:1,21
143:15 145:12	untrustworthy	verbal	10:4	67:5,7 72:15
uncommon	58:20	48:22,24	volunteer	75:12,19 87:13,
15:19			80:13 91:4,10	14,20 90:4,10,
		•	,	

15,23 91:8	well-paved	where's	wonderful	139:8 144:21
108:14 111:6,12	49:5	71:21	76:13 110:18	worker
130:20 136:21	Wells	white	111:22,24 126:1	111:10
143:11	61:15,17,21	9:10 61:7	won't	workers
war	62:2	110:13 126:10	57:14 68:23	56:6,13,15,17
34:2	weren't	Whitner	70:7	71:21,25
warrants	56:10 67:22	150:20	wood	working
15:24 30:5	72:16	whomever	76:24	15:15 28:25
wasn't	we'd	115:22	word	35:6 42:14
19:20 64:23	125:8	who's	71:13	54:18 55:6
68:1 72:16	we'll	24:9,12 127:24	words	57:13,16 82:2
123:16	7:16 37:11	wife	110:5	88:22 120:25
watch	38:20 51:17	7:21 59:9 115:7	work	131:15
12:9 23:3 33:13	99:6 133:6	125:25	11:6 12:21 13:6	world
52:5	137:9 147:15	Wilkins	34:11,20 41:3	121:21 132:4
watched	152:15	143:15 148:17	42:7 47:4 53:3	worse
119:11,12,13	we're	Wilkinson	54:2 80:13,19	35:17
watching	6:1 14:11 15:12,	118:5,11 128:8	81:2 82:8,16	worst
145:7	17 22:22 30:24	130:2 132:20	88:23,24 91:1,	73:6
Wayne	77:10 98:9	138:10,12,13	12 93:11,15	worth
133:3,18 138:18	102:15 104:7	140:13 142:3	95:11 101:19,24	71:12
ways	119:3 132:16,18	145:19 146:8,10	102:8,25	worthwhile
9:4	133:1 143:16	Will's	103:13,24	100:18
weakest	145:9 151:14,	72:22	104:16 105:17,	worthy
41:19	16,18	wished	18 106:10	92:25 147:22
wear	we've	147:4,7	109:4,13 111:19	would-be
35:17	12:11 15:15	withdrew	112:17 114:5,7,	136:14
wearing	25:7 26:19	41:11	10,12,24 115:4,	wouldn't
51:7,14	30:17,24 42:9	witnessed	9 121:22 124:6	69:8,19 105:17
Weaver	49:24 75:16	87:13 119:8	127:16 144:25	130:25
150:9	109:7,10 131:22	witnesses	worked	Wow
Webex	137:4,15	7:14 38:5 50:7	13:21 19:25	96:20
30:17,19,23,25	whatever's	60:18 78:24	56:17,22 73:10	wrangling
week	106:2	83:20 105:25	80:8,20,22	25:2
56:9 102:14	whatnot	141:11,15	81:19,21 82:17	wrapped
105:15 114:10,	32:16	wives	84:5,9 89:15	20:11
19,24	what's	102:3	90:6 95:18	wreck
weekly	11:4 15:25	Wofford	109:8,19 110:15 111:7,8 114:15	72:1 73:15
71:24 102:14	22:25 69:15	7:22 76:14,18,	120:11,13	written
weight	71:16	21	120:11,13	14:2 43:11 48:6
48:17	wheel	woman	121.24 123.21 126:10 131:1,9	83:22 103:4
1 0.1 <i>1</i>	148:14	148:15	120.10 131.1,9	03.22 103.4

			170
126:22 130:25	119:23	131:9,13,25	
137:17 138:2	younger	134:18,19 135:5	
145:21,23	125:20	136:6 137:14	
146:11	youth	138:1 146:11	
wrong	91:1,8 126:13	y'all	
33:20 115:13	you'd	29:24 47:15	
wrongful	38:18,22 55:11	49:3,8,9 51:2,18	
61:8	117:12 131:12	60:9 65:4,10	
wrote	you'll	76:13 77:2 79:5	
53:22 58:23	6:20 37:10	90:10 118:3	
73:4	49:21 53:13	148:10 152:25	
	77:18 98:19	153:1	
Y	116:23 140:8		
	you're	Z	
year	21:20 23:11,20		
76:19 121:6	36:2 41:15,16	Zoom	
134:2	53:9,10 58:14	29:24 66:20,24	
years	60:24 66:13,14		
7:20 8:14 9:7,	67:25 70:7,19		
12,13,15,19	72:7,8 73:6,7		
10:24 11:7 12:1,	74:7 79:3 87:23		
2 13:22 22:3	93:3,10,16 94:2,		
24:19 25:22	6 97:2 98:22		
28:13 31:24	103:21,23		
39:21 40:7	109:6,20 111:13		
41:22 46:4	113:21,22		
52:17,22,23,24	131:14,15,16		
53:1 55:14	132:21 140:9		
57:10,15 58:21	142:23 148:18		
70:24 72:12	you've		
79:22 80:6,21,	14:5 15:8 18:15		
22 81:23 84:9	20:10 21:14		
87:1 88:14	23:19 25:9		
89:14 94:8,25	31:24 32:16,18		
100:5,11,14,20	37:6,18 46:8,9		
108:19 118:16	49:18 65:9,15		
119:9 126:7	69:4,6 70:22		
128:22,23	71:3 78:2 81:20		
144:12	88:3 92:5 94:10		
young	98:15 109:8,11,		
38:7 50:10	12,18,19,23,25		
51:22 77:19	113:4,9,22		
98:19 118:23			
			1